

2007 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Received: 02/05/2008

Received By: gmalaise

Wanted: Today

Identical to LRB:

For: Lena Taylor (608) 266-5810

By/Representing: Eric Peterson

This file may be shown to any legislator: NO

Drafter: gmalaise

May Contact:

Addl. Drafters:

Subject: Discrimination
Employ Priv - family leave
Employ Priv - minimum wage

Extra Copies:

Submit via email: YES

Requester's email: Sen.Taylor@legis.wisconsin.gov

Carbon copy (CC:) to:

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

Liability of state under federal employment laws

Instructions:

See Attached--draft companion to -3700/3

Drafting History:

Table with 8 columns: Vers., Drafted, Reviewed, Typed, Proofed, Submitted, Jacketed, Required. It tracks the drafting process for two versions of the bill.

FE Sent For:

Handwritten signature and date: atito 2/6

<END>

2007 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Received: 02/05/2008

Received By: gmalaise

Wanted: Today

Identical to LRB:

For: Lena Taylor (608) 266-5810

By/Representing: Eric Peterson

This file may be shown to any legislator: NO

Drafter: gmalaise

May Contact:

Addl. Drafters:

Subject: Discrimination
Employ Priv - family leave
Employ Priv - minimum wage

Extra Copies:

Submit via email: YES

Requester's email: Sen.Taylor@legis.wisconsin.gov

Carbon copy (CC:) to:

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

Liability of state under federal employment laws

Instructions:

See Attached--draft companion to -3700/3

Drafting History:

Table with 8 columns: Vers., Drafted, Reviewed, Typed, Proofed, Submitted, Jacketed, Required. It tracks the drafting process for two versions of the bill.

FE Sent For:

<END>

2007 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Received: 02/05/2008

Received By: gmalaise

Wanted: Today

Identical to LRB:

For: Lena Taylor (608) 266-5810

By/Representing: Eric Peterson

This file may be shown to any legislator: NO

Drafter: gmalaise

May Contact:

Addl. Drafters:

Subject: Discrimination
Employ Priv - family leave
Employ Priv - minimum wage

Extra Copies:

Submit via email: YES

Requester's email: Sen.Taylor@legis.wisconsin.gov

Carbon copy (CC:) to:

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

Liability of state under federal employment laws

Instructions:

See Attached--draft companion to -3700/3

Drafting History:

Vers. Drafted Reviewed Typed Proofed Submitted Jacketed Required

/? gmalaise

1 WJ 2/5

Handwritten signature and number 258

FE Sent For:

<END>

Malaise, Gordon

From: Peterson, Eric
Sent: Monday, February 04, 2008 5:54 PM
To: Malaise, Gordon
Subject: LRB 3700/3

Gordon,

Please redraft LRB 3700/3 as a Senate Companion for Senator Taylor. You can jacket the LRB and send it over.

Thanks,
Eric

Eric M. Peterson
Office of Senator Lena C. Taylor
608-266-5810

↑
Stays

TODAY 2/5

2007 BILL

(Companion - No changes)

P.A.'s - Go ahead & jacket for
Sen. Taylor

Regen

1 AN ACT to create 103.10 (15), 109.115 and 111.40 of the statutes; relating to:

2 liability of the state for a violation of the federal Family and Medical Leave Act

3 of 1993, Fair Labor Standards Act, the Age Discrimination in Employment Act

4 of 1967, and Title I of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990.

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

Under current state law, an employer, including the state, that engages in an act of employment discrimination against an individual on the basis of disability or, if the individual is 40 years of age or over, age may be ordered to take such action as will effectuate the purpose of the state Fair Employment Law, including the provision of back pay. In addition, under current state law, an employer, including the state, that fails to pay an employee the applicable minimum wage determined by the Department of Workforce Development by rule or to pay an employee 1.5 times the employee's regular rate of pay for hours worked in excess of 40 hours per week (overtime pay) may be ordered to pay the wages due, plus increased wages equal to 50 percent of the amount of wages due or, in certain cases, increased wages equal to 100 percent of the amount of wages due. Also, under state law, an employer, including the state, that interferes with, restrains, or denies the exercise of any right provided under the state Family and Medical Leave Act may be ordered to take action to remedy the violation, including the provision of back pay, and may be sued in circuit court for damages caused by such a violation.

Current federal law similarly prohibits an employer, including a state, from discriminating against an individual on the basis of disability or, if the individual is

BILL

40 years of age or over, age; requires an employer, including a state, to pay the federal minimum wage and overtime pay; and requires an employer, including a state, to provide family and medical leave. Specifically:

1. Under the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (ADA), an employer, including a state, that discriminates against a qualified individual with a disability may be ordered to take appropriate action, including the provision of back pay, and may be ordered to pay compensatory damages for future pecuniary losses and for emotional pain, suffering, inconvenience, mental anguish, loss of enjoyment of life, and other nonpecuniary losses of up to \$300,000, depending on how many employees are employed by the employer.

2. Under the Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967 (ADEA), an employer, including a state, that discriminates against an individual 40 years age or over on the basis of age may be ordered to take such action as will effectuate the purposes of the ADEA, including the provision of back pay, and, if the violation is willful, may be ordered to pay an equal amount of liquidated damages.

3. Under the Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA), an employer, including a state, that fails to pay an employee the minimum wage or overtime pay may be ordered to provide back pay and to pay an equal amount of liquidated damages.

4. Under the federal Family and Medical Leave Act of 1993 (FMLA), an employer, including a state, that interferes with, restrains, or denies the exercise of or the attempt to exercise any right provided under the FMLA may be ordered to provide back pay or pay actual monetary losses, plus interest, and to pay an equal amount of liquidated damages.

The U.S. Supreme Court has recently held, however, that a state employee may not sue for damages for a violation of the ADA (*Bd. of Trustees of Univ. of Ala. v. Garrett*, 531 U.S. 356 (2001)), of the ADEA (*Kimel v. Fla. Bd. of Regents*, 528 U.S. 62 (2000)), or of the FLSA (*Alden v. Maine*, 527 U.S. 706 (1999)), unless the state has waived its sovereign immunity under the 11th Amendment to the U. S. Constitution and, in the case of this state, article IV, section 27, of the Wisconsin Constitution. The U.S. Supreme Court has also recently held that a state employee may sue for damages for a violation of the family leave provision of the FMLA, regardless of whether the state has waived its sovereign immunity (*Nevada Dep't of Human Resources v. Hibbs*, 538 U.S. 721 (2003)), but did not rule on whether a state employee may sue for damages for a violation of the medical leave provision of the FMLA absent a waiver of sovereign immunity.

This bill provides that the state may be sued in a federal or state court of competent jurisdiction for a violation of the ADA, the ADEA, the FLSA or the FMLA and, in an action for a violation of any of those acts, is liable for all remedies that are available for such a violation to the same extent that a public entity other than a state is liable.

BILL

For further information see the *state* fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

1 **SECTION 1.** 103.10 (15) of the statutes is created to read:

2 **103.10 (15) STATE LIABILITY UNDER FEDERAL FAMILY AND MEDICAL LEAVE ACT.** The
3 state may be sued in a federal or state court of competent jurisdiction for a violation
4 of the federal Family and Medical Leave Act of 1993, 29 USC 2601 to 2654, and, in
5 an action for a violation of that act, is liable for all remedies that are available for such
6 a violation to the same extent that a public entity other than a state is liable.

7 **SECTION 2.** 109.115 of the statutes is created to read:

8 **109.115 State liability under Federal Fair Labor Standards Act.** An
9 employer, as defined in s. 103.01 (1) (b) or 104.01 (3) (b), may be sued in a federal or
10 state court of competent jurisdiction for a violation of the federal Fair Labor
11 Standards Act, 29 USC 201 to 219, and, in an action for a violation of that act, is liable
12 for all remedies that are available for such a violation to the same extent that a public
13 entity other than a state is liable.

14 **SECTION 3.** 111.40 of the statutes is created to read:

15 **111.40 State liability under federal age and disability discrimination**
16 **laws.** The state or an agency, as defined in s. 111.32 (6) (a), may be sued in a federal
17 or state court of competent jurisdiction for a violation of the federal Age
18 Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967, 29 USC 621 to 634, or Title I of the
19 federal Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 42 USC 12111 to 12117, and, in an
20 action for a violation of either of those acts, is liable for all remedies that are available
21 for such a violation to the same extent that a public entity other than a state is liable.

Basford, Sarah

From: Peterson, Eric
Sent: Tuesday, February 05, 2008 1:45 PM
To: LRB.Legal
Subject: RUSH Draft Review: LRB 07-4022/1 Topic: Liability of state under federal employment laws

Please Jacket LRB 07-4022/1 for the SENATE.