

2007 DRAFTING REQUEST

Senate Amendment (SA-AB254)

Received: **11/28/2007**

Received By: **jkreye**

Wanted: **Today**

Identical to LRB:

For: **Dale Schultz (608) 266-0703**

By/Representing:

This file may be shown to any legislator: **NO**

Drafter: **jkreye**

May Contact:

Addl. Drafters: **mshovers**

Subject: **Local Gov't - munis generally**

Extra Copies:

Submit via email: **YES**

Requester's email: **Sen.Schultz@legis.wisconsin.gov**

Carbon copy (CC:) to: **joseph.kreye@legis.wisconsin.gov**
marc.shovers@legis.wisconsin.gov

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

Municipal boundary agreements; prohibiting certain mediation petitions

Instructions:

See Attached

Drafting History:

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/?	jkreye 11/28/2007	kfollett 11/28/2007		_____			
/1			jfrantze 11/28/2007	_____	mbarman 11/28/2007	mbarman 11/28/2007	

FE Sent For:

<END>

2007 DRAFTING REQUEST

Senate Amendment (SA-AB254)

Received: 11/28/2007

Received By: **jkreye**

Wanted: **Today**

Identical to LRB:

For: **Dale Schultz (608) 266-0703**

By/Representing:

This file may be shown to any legislator: **NO**

Drafter: **jkreye**

May Contact:

Addl. Drafters: **mshovers**

Subject: **Local Gov't - munis generally**

Extra Copies:

Submit via email: **YES**

Requester's email: **Sen.Schultz@legis.wisconsin.gov**

Carbon copy (CC:) to: **joseph.kreye@legis.wisconsin.gov**
marc.shovers@legis.wisconsin.gov

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given



Topic:

Municipal boundary agreements; prohibiting certain mediation petitions

Instructions:

See Attached

Drafting History:

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/?	jkreye	11/15/07 11/28/07		 11/28			

FE Sent For:

<END>

Kreye, Joseph

From: Simatic, Kurt
Sent: Friday, November 23, 2007 11:52 AM
To: Kreye, Joseph
Subject: FW: Draft review: LRB 07a0796/P1 Topic: Municipal boundary agreements; prohibiting certain mediation petitions
Attachments: LRBa0796_P1; LRBa0796_P1 Drafters_Note

Joe:

We would like this drafted as a Senate amendment in time for the exec next Wednesday.

If you have any questions, please contact Terri Griffiths in our office. I will be out of the office until December 10.

Thanks.

Kurt Simatic
Office of State Representative Sheryl K. Albers
115 West
State Capitol
Madison, WI 53708
608-266-8531
kurt.simatic@legis.wisconsin.gov

From: Duerst, Christina
Sent: Friday, October 26, 2007 3:55 PM
To: Rep.Albers
Subject: Draft review: LRB 07a0796/P1 Topic: Municipal boundary agreements; prohibiting certain mediation petitions

Following is the PDF version of draft LRB 07a0796/P1 and drafter's note.

a 09/13/11
LRBa0796/P1
JK&MES:bjk:rs
stays

~~PRELIMINARY DRAFT - NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION~~
SENATE AMENDMENT ,
TO 2007 ASSEMBLY BILL 254

now 11/28/07

- 1 At the locations indicated, amend the bill as follows:
- 2 **1.** Page 18, line 10: delete "As" and substitute "Except as provided in par. (am),
- 3 as".
- 4 **2.** Page 19, line 3: delete "A" and substitute "Except as provided in par. (am),
- 5 a".
- 6 **3.** Page 19, line 14: after that line insert:
- 7 "(am) The department shall disregard a petition for mediation under par. (a)
- 8 2. if the department determines, from the governing body of the adjacent town
- 9 described in par. (a) 2. a., that the city or village has acted in bad faith with regard
- 10 to adopting a cooperative plan under sub. (4). The department shall contact the
- 11 governing body of the adjacent town within 30 days from the date that it received the
- 12 petition to determine if the city or village has acted in bad faith and shall consider

1 the city or village to have acted in bad faith if the city or village vetoed zoning
2 classification changes approved or recommended by the town, imposed conditions on
3 a potential developer that were not sought or supported by the town, denied multiple
4 requests for commercial development or residential construction with regard to any
5 disputed territory, required landowners seeking to build on property located on or
6 near any extraterritorial property line to grant a right-of-way to the city or village
7 even if the property remains unimproved for several years, or acted in any similar
8 manner.”.

9 (END)