### Fiscal Estimate - 2007 Session

Original	Updated	Correc	ted	Supple	emental			
LRB Number 07-2167/	1	Introductio	n Number	AB-026	7			
Description Retention of personal identification data by retailers								
Fiscal Effect								
State:  No State Fiscal Effect Indeterminate Increase Existing Appropriations Decrease Existing Appropriations Create New Appropriation Local: No Local Government Cost		Existing	Increase Co to absorb wi Yes	ithin agency s				
Indeterminate  1. Increase Costs Permissive Mandat  2. Decrease Costs Permissive Mandat	3.  Increase Fory Permissive 4.  Decrease	e Mandatory	5.Types of Loc Government Towns Counties School Districts	Units Affect	Cities			
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations  GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS 20.115(1)(a)								
Agency/Prepared By	orized Signatu	Date						
DATCP/ Michelle Reinen (608) 22	24-5160 Barb	Barb Knapp (608) 224-4746 10/19/2007						

# Fiscal Estimate Narratives DATCP 10/19/2007

LRB Number	07-2167/1	Introduction Number	AB-0267	Estimate Type	Original			
Description								
Retention of personal identification data by retailers								

#### **Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate**

This bill would prohibit a retailer from retaining a customer's personal identification data for longer than necessary to complete a transaction with the customer.

Based on the department's experience the best way to assure compliance by retailers, thus protecting consumers from a potential data breach, would be through affirmative enforcement. The department believes affirmative enforcement through inspection is the best approach because the alternative is the department becoming aware of non-compliance after a data breach occurs. At this point consumers personal information has been compromised and they are at risk of identity theft.

The department has determined that inspecting businesses regulated by this bill would take approximately 4000 hours as there are thousands of business in the state that would fall under this regulation and new businesses openly weekly. Therefore, the department anticipates an additional 2.0 FTEs will be necessary in the Office of Privacy Protection to process the increase in workload.

#### **Long-Range Fiscal Implications**

## Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2007 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

	Original		Updated			Corrected			Supplemental	
LRB	Number	07-2167	/1		Introduction Number AB-0267					
	ription tion of persor	nal identificati	on data by re	taile	rs					
I. One annua	e-time Costs alized fiscal e	or Revenue	Impacts for	State	e and/or		rnmen	t (do	not include in	
	setup, desks		computers, a	and s	nd supplies \$20,000  Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds fro				ct on funds from:	
III. AIII	idalized COS	, i.s.		$\dashv$		Increased Co		impa	Decreased Costs	
A. Sta	ite Costs by	Category		1_						
<del></del>	te Operations		d Fringes	T		\$107,3	300		\$	
<del> </del>	E Position Ch			1		(2.0 F	TE)			
Stat	te Operations	- Other Cost	S	T		25,0	000			
Loc	al Assistance									
Aids	s to Individual	ls or Organiza	ations							
Т	OTAL State	Costs by Ca	tegory			\$132,3	300	\$		
B. Sta	ite Costs by	Source of Fu	unds							
GPI	R					132,3	300			
FEC	)									
PRO	O/PRS									
SEC	G/SEG-S						<u>_</u>			
	ate Revenues ues (e.g., tax						se or d	ecrea	se state	
						Increased F	Rev		Decreased Rev	
<u> </u>	GPR Taxes			\$			\$			
GPI	R Earned									
FEC										
<del></del>	D/PRS									
	G/SEG-S			_						
TOTAL State Revenues					\$	\$				
			NET ANNUA	LIZE	ED FISC					
				_			ate		Local	
NET CHANGE IN COSTS					\$132,3		\$			
NEIC	CHANGE IN F	REVENUE					\$		\$	
Agend	cy/Prepared	By		Autl	norized	Signature			Date	
				b Knapp (608) 224-4746 10/19/20			10/19/2007			