Fiscal Estimate - 2009 Session

\boxtimes	Original		Updated		Corrected		Supple	mental
LRB	Number	09-1706/1		Introd	duction Nur	mber A	B-009	2
Description The regulation, preservation, and restoration of historic buildings; the supplement to the federal historic rehabilitation tax credit and the state historic rehabilitation tax credit; requiring the certification of downtowns; promoting certain downtown areas in this state; highway projects involving business and downtown areas; granting rule-making authority; and making appropriations								
Fiscal	Effect					<u> </u>		
	No State Fisc Indeterminate Increase E Appropriat Decrease Appropriat Create Ne	Existing ions Existing	Rever Decre Rever	ease Existing	to a	rease Costs bsorb within Yes crease Cost	n agency	
Local: No Local Government Costs Indeterminate 1. Increase Costs Permissive Mandatory 2. Decrease Costs Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Districts 5. Types of Local Government Units Affected Towns Counties Others School WTCS Districts								
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations								
☐ GPR ☐ FED ☑ PRO ☐ PRS ☐ SEG ☐ SEGS S. 20.143 (1) (gb)								
Agen	cy/Prepared l	Ву		Authorized :	Signature			Date
COMM/ Debra Miller (608) 266-8603 Louie				Louie Cornel	uie Cornelius (608) 266-8629			

Fiscal Estimate Narratives COMM 3/10/2009

LRB Number	09-1706/1	Introduction Number	AB-0092	Estimate Type	Original
Danadist					

Description

The regulation, preservation, and restoration of historic buildings; the supplement to the federal historic rehabilitation tax credit and the state historic rehabilitation tax credit; requiring the certification of downtowns; promoting certain downtown areas in this state; highway projects involving business and downtown areas; granting rule-making authority; and making appropriations

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

2009 Wisconsin AB 92 makes numerous changes regarding historic buildings and downtown development, topics which impact and involve the Department of Commerce, and also makes changes regarding major highway projects.

Historic Buildings

The Department currently administers Comm 70, the Historic Building Code, which provides alternative building standards for preserving or restoring buildings or structures designated as historic buildings. The Historic Building Code is a uniform code. Therefore, the Department currently processes petitions for variance from the code. S. 101.02 (7), Stats., indicates redress for individuals impacted by local orders that contradict Department of Commerce orders.

This legislation would require the Department to interpret the historic building code "liberally". There would be no fiscal impact on the Department resulting from this aspect of the legislation. The legislation also allows political subdivisions to issue variances relating to handrails or guardrails of qualified historic buildings. The Department receives less than 50 submittals annually for review under the Historic Building Code and therefore estimates that the number of variances granted would be negligible, resulting in a negligible decline in variances and resulting revenue. The legislation provides redress for individuals from local orders regarding historic buildings, and requires the Department to consult with the State Historical Society before making its decision. The Department anticipates a workload similar to the current redress process and therefore no fiscal impact resulting from this aspect of the legislation. The legislation would require the Department to develop and publish an informational brochure regarding the historic building code. As the number of annual submittals is low, the Department estimates that the workload associated with this can be absorbed within current resources.

Downtown Development

This legislation requires the Department to promulgate rules for the certification of downtowns and includes a number of factors that must be included when determining whether or not a downtown may qualify for certification. The legislation also requires the Department to develop and publish guidelines to aid communities in reconstructing central business districts that are destroyed or severely damaged in major disasters.

AB 92 provides for an additional 2.0 FTE positions to the Department in response to the workload generated by the bill. Due to the nature of the additional workload, the Department estimates that it would need 2.0 FTE Grants Specialist-Advanced positions to administer the provisions created under this bill. The costs for these positions are outlined below. In addition, the Department is required to promulgate administrative rules to define certified downtowns. The Department will be able to absorb those expenses with the 2.0 FTE positions included in this legislation.

The bill funds the additional 2.0 FTE positions through a provision requiring eligible recipients to pay two percent of the qualified expenses of rehabilitating their historical building to the State Historical Society as a fee for certifying the rehabilitation. The State Historical Society must then transfer 50 percent of those fees to the Department to support the costs of the provisions under this bill. The Department receives between 15 and 25 historical building plan review submittals per year. The Department does not keep records indicating the scope or anticipated expenses for each project. The amount of revenue needed to support the costs associated with the 2.0 FTE positions would be an annual average of 20 projects with an average of \$500,000 in qualified rehabilitation expenditures.

2.0 FTE Grants Specialist-Advanced Salary: \$96,000 Fringe: \$42,400 Overhead: \$18,100 Rent: \$ 3,600 Supplies and Services: \$12,000 One-Time Costs: \$14,000 Total Costs: \$186,100

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2009 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

✓ Original	ginal		Updated			Corrected		Suppl	emental	
LRB Num	nber 09- 1	1706/	1		Intro	duction N	umber	AB-00)92	
historic reha of downtown	on, preservation bilitation tax c is; promoting ovn areas; grar	redit ar certain	id the state h downtown ar	istor eas	ic rehab in this s	ilitation tax c tate; highway	redit; requ projects	iiring the c	ertification	
annualized	Costs or Rev fiscal effect): e-time costs of		•							
II. Annualiz	ed Costs:				Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:					
						Increased Co	osts	Decre	ased Costs	
A. State Co	sts by Catego	ory								
State Ope	rations - Sala	ries and	d Fringes			\$138, ₄	400	\$0		
(FTE Posi	tion Changes))				(2.0 F	TE)	(0.0 FTE)		
State Ope	rations - Othe	r Costs				33,	700	0		
Local Ass	istance						0	0		
Aids to Inc	Aids to Individuals or Organizations						0	C		
TOTAL	State Costs	by Cat	egory			\$172 ,	100	\$0		
B. State Co	sts by Source	e of Fu	nds							
GPR							0		0	
FED						×	0	0		
PRO/PRS					172,	100	0			
SEG/SEG-S							0		0	
	venues - Con e.g., tax incre						se or dec	rease stat	e	
					Increased I	Rev	Decreased Rev			
GPR Taxe	GPR Taxes						\$0	\$(
GPR Earned						0	0			
FED							0	0		
PRO/PRS					200,0	000	0			
SEG/SEG	SEG/SEG-S					0	0			
TOTAL State Revenues					\$200,	000	\$0			
		١	IET ANNUA	LIZE	D FISC	AL IMPACT				
						<u>S</u> 1	tate		Local	
NET CHANGE IN COSTS					\$172, ⁻	100	\$0			
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE					\$200,0	000	\$0			
Agency/Prepared By				Autl	thorized Signature				Date	
COMM/ Debra Miller (608) 266-8603 Lou				Loui	uie Cornelius (608) 266-8629				3/10/2009	