### Fiscal Estimate - 2009 Session

	Original		Updated		Corrected		Supple	emental
LRB 1	Number	09-0403/1		Introd	luction Nun	nber <b>A</b> l	B-009	3
<b>Descrip</b> Prohibit		utomated telep	hone solicitati	ons				
Fiscal E	ffect							
Local:	lo Local Gov ndeterminate	ixisting ions Existing ions w Appropriation ernment Costs c Costs ive Mandato	Rever Decree Rever	ease Existing nues	to all Dec	ease Costs psorb within Yes rease Costs s of Local ernment Unit	agency	ed Cities
2	. Decreas	e Costs ive Mandato		ease Revenue ssive Man	LIS	School [ Districts	WTCS District	
Fund Sources Affected  Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations  GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS 20.115(8)(jm)								
Agency	/Prepared E	Ву		Authorized S	Signature			Date
DATCP/ Michelle Reinen (608) 224-5160 Bill				Bill Walker (6	Walker (608) 224-4353			

# Fiscal Estimate Narratives DATCP 4/8/2009

LRB Number 09-0403/1	Introduction Number	AB-0093	Estimate Type	Original					
Description Prohibiting certain automated telephone solicitations									

#### Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

This bill expands the definition of "telephone solicitation" to include a telephone call initiated using an automatic dialing announcing device. The bill also prohibits the use of an automatic dialing announcing device to initiate an unsolicited telephone call, if the recipient of the call is included in the DATCP directory (on the No Call list).

It is assumed that the number of registered telemarketers would increase to include currently unregulated telemarketers (political parties and charities). This assumption is made as the bill prohibits the use of an automatic dialing announcing device to initiate an unsolicited telephone call, if the recipient of the call is included in the DATCP directory (on the No Call list). Therefore, if a political party, or charity wants to use an automatic dialing announcing device to leave a pre-recorded message for an individual or household, as many currently do, they would need to register as a telemarketer with the department and obtain a current copy a telephone numbers on the No Call list.

It is also assumed that the number of consumer complaints and in turn investigations would increase. It is assumed that there will be additional complaints received from consumers as more calling groups will be regulated by this law than under the current no-call law. Under this bill charity groups and political groups will not be exempt nor will government units, unless they are alerting a consumer of a danger to health or safety. These additional complaints will also develop into investigations, while currently they are not covered and therefore are resolved in the initial minutes of a telephone inquiry to the department.

Based on the two assumptions above the department anticipates an additional 0.5 FTE will be necessary to process the increase in registration and compliance efforts. Currently the program funds 7.0 FTE. Additional spending authority from existing funds will cover the expenses associated with this position.

#### **Long-Range Fiscal Implications**

## **Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2009 Session**

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

$\boxtimes$	Original		Updated		] Corr	rected		Supplemental	
LRB	Number	09-0403/	1	In	troducti	ion Num	ber	AB-0093	
<b>Descri</b> Prohib	iption iting certain au	utomated tele	ephone solic	itations					
I. One- annua	-time Costs o lized fiscal ef	r Revenue I fect):	mpacts for	State and			ent (do	not include in	
	ualized Costs		computers,	and supp	d supplies - \$10,000				
	idanized Oost				Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from Increased Costs Decreased Costs				
A. Stat	te Costs by C	ategory							
	e Operations -		d Fringes			\$21,800		\$	
(FTE	Position Cha	nges)				(0.5 FTE)			
State	e Operations -	Other Costs	<b></b>			7,100			
Loca	al Assistance								
Aids	to Individuals	or Organiza	tions		•				
T	OTAL State C	osts by Cat	egory		\$28,900			\$	
B. Stat	te Costs by S	ource of Fu	nds						
GPF	}								
FED									
PRC	)/PRS (20.115	(8)(jm))				28,900			
SEG	S/SEG-S								
	te Revenues ues (e.g., tax i					increase o	r decrea	ise state	
					Incre	eased Rev		Decreased Rev	
GPF	Taxes					\$		\$	
GPF	REarned				**************************************				
FED									
<del></del>	)/PRS								
	SSEG-S								
TOTAL State Revenues					\$		\$		
			IET ANNUA	LIZED F	SCAL IMI				
						State		Local	
NET CHANGE IN COSTS					\$28,900		\$		
NETC	HANGE IN RE	VENUE				\$	····	\$	
Agenc	y/Prepared B	у		Authoriz	zed Signa	ture		Date	
				Bill Walk	Walker (608) 224-4353 4/8/200				