Fiscal Estimate - 2009 Session

	Original		Updated		Corrected		Supple	mental	
LRB	Number	09-0710/1		Intro	duction Nu	umber <i>i</i>	AB-006	1	
Description Motor vehicle operating privilege suspensions for controlled substance violations									
Fiscal	Fiscal Effect								
Local	No Local Gov	Existing tions Existing tions tions w Appropriation		s Existing	to	crease Cost absorb with Yes ecrease Cos	nin agency		
	2. Decreas	e Costs sive 🔲 Mandato	4. Decrease	ve ☐ Mai Revenu	Go ndatory	overnment U Towns Counties School Districts		Cities	
Fund Sources Affected GPR PRO PRO SEG SEGS 20.395(2)(ex), (fx), (nx), (ox), (3)(bx), (cx), (cy), (ex), and (ix)									
Agen	cy/Prepared	Ву	Aut	horized	Signature			Date	
DOT/ Julie Johnson (608) 267-3703 Julie J				Johnson (608) 267-3703				3/9/2009	

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOT 3/9/2009

LRB Number 09-0710/1	Introduction Number	AB-0061	Estimate Type	Updated			
Description Motor vehicle operating privilege suspensions for controlled substance violations							

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

BILL SUMMARY

In current law, courts are required to suspend the operating privilege of an individual convicted of a chapter 938 or 961 controlled substance violation. Additionally, if DOT receives notice from another jurisdiction of a similar conviction, DOT is required to suspend the operating privilege. This proposal makes suspensions in both scenarios the discretion of the court or DOT.

ASSUMPTIONS

- This proposal is being analyzed as if it were enacted without a Joint Resolution of both houses of the legislature opposing the Federal drug law, and without written certification stating the Governor is opposed to the enactment or enforcement of the Federal drug law.
- Without the Joint Resolution or written certification mentioned above, it is assumed Wisconsin will not be compliant with the Federal drug law. Non-compliance will result in a 10% withholding of Federal apportionments for Interstate Maintenance, the Federal Highways System, and the Surface Transportation Program.

CONCLUSION

- Annual reduction in federal funding for highway programs of \$51,033,149.

NOTE: If the Joint Resolution of both houses of the legislature and written certification by the Governor as mentioned above occur, the fiscal impact is zero.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

See above.

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2009 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

	Original	\boxtimes	Updated		Corrected		Supplemental			
LRB	Number	09-0710	/1	Intro	duction Nu	mber	AB-0061			
Descr Motor		iting privilege	suspensions	for controlle	ed substance v	iolations				
annua	lized fiscal e	effect):	·				not include in			
Surfac	e Transporta ould be \$51,0	tion Program	for each fisca	erstate Mair Il year. Base	ed on FFY09 ap	pportionme	ay System, and ents, the annual			
II. Ann	ualized Cos	ts:			Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:					
					Increased Cos	its	Decreased Costs			
A. Sta	te Costs by	Category								
Stat	e Operations	- Salaries an	d Fringes			\$	\$			
(FTI	E Position Ch	anges)								
Stat	e Operations	- Other Cost	3							
Loca	al Assistance									
Aids	to Individual	s or Organiza	ations							
T	TOTAL State Costs by Category					\$	\$			
B. Sta	te Costs by	Source of Fu	ınds							
GPF	3									
FED)									
PRO	D/PRS									
SEG	S/SEG-S									
			this only whe		l will increase ts.)	or decrea	ase state			
					Increased Re	ev	Decreased Rev			
GPR Taxes					\$	\$				
GPF	R Earned									
FED)									
PRO	D/PRS									
SEC	S/SEG-S									
TOTAL State Revenues					\$	\$				
			NET ANNUAL	IZED FISC	AL IMPACT					
					Sta	te	Local			
NET CHANGE IN COSTS					\$	\$				
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE					\$ \$					
Agend	cy/Prepared	Ву		Authorized	Signature		Date			
DOT/ Julie Johnson (608) 267-3703 Julie				Julie Johnso	lie Johnson (608) 267-3703 3/9/200					