2009 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Received: 02/05/2009					Received By: btradewe			
Wanted: As time permits					Identical to LRB:			
For: Edward Brooks (608) 266-8531					By/Representing: Terri Griffiths Drafter: btradewe			
This file may be shown to any legislator: NO								
May Contact:				Addl. Drafters:				
Subject: Agriculture - animals				Extra Copies:				
Submit v	via email: YES	}						
Requeste	er's email:	Rep.Brook	s@legis.wis	consin.gov				
Carbon o	copy (CC:) to:							
Pre Top	oic:				······································			
No speci	fic pre topic gi	ven						
Topic:			: 			***************************************		
Reimbur	sement for dan	nages caused by	dogs and th	e use of fund	ls from dog licensi	ing		
Instruct	ions:					***************************************		
Like 200	7 AB 446							
Drafting	g History:							
Vers.	<u>Drafted</u>	Reviewed	<u>Typed</u>	Proofed	Submitted	Jacketed	Required	
/?	btradewe 02/06/2009	bkraft 02/23/2009						
/1			mduchek 02/24/200	9	sbasford 02/24/2009	cduerst 02/26/2009		

FE Sent For: NONE

<END>

2009 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Received: 02/03/2009				Received By: btradewe				
Wanted: As time permits				Identical to LRB:				
For: Edward Brooks (608) 266-8531 This file may be shown to any legislator: NO May Contact:					By/Representing: Terri Griffiths Drafter: btradewe			
					Addl. Drafters:			
Subject: Agriculture - animals					Extra Copies:			
Submit	via email: YES	1						
Reques	ter's email:	Rep.Brook	ks@legis.wi	sconsin.gov				
Carbon	copy (CC:) to:							
Pre To	pic:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
No spec	cific pre topic gi	iven						
Topic:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	***************************************		Manufacture and an accommendation of the second of the sec			
Reimbu	rsement for dar	nages caused b	y dogs and t	the use of fund	ds from dog licens	sing		
Instru	ctions:					Millian Millian		
Like 20	07 AB 446							
Draftin	ng History:	the state of the s		4				
Vers.	<u>Drafted</u>	Reviewed	<u>Typed</u>	Proofed	Submitted	<u>Jacketed</u>	Required	
/?	btradewe 02/06/2009	bkraft 02/23/2009						
/1			mduchek 02/24/20	09	sbasford 02/24/2009			
FE Sent	t For:							

<END>

2009 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Received: 02/03/2009

Received By: btradewe

Wanted: As time permits

Identical to LRB:

For: Edward Brooks (608) 266-8531

By/Representing: Terri Griffiths

This file may be shown to any legislator: **NO**

Drafter: btradewe

May Contact:

Addl. Drafters:

Subject:

Agriculture - animals

Extra Copies:

Submit via email: YES

Requester's email:

Rep.Brooks@legis.wisconsin.gov

Carbon copy (CC:) to:

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

Reimbursement for damages caused by dogs and the use of funds from dog licensing

Instructions:

Like 2007 AB 446

Drafting History:

Vers.

Drafted

Reviewed

Typed I

Proofed

Submitted

Jacketed

Required

/?

btradewe

/1 bjk 1/23

ナダイ

FE Sent For:

Barman, Mike

From:

LRB.Legal

Sent:

Tuesday, February 03, 2009 2:20 PM

To: Subject:

Tradewell, Becky FW: Draft Request

Looks like this one is for you

From:

Griffiths, Terri

Sent:

Tuesday, February 03, 2009 2:09 PM

To:

LRB.Legal

Subject:

Draft Request

From Rep. Ed Brooks-

We would like a re-draft of 2007 LRB 1684/1 relating to reimbursement for damages caused by dogs and the use of funds derived form the licensing of dogs.

Question, please let me know.

Thank you.

Terri S. Griffiths
Office of Rep. Ed Brooks
266-8531
888-534-0050

ASSEMBLY BILL 446	LC Amer	ndment Memo
An Antenna of 174 11 (2) (a) and 174 12 (6), to remove here and small 174 12 (2), to small 174 00 (1	174.00 (2)	174 00 (2)

An Act to repeal 174.11 (2) (a) and 174.12 (6); to renumber and amend 174.12 (2); to amend 174.09 (1), 174.09 (2), 174.09 (3), 174.11 (1), 174.11 (2) (b), 174.11 (3) and 174.12 (1); and to create 174.09 (1m) of the statutes; relating to: reimbursement for damages caused by dogs and the use of funds derived from the licensing of dogs. (FE)

2007			
07-03-07.	A.	Introduced by Representatives Hines, A. Ott, Albers, Townsend, Musser and Petrows	ki;
		cosponsored by Senator Olsen.	
07-03-07.	A.	Read first time and referred to committee on Agriculture	. 225
08-01-07.	A.	Representative Petrowski withdrawn as a coauthor	255
08-23-07.	A.	Fiscal estimate received.	
08-23-07.	A.	Public hearing held.	
09-06-07.	A.	Assembly substitute amendment 1 offered by Representative Hines	270
09-06-07.	A.	Executive action taken.	
09-13-07.	A.	Report Assembly Substitute Amendment 1 adoption recommended by committee on	
		Agriculture, Ayes 11, Noes 0	
09-13-07.	A.	Report passage as amended recommended by committee on Agriculture, Ayes 8, Noe	s 3
		Referred to committee on Rules	
09-24-07.	A.	Assembly amendment 1 to Assembly substitute amendment 1 offered by Representati	ve
		Hines	28 4
2008			
		Placed on calendar 1-15-2008 by committee on Rules.	
		Read a second time	
01-15-08.	A.	Assembly amendment 1 to Assembly substitute amendment 1 adopted	. 438
01-15-08.	A.	Assembly substitute amendment 1 adopted	438
		Ordered to a third reading	
01-15-08.	A.	Rules suspended	438
01-15-08.	A.	Read a third time and passed , Ayes 54, Noes 39, Paired 2	439
01-15-08.	A.	Ordered immediately messaged	. 439
		Received from Assembly	
01-17-08.	S.	Read first time and referred to committee on Agriculture and Higher Education	518
03-21-08.	S.	Failed to concur in pursuant to Senate Joint Resolution 1	735

Tradewell, Becky

From:

Griffiths, Terri

Sent:

Friday, February 06, 2009 11:39 AM

To: Subject: Tradewell, Becky RE: Draft Request

Draft the sub amendment. Thanks.

From:

Tradewell, Becky

Sent:

Friday, February 06, 2009 10:57 AM

To: Subject: Griffiths, Terri FW: Draft Request

Terri,

I notice that Rep. Hines introduced a substitute amendment and an amendment to the substitute amendment to 2007 AB 446 (LRB-1684/1), which were both adopted by the Assembly. Should I draft the substitute amendment as amended or the bill as originally introduced?

I can describe the differences if that would be helpful.

Becky Tradewell Managing Attorney 6-7290

From:

LRB.Legal

Sent: To:

Tuesday, February 03, 2009 2:20 PM

Subject:

Tradewell, Becky

FW: Draft Request

From:

Griffiths, Terri

Sent:

Tuesday, February 03, 2009 2:09 PM

To:

LRB.Legal

Subject:

Draft Request

From Rep. Ed Brooks-

We would like a re-draft of 2007 LRB 1684/1 relating to reimbursement for damages caused by dogs and the use of funds derived form the licensing of dogs.

Question, please let me know.

Thank you.

Terri S. Griffithy Office of Rep. Ed Brooks 266-8531 888-534-0050

2007 - 2008 LEGISLATURE

10 2/6

-/936// LRB60130/1 RCT:wij:rs

ASSEMBLY SUBSTITUTE AMENDMENT 1,

TO 2007 ASSEMBLY BILL 446

SAV X-refV [Inserts]

1

2

3

4

September 6, 2007 – Offered by Representative HINES.

regen.

AN ACT to repeal 174.12 (6); to renumber and amend 174.12 (2); to amend

174.09 (1), 174.09 (2), 174.09 (3), 174.11 (1), 174.11 (2) (b), 174.11 (3) and 174.12

(1); and to create 174.09 (1m) of the statutes; relating to: reimbursement for

damages caused by dogs and the use of funds derived from the licensing of dogs.

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

Under current law, the owner of a farm animal, dog, or cat that was injured or killed by another person's dog may, within three days of becoming aware of the incident, file a claim with the city, village, or town in which the incident occurred. The city, village, or town is required to investigate the claim and provide a report on the investigation to the county clerk. The county clerk submits the claim and the report to the county board and the county board decides whether to make a payment to the owner as compensation for the injury or death of the animal. If there is no money in the dog license fund, described below, the county is not required to make any payment. If the county makes a payment, it may sue the owner of the dog for the amount of damages that the dog caused.

Under this substitute amendment the owner of a farm animal, dog, or cat that was injured or killed by another person's dog may make a claim for the damage if the owner files the claim within five business days, rather than three days, of becoming aware of the incident.

61

Current law requires a person who owns a dog to have the dog licensed. The statutes establish a minimum fee for a dog license but authorize higher fees to be charged by municipalities and counties. The dog license fees are collected by municipalities. A municipality keeps any amount of the fee that it imposes above the minimum and pays the rest to the county. The law requires the county to pay 5 percent of the minimum dog license fee to the state and to keep the remainder of the fee in a separate dog license fund. The county may use the dog license fund for administering the dog license law and for the expenses of a pound for dogs run by the county or a humane society. The law also authorizes a county to use any remaining money in the dog license fund to pay claims for damages caused by dogs to farm animals, dogs, or cats.

This substitute amendment requires a county to place into a separate dog damage reimbursement fund 25 percent of the dog license fees that remain after making the required 5 percent payment to the state. This fund may be used only to pay claims by persons whose farm animals, dogs, or cats are injured by dogs, except that on March 1 any funds from fees paid for dog licenses for the previous year that have not been used to pay dog damage claims may be returned to the dog license fund and used for the purposes allowed under current law.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

Insert 2-1 >

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

SECTION 1. 174.09 (1) of the statutes is amended to read:

174.09 (1) Except as provided in sub. (3), the <u>The</u> dog license taxes so paid to the county treasurer <u>or intergovernmental commission under s. 174.08</u> shall be <u>kept placed</u> in a separate account <u>and shall to</u> be known as the "dog license fund" and shall be appropriated and disbursed for the purposes and in the manner following: Within 30 days after receipt of the same, the <u>provided in this section. The</u> county treasurer <u>or intergovernmental commission</u> shall pay into the state treasury 5% <u>5 percent</u> of the minimum tax as provided for under s. 174.05 (2) of all dog license taxes which shall have been <u>that are</u> received by the county treasurer <u>or intergovernmental</u> commission, within 30 days after their receipt. The county treasurer or intergovernmental commission shall place 25 percent of the remainder of the dog

license taxes into a separate account to be known as the dog damage reimbursement fund.

Section 2. 174.09 (1m) of the statutes is created to read:

damage reimbursement fund only to pay claims allowed by the county or intergovernmental commission under s. 174.11 to the owners of domestic animals because of damages done by dogs, except that on March 1 of the year following the license year for which dog license taxes were paid, the county or intergovernmental commission may transfer any amount remaining in the dog damage reimbursement fund from that license year to the dog license fund.

Section 3. 174.09 (2) of the statutes is amended to read:

174.09 (2) Except as provided in sub. (3), expenses necessarily incurred by the county in purchasing and providing books, forms, and other supplies required in administering the dog license law, expenses incurred by the county under s. 95.21 (4) (b) and (8) and expenses incurred by the county pound or by a humane society or other organization designated to provide a pound for collecting, caring for, and disposing of dogs may be paid out of the dog license fund. The amount remaining in the fund after deducting these expenses shall be available for and may be used as far as necessary for paying claims allowed by the county to the owners of domestic animals because of damages done by dogs during the license year for which the taxes were paid. Any surplus in excess of \$1,000 which may remain from the dog license taxes of any license year shall on March 1 of the succeeding year be paid by the county treasurer to the county humane society or other organization designated by the county board to provide a pound. If there is no humane society or other organization designated to provide a pound, these funds shall be paid to the towns, villages, and

cities of the county for their use in the proportion in which the towns, villages, and cities contributed to the fund out of which the surplus arises.

Section 4. 174.09 (3) of the statutes is amended to read:

174.09 (3) In a county in which an agreement under s. 174.10 (2) is in effect, the intergovernmental commission shall maintain the dog license fund, consisting of the dog license taxes. The intergovernmental commission shall pay 5% of the minimum dog license tax provided for under s. 174.05 (2) to the department and shall expend the remainder of the dog license fund for the purposes of administering the dog license law, providing a pound for dogs, and paying claims allowed under s. 174.11. If on March 1 there is remaining in the dog license fund a surplus from the dog license taxes of the previous license year that exceeds 5% of the dog license taxes collected in that license year, the intergovernmental commission shall return the excess to the towns, villages, and cities of the county in the proportion in which the towns, villages, and cities contributed to the fund in that license year.

Section 5. 174.11 (1) of the statutes is amended to read:

174.11 (1) The owner of any domestic animal, including a ranch mink, when it is proven that a dog forcibly entered an enclosure in which the mink was kept, which is attacked, chased, injured, or killed by a dog may, within 3 5 business days after the owner has knowledge or notice thereof, file a written claim for damages with the clerk of the town, village, or city in which the damage occurred or, if it occurred in a town or village, with the chairperson of such the town or the president of such the village. The form of the claim may be prescribed by the department of agriculture, trade and consumer protection. Upon presentation of a claim the supervisors of the town, the board of trustees of the village, or the common council of the city, or a committee appointed for that purpose by the supervisors, the board

of trustees, or the common council shall promptly investigate the claim and may subpoena witnesses, administer oaths, and take testimony relative to the claim and shall within 30 days after the filing of the claim make, certify, and return to the county clerk or, in a county in which an agreement under s. 174.10 (2) is in effect, to the intergovernmental commission the claim, a report of the investigation, the testimony taken, and the amount of damages suffered by the owner of the domestic animal.

Section 6. 174.11 (2) (b) of the statutes is amended to read:

174.11 (2) (b) Except as provided in par. (c), the county clerk shall submit to the county board at its first meeting, following the receipt of any such claim under sub. (1), all claims filed and reported, and the claims shall be acted upon and determined by the county board as other claims are determined and acted upon. Except as provided in par. (c), the amount of damages filed and reported to the county clerk shall be prima facie proof of the actual damages sustained, but evidence may be taken before the county board relative to the claims as in other cases, and appeals from the action of the county board shall lie as in other cases. A county or an intergovernmental commission may not allow any claim on account of damages done by a dog, unless the claimant furnishes satisfactory proof that the damage was not done in whole or in part by any dog owned, kept, or harbored by the claimant.

Section 7. 174.11 (3) of the statutes is amended to read:

174.11 **(3)** The claims shall be solely against the <u>dog damage reimbursement</u> fund and the dog license fund and shall create no other liability on the part of the county.

Section 8. 174.12 (1) of the statutes is amended to read:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

(17)

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

174.12 (1) The allowance by a county of any claim for damages done by dogs constitutes an assignment to the county of the cause of the action of the claimant for which the claim is filed, and the county may sue and recover from the owner of the dog or dogs doing the damages the full amount thereof and which shall not be limited to the sum paid the claimant by the county. The allowance under s. 174.11 (2) (c) by an intergovernmental commission of any claim for damages done by dogs constitutes an assignment to the intergovernmental commission of the cause of the action of the claimant for which the claim is filed, and the intergovernmental commission may sue and recover from the owner of the dog or dogs doing the damages the full amount thereof and which shall not be limited to the sum paid the claimant by the intergovernmental commission. Before any claim shall be allowed by a county or an intergovernmental commission on account of damages done by dogs, the claimant shall furnish satisfactory proof that the damage was not done in whole or in part by any dog owned, kept, or harbored by the claimants A county or an intergovernmental commission that recovers money from the owner of a dog under this subsection shall place the amount recovered, up to the amount paid the claimant, into the dog damage reimbursement fundo

SECTION **9.** 174.12 (2) of the statutes is renumbered 174.11 (3m) and amended to read:


174.11 **(3m)** No claim shall be allowed by Except as provided under sub. (5). a county board or an intergovernmental commission may not allow a claim under this section at less than the amount so certified and reported under sub. (1), unless the claimant shall is first be notified that such action is contemplated and shall have been is given a reasonable opportunity to be heard and to offer further evidence in support of the claimant's claim.

Section 10. 174.12 (6) of the statutes is repealed.

(END)

ASSEMBLY AMENDMENT 1, TO ASSEMBLY SUBSTITUTE AMENDMENT 1, **TO 2007 ASSEMBLY BILL 446**

September 24, 2007 – Offered by Representative Hines.



Inset 2-1

-1936/

X

Section #. 174.05 (3) of the statutes is amended to read:

174.05 (3) ADDITIONAL TAX. The governing body of any county may by a majority vote of the members present at any regular meeting raise the minimum dog license tax on dogs within its jurisdiction and the governing body of any town, village or city may by resolution raise the minimum dog license tax on dogs within its jurisdiction. If the governing body of any county, town, village or city increases the minimum tax, it shall provide that the tax for unneutered male dogs and unspayed female dogs is greater than the tax for neutered male dogs and spayed female dogs. The additional tax may not exceed the total cost of all dog licensing, regulating and impounding activities for the previous year, less any refunds which may be received under s. 174.09 (2) and shall be levied and collected in the same manner as other dog license taxes.



History: 1979 c. 289; 1983 a. 451; 1991 a. 39.

Duerst, Christina

From:

Griffiths, Terri

Sent:

To:

Subject:

Wednesday, February 25, 2009 4:55 PM
LRB.Legal
Draft Review: LRB 09-1936/1 Topic: Reimbursement for damages caused by dogs and the use of funds from dog licensing

Please Jacket LRB 09-1936/1 for the ASSEMBLY.