# Fiscal Estimate - 2007 Session

X	Original		Updated		Correc	ted		Suppler	mental
LRB	Number	07-4155/1		Intro	ductio	n Numb	er S	B-532	
	vehicle opera	ting privileges, of canceled ider			enforcen	nent officer	rs of ope	rator's lic	enses,
Fiscal	Effect		<u> </u>				,		
	No State Fisc Indeterminate Increase E Appropria Decrease Appropria	Existing tions Existing	Rever Decre	ase Existing	<b>)</b>	to abso	orb within Yes	n agency'	possible s budget
	No Local Gov Indeterminate 1. Increase Permiss 2. Decrease	e Costs sive Mandato	3. Increa	se Revenue ssive	ndatory ie	☐ Tow ☐ Cou ☐ Sch	ment Uni ns [ Inties [	its Affecto Village Others WTCS Districts	Cities
	Sources Affe	44 <u>144 -                               </u>				ected Ch.	1 - 1	ropriatio	ns
Lij Gi	PR 🔲 FED	PRO [	]PRS 🛛 S	SEG US	EGS 20.	395(5)(cq)			
	cy/Prepared	<b>By</b> en (608) 266-14		<b>Authorized</b> Julie Johnso				1	<b>Date</b> 3/4/2008

# Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOT 3/4/2008

LRB Number	07-4155/1	Introduction Number	SB-532	Estimate Type	Original	
Description						
Motor vehicle operating privileges, seizures by courts or law enforcement officers of operator's licenses,						
and reinstatement of canceled identification cards						

### **Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate**

#### **BILL SUMMARY**

The proposal contains five provisions:

#### 1. License confiscation

In current law if a court suspends a driver license they are required to immediately take possession of the license and forward it to the DOT, along with the order of suspension. Likewise a law enforcement officer who arrests someone for OWI must take possession of the license.

This proposal removes the requirement that a court must confiscate the license. IF they choose to confiscate, they are required to destroy it rather than forward it to the DMV. It also prohibits a law enforcement officer from taking possession of the driver license of a person arrested for OWI.

#### 2. Reinstatement

In current law the driver license reinstatement process varies by whether the license was revoked, suspended, cancelled or disqualified. Some reinstatements must be done in person, some require a new license to be issued, and in some cases the license issued is for an abbreviated amount of time. This proposal applies the reinstatement process consistently across the board, allowing DMV to accept a reinstatement without an appearance by the individual at a DMV office, and allowing the individual to retain the license they previously held with the same expiration date.

#### 3. 4TH offense OWS

In current law if an individual is convicted of four operating while suspended, operating after revocation, or operating while disqualified charges, or a combination of those charges, within a 5-year period, the court is required to revoke their operating privilege. If the court fails to order a revocation, DMV is required to administratively impose it.

This proposal allows a court to have discretion on a 4th offense OWS, OAR or OWD, and removes the requirement that DMV administratively revoke in that scenario.

## 4. After application suspensions

In current law, some driver license suspensions, specifically juvenile non-driving offenses, don't begin until the individual appears at a DMV office and files an application.

This proposal would change the provisions on when these specific suspensions would begin, making them effective when the individual is eligible for a license, rather than when they file an application.

#### 5. Non-resident reinstatement

In current law when an individual moves to Wisconsin from another state, DMV does a review of their previous driving record, and if offenses are found for which the individual would've been revoked or suspended in Wisconsin, action is taken on them as if the offense had been committed here. In some cases this results in a revocation of the new residents operating privilege.

This bill allows new residents who have served their time in their previous state to be licensed in Wisconsin and not face a new, unanticipated revocation or suspension.

#### **ASSUMPTIONS**

Most of the provisions have minor impacts on Department workload and revenue. Many of the provisions establish consistency in procedure and convenience for handling of customers.

#### 1. License confiscation

This provision could cause less visits to DMV offices to have state ID cards issued. Fewer visits to DMV

offices will result in labor savings while fewer state ID's issued will result in a decrease in revenue.

#### 2. Reinstatement

This provision would cause a reduction in visits to DMV offices for the purposes of reinstatement. Fewer visits to DMV offices will result in labor savings. Allowing revocation reinstatements to maintain their existing expiration date, rather than issuing a 2-year license, will result in a decrease in revenue.

#### 3. 4th offense OWS

This provision would require data processing work for updating the DMV systems to not process an automatic revocation when receiving a fourth offense OWS/OAR/OWD conviction. DP estimate is \$3400. This provision may result in a reduction in the number of individual's revoked. Generally speaking a reduction in the number of revocations will result in a decrease in revenue due to a reduced collection of reinstatement fees. However, in this case the individuals impacted would already be under license withdrawal and be subject to a reinstatement fee. Therefore revenue is not impacted. A reduction in the number of revocations processed will result in labor savings.

#### 4. After application suspensions

This provision would cause a reduction in visits to DMV offices by not requiring a visit to begin a suspension period. Fewer visits to DMV offices will result in labor savings.

#### 5. Non-resident reinstatement

This provision may cause a reduction in the number of withdrawals processed for new residents. However, the bulk of the work for these circumstances lays in the review of the new residents record which is still required under this provision.

#### CONCLUSION

Final fiscal impacts for this proposal are still being determined, therefore the fiscal effect is indeterminate. The proposal contains many provisions that result in efficiencies for the Department, and some provisions that may result in a reduction in revenue.

License confiscation: labor savings, reduced revenue Reinstatement: labor savings, reduced revenue

4th offense OWS: labor savings

After application suspensions: labor savings Non-resident reinstatement: no fiscal effect

**Long-Range Fiscal Implications** 

# Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2007 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

$\boxtimes$	Original Dp	dated Corrected	Supplemental				
LRB	Number 07-4155/1	Introduction Numb	oer <b>SB-532</b>				
Descr Motor license	iption vehicle operating privileges, se es, and reinstatement of cance						
	-time Costs or Revenue Impa Ilized fiscal effect):	acts for State and/or Local Governme	ent (do not include in				
II. Anr	nualized Costs:	Annualized Fisc	Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:				
		Increased Costs	Decreased Costs				
A. Sta	te Costs by Category						
Stat	e Operations - Salaries and Fr	inges \$	\$				
(FT	E Position Changes)						
Stat	te Operations - Other Costs						
Loc	al Assistance						
Aids	s to Individuals or Organization	S					
T	OTAL State Costs by Catego	ory \$	\$				
B. Sta	ite Costs by Source of Funds						
GP		ast.					
FEI	<b>)</b>						
PR	O/PRS						
SE	G/SEG-S						
III. Sta	ate Revenues - Complete this ues (e.g., tax increase, decre	s only when proposal will increase or ease in license fee, ets.)	decrease state				
		Increased Rev	Decreased Rev				
GP	R Taxes	\$	\$				
GP	R Earned	・					
FEI							
PR	O/PRS						
SE	G/SEG-S						
	OTAL State Revenues	\$	\$				
	NET	ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT					
		<u>State</u>	Local				
NET (	CHANGE IN COSTS	\$	\$				
NET (	CHANGE IN REVENUE	\$	\$				
Agen	cy/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date				
	Mitchell Warren (608) 266-144	_	3/4/2008				