

### Fiscal Estimate - 2007 Session

Original       Updated       Corrected       Supplemental

<b>LRB Number</b> 07-0650/1		<b>Introduction Number</b> AB-0005	
<b>Description</b> Certain telephone solicitations and creating a penalty			
<b>Fiscal Effect</b>			
<b>State:</b>			
<input type="checkbox"/> No State Fiscal Effect			
<input type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate			
<input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Appropriations		<input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Revenues	
<input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Appropriations		<input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Revenues	
<input type="checkbox"/> Create New Appropriations		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs - May be possible to absorb within agency's budget <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs	
<b>Local:</b>			
<input type="checkbox"/> No Local Government Costs			
<input type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate			
1. <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs		3. <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Revenue	
<input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory		<input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory	
2. <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs		4. <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Revenue	
<input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory		<input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory	
5. Types of Local Government Units Affected			
<input type="checkbox"/> Towns		<input type="checkbox"/> Village <input type="checkbox"/> Cities	
<input type="checkbox"/> Counties		<input type="checkbox"/> Others	
<input type="checkbox"/> School Districts		<input type="checkbox"/> WTCS Districts	
<b>Fund Sources Affected</b>		<b>Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/> GPR <input type="checkbox"/> FED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PRO <input type="checkbox"/> PRS <input type="checkbox"/> SEG <input type="checkbox"/> SEGS 20.115(8)(jm)			
<b>Agency/Prepared By</b>		<b>Authorized Signature</b>	<b>Date</b>
DATCP/ Michelle Reinen (608) 224-5160		Barb Knapp (608) 224-4746	2/2/2007

## Fiscal Estimate Narratives

DATCP 2/5/2007

LRB Number 07-0650/1	Introduction Number AB-0005	Estimate Type Original
<b>Description</b> Certain telephone solicitations and creating a penalty		

### Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

This bill will allow qualified cellular telephones to be added to the No-Call list. It is assumed that there are two cellular telephone numbers for every "hard wired" telephone number. Thus the list and administration and enforcement efforts will increase by 200%.

Administration of current no-call law is funded by fees charged to telemarketers who are required to register with the department and obtain a copy of the list. Under this bill and existing rules those annual fees are collected in quarterly installments which may be reduced or waived if the program fund exceeds program needs. The department has waived two quarters of the past year's fees. It is assumed that all additional costs associated with this bill can be absorbed by increasing the spending authority and collecting additional quarterly installments of the current registration fees.

It is assumed that the size of the no-call list will increase by approximately 2.8 million numbers. The department has a contract with a vendor to maintain the web site and toll free number by which consumer can register their number on the list. The vendor also compiles the list and ships it to telemarketers who register with the department. The cost to add cellular numbers to the list is estimated at \$718,000. This cost can be recouped by increased spending authority in the existing account.

Additionally, No-Call complaints are expected to increase. The department anticipates an additional 3,000 complaints annually, resulting in approximately 100 in-depth investigations. The current list is administered by 6.5 FTE. The department anticipates an additional 3.0 FTE will be necessary to process the increase in compliance efforts. Additional spending authority from existing funds will cover the expenses associated with these positions.

### Long-Range Fiscal Implications

## Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2007 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

Original     
  Updated     
  Corrected     
  Supplemental

<b>LRB Number</b> <b>07-0650/1</b>		<b>Introduction Number</b> <b>AB-0005</b>	
<b>Description</b> Certain telephone solicitations and creating a penalty			
<b>I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in annualized fiscal effect):</b>  Office setup, desks, telephones, computers, and supplies \$30,000.			
<b>II. Annualized Costs:</b>		<b>Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:</b>	
		Increased Costs	Decreased Costs
<b>A. State Costs by Category</b>			
State Operations - Salaries and Fringes	\$157,300		\$
(FTE Position Changes)	(3.0 FTE)		
State Operations - Other Costs	755,500		
Local Assistance			
Aids to Individuals or Organizations			
<b>TOTAL State Costs by Category</b>	<b>\$912,800</b>		<b>\$</b>
<b>B. State Costs by Source of Funds</b>			
GPR			
FED			
PRO/PRS	912,800		
SEG/SEG-S			
<b>III. State Revenues - Complete this only when proposal will increase or decrease state revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, etc.)</b>			
	Increased Rev		Decreased Rev
GPR Taxes	\$		\$
GPR Earned			
FED			
PRO/PRS			
SEG/SEG-S			
<b>TOTAL State Revenues</b>	<b>\$</b>		<b>\$</b>
<b>NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT</b>			
	State		Local
NET CHANGE IN COSTS	\$912,800		\$
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE	\$		\$
<b>Agency/Prepared By</b>		<b>Authorized Signature</b>	<b>Date</b>
DATCP/ Michelle Reinen (608) 224-5160		Barb Knapp (608) 224-4746	2/2/2007