

**2007 DRAFTING REQUEST**

**Senate Amendment (SA-SJR5)**

Received: 11/13/2007

Received By: **rchampag**

Wanted: **Today**

Identical to LRB:

For: **Fred Risser (608) 266-1627**

By/Representing: **Terry**

This file may be shown to any legislator: **NO**

Drafter: **rchampag**

May Contact:

Addl. Drafters:

Subject: **Constitutional Amendments**

Extra Copies:

Submit via email: **YES**

Requester's email: **Sen.Risser@legis.wisconsin.gov**

Carbon copy (CC:) to:

---

**Pre Topic:**

No specific pre topic given

---

**Topic:**

Date of referendum

---

**Instructions:**

See Attached

---

**Drafting History:**

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/1	rchampag 11/13/2007	kfollett 11/13/2007	nmatzke 11/13/2007	_____	sbasford 11/13/2007	sbasford 11/13/2007	

FE Sent For:

<END>

**2007 DRAFTING REQUEST**

**Senate Amendment (SA-SJR5)**

Received: 11/13/2007

Received By: **rchampag**

Wanted: **Today**

Identical to LRB:

For: **Fred Risser (608) 266-1627**

By/Representing: **Terry**

This file may be shown to any legislator: **NO**

Drafter: **rchampag**

May Contact:

Addl. Drafters:

Subject: **Constitutional Amendments**

Extra Copies:

Submit via email: **YES**

Requester's email: **Sen.Risser@legis.wisconsin.gov**

Carbon copy (CC:) to:

---

**Pre Topic:**

No specific pre topic given

---

**Topic:**

Date of referendum

---

**Instructions:**

See Attached

---

**Drafting History:**

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/1	rchampag	11/13 f 11/13	nwn 11/13	nwn 11/13			

FE Sent For:

<END>

## Champagne, Rick

---

**From:** Tuschen, Terry  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 13, 2007 10:17 AM  
**To:** Champagne, Rick  
**Cc:** LRB.Legal; Tuschen, Terry  
**Subject:** Risser Request: Amendment to SJR-5 RUSH

**Importance:** High

Hi Rick, Fred needs an amendment drafted to Senate Joint Resolution 5, lines 8-10, really line 10. Change the date from 2007 to 2008 - so that the statewide referendum vote would occur in April 2008.

An executive session on this joint resolution is scheduled for tomorrow so this is sort of a Rush request. Thanks and let me know if you have any questions.

\*\*\*\*\*

Terry Tuschen  
Office of State Senator Fred Risser  
220 South, State Capitol  
Madison, WI 53702  
608.266.1627  
Terry.Tuschen@legis.state.wi.us

## 2007 SENATE JOINT RESOLUTION 5

January 16, 2007 – Introduced by Senators CARPENTER, HARSDORF, LEHMAN, S. FITZGERALD, DARLING, GROTHMAN, OLSEN, A. LASEE, SCHULTZ, LEIBHAM, KEDZIE, COWLES, ROESSLER, KAPANKE, LAZICH, KANAVAS and ELLIS, cosponsored by Representatives FRISKE, STONE, ALBERS, PRIDEMORE, KERKMAN, GUNDRUM, LOTHIAN, BALLWEG, STRACHOTA, MUSSER, BIES, TAUCHEN, J. FITZGERALD, TOWNSEND, VOS, GUNDERSON, NERISON, GOTTLIEB, KESTELL, MONTGOMERY, SUDER, HAHN, JESKEWITZ, MOULTON, VAN ROY, KLEEFISCH, MURSAU, RHOADES, KRAMER, F. LASEE, HONADEL, WOOD, ZIEGELBAUER, OWENS, NASS, MURTHA, NYGREN, PETERSEN, M. WILLIAMS, MEYER, OTT, VUKMIR, HINES and LEMAHIEU. Referred to Committee on Ethics Reform and Government Operations.

- 1 **To amend** section 10 (1) (c) of article V of the constitution; **relating to:** prohibiting  
2 partial vetoes from creating new sentences (second consideration).

---

### *Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau*

#### EXPLANATION OF PROPOSAL

This proposed constitutional amendment, to be given second consideration by the 2007 legislature for submittal to the voters in April 2007, was first considered by the 2005 legislature in 2005 Senate Joint Resolution 33, which became 2005 Enrolled Joint Resolution 46.

The proposed constitutional amendment prohibits the governor, in exercising his or her partial veto authority on appropriation bills, from creating new sentences by combining parts of two or more sentences of the enrolled bill.

#### PROCEDURE FOR SECOND CONSIDERATION

When a proposed constitutional amendment is before the legislature on second consideration, any change in the text approved by the preceding legislature causes the proposed constitutional amendment to revert to first consideration status so that second consideration approval would have to be given by the next legislature before the proposal may be submitted to the people for ratification [see joint rule 57 (2)].

If the legislature approves a proposed constitutional amendment on second consideration, it must also set the date for submitting the proposed constitutional





State of Wisconsin  
2007 - 2008 LEGISLATURE

LRBa0892/1

RAC: *ef*

*Today*

**SENATE AMENDMENT ,  
TO 2007 SENATE JOINT RESOLUTION 5**

- 1 At the locations indicated, amend the joint resolution as follows:
- 2 **1.** Page 2, line 10: delete "2007" and substitute "2008".
- 3 (END)

**SENATE AMENDMENT 1,  
TO 2007 SENATE JOINT RESOLUTION 5**

November 30, 2007 - Offered by COMMITTEE ON ETHICS REFORM AND GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS.

1 At the locations indicated, amend the joint resolution as follows:

2 1. Page 2, line 10: delete "2007" and substitute "2008".

3 (END)



SAI-1