2009 DRAFTING REQUEST

Assembly Substitute Amendment (ASA-AB193)

FE Sent For:

Received: 10/21/2009					Received By: phurley			
Wanted: As time permits					Identical to LRB:			
For: Marlin Schneider (608) 266-0215					By/Representing:			
This file may be shown to any legislator: NO					Drafter: phurley			
May Contact:					Addl. Drafters:			
Subject: Criminal Law - guns an Criminal Law - procedu			-	ns	Extra Copies:	Heidi Fre	chette	
Submit v	ia email: YES							
Requeste	r's email:	Rep.Schnei	ider@legis.	.wisconsin.go	ov			
Carbon c	opy (CC:) to:							
Pre Topi	ic:							
No specif	ic pre topic gi	ven						
Topic:	and the training of the traini							
Castle do	ctrine							
Instructi	ions:					U-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-		
Do a sub	that incorpora	tes the sub to A	B 193 and	amendment 5	from Rep. Gundru	ım		
Drafting	History:							
Vers.	<u>Drafted</u>	Reviewed	Typed	Proofed	Submitted	<u>Jacketed</u>	Required	
/?	phurley 10/21/2009 phurley 10/21/2009	wjackson 10/21/2009 jdyer 10/22/2009						
/1			rschluet 10/22/20	09	cduerst 10/22/2009	cduerst 10/22/2009		

2009 DRAFTING REQUEST

Assembly Substitute Amendment (ASA-AB193)

Received: 10/21/2009	Received By: phurley
----------------------	----------------------

Wanted: As time permits Identical to LRB:

For: Marlin Schneider (608) 266-0215 By/Representing:

This file may be shown to any legislator: **NO**Drafter: **phurley**

May Contact: Addl. Drafters:

Subject: Criminal Law - guns and weapons Extra Copies: Heidi Frechette

Criminal Law - procedure

Submit via email: YES

Requester's email: Rep.Schneider@legis.wisconsin.gov

Carbon copy (CC:) to:

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

Castle doctrine

Instructions:

Do a sub that incorporates the sub to AB 193 and amendment 5 from Rep. Gundrum

Drafting History:

<u>Vers.</u> <u>Drafted</u> <u>Reviewed</u> <u>Fyped</u> <u>Proofed</u> <u>Submitted</u> <u>Jacketed</u> <u>Required</u>

/? phurley wjackson
10/21/2009 10/21/2009
phurley

FE Sent For:

<END>

2

2009 ASSEMBLY BILL 193

April 2, 2009 – Introduced by Representatives Hraychuck, Danou, Vruwink, Mursau, Friske, Van Roy, Ballweg, Suder, Strachota, Petrowski, Vukmir, Zipperer, Davis, Bies, Kleefisch, Gunderson, Vos, Spanbauer, Kerkman, Nerison, LeMahieu, Kestell, Honadel, Rhoades, Zigmunt, Ripp, Jorgensen, Tauchen, Roth, Kaufert and Huebsch, cosponsored by Senators Holperin, Vinehout, Decker, Plale, Schultz, Lazich, Leibham, Grothman, A. Lasee, Kanavas, Darling, Kapanke, Harsdorf and Hopper. Referred to Committee on Personal Privacy.

AN ACT to create 939.48 (1m) of the statutes; relating to: the privilege of self-defense.

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

In general, a person who uses force in self-defense or in the defense of another person may not be convicted of a crime stemming from that use of force. This law applies only when: 1) the amount of force used is reasonable; and 2) the person uses that force to prevent or stop what he or she reasonably believes is an unlawful interference with himself or herself or another person, such as the crime of battery. Current law specifies that a person may use force that is intended or likely to cause the death of or great bodily harm to another individual only if the person reasonably believes that using such force is necessary to prevent the imminent death of or great bodily harm to himself or herself or another person.

Under this bill, if a person used defensive force that was intended or likely to cause death or great bodily harm, the court must presume that the person reasonably believed that the force was necessary to prevent death or great bodily harm if: 1) the individual against whom the force was used was in the process of unlawfully and forcibly entering, or had already unlawfully and forcefully entered, the residence of the person who used the force; 2) the person was present in that residence; and 3) the person knew or had reason to believe that an unlawful and forcible entry was occurring or had occurred. This presumption, however, does not apply if: 1) the person who used the force was engaged in an unlawful activity or was using his or her residence to further an unlawful activity; or 2) the individual against whom the force was used had identified himself or herself as a peace officer (or was or should

ASSEMBLY BILL 193

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

have been known to be a peace officer) and was entering the residence in the performance of his or her official duties.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. 939.48 (1m) of the statutes is created to read:

939.48 (1m) (a) If an actor intentionally used force that was intended or likely to cause death or great bodily harm, the court shall presume that the actor reasonably believed that the force was necessary to prevent imminent death or great bodily harm to himself or herself if the actor makes such a claim under sub. (1) and any of the following applies:

- 1. The person against whom the force was used was in the process of unlawfully and forcibly entering the actor's residence, the actor was present in the residence, and the actor knew or had reason to believe that an unlawful and forcible entry was occurring.
- 2. The person against whom the force was used was in the actor's residence after unlawfully and forcibly entering it, the actor was present in the residence, and the actor knew or had reason to believe that the person had unlawfully and forcibly entered the residence.
- (b) The presumption described in par. (a) does not apply if any of the following applies:
- 1. The actor was engaged in an unlawful activity or was using his or her residence to further an unlawful activity at the time.
- 2. The person against whom the force was used was a peace officer who entered or attempted to enter the actor's residence in the performance of his or her official duties. This subdivision applies only if at least one of the following applies:

ASSEMBLY BILL 193

4

1	a. The officer identified himself or herself to the actor before the force described
2	in par. (a) was used by the actor.
3	b. The actor knew or reasonably should have known that the person entering
4	or attempting to enter his or her residence was a peace officer.

5 (END)



State of Misconsin 2009 - 2010 LEGISLATURE

LRBa0504/1 PJH:cjs:md

ASSEMBLY AMENDMENT 5, TO 2009 ASSEMBLY BILL 193

October 13, 2009 - Offered by Representative GUNDRUM.

1	At the locations indicated, amend the bill as follows:
2	1. Page 2, line 9: delete "had reason to believe" and substitute "reasonably
3	believed".
4	2. Page 2, line 13: delete "had reason to believe" and substitute "reasonably
5	believed".
6	(END)



State of Misconsin 2009 - 2010 LEGISLATURE

SO/70//
LRBsAlpAlan
PJH:jld:jf

ASSEMBLY SUBSTITUTE AMENDMENT, TO 2009 ASSEMBLY BILL 193

J. 100/09/09

X

2

Kegen

AN ACT to create 895.62 and 939.48 (1m) of the statutes; relating to: the

privilege of self-defense.

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

In general, a person who uses force in self-defense or in the defense of another person may not be convicted of a crime stemming from that use of force. This law applies only when: 1) the amount of force used is reasonable; and 2) the person uses that force to prevent or stop what he or she reasonably believes is an unlawful interference with himself or herself or another person, such as the crime of battery. Current law specifies that a person may use force that is intended or likely to cause the death of or great bodily harm to another individual only if the person reasonably believes that using such force is necessary to prevent the imminent death of or great bodily harm to himself or herself or another person.

Under this substitute amendment, if a person used defensive force that was intended or likely to cause death or great bodily harm, the court must presume that the person reasonably believed that the force was necessary to prevent death or great bodily harm to himself or herself or to another person if: 1) the individual against whom the force was used was in the process of unlawfully and forcibly entering, or had already unlawfully and forcefully entered, the residence of the person who used the force; 2) the person was present in that residence; and 3) the person knew or had

This presumption, however, does not apply if: 1) the person who used the force was

Lreasonally believed

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

engaged in a criminal activity or was using his or her residence to further a criminal activity; or 2) the individual against whom the force was used had identified himself or herself as a peace officer (or was or should have been known to be a peace officer) and was entering the residence in the performance of his or her official duties.

Under the substitute amendment, a person who uses force that is intended or likely to cause death or great bodily harm is immune from civil liability if the person reasonably believed that the force was necessary to prevent death or great bodily harm to himself or herself or to another person and the individual against whom the force was used was in the process of unlawfully and forcibly entering, or had already forcibly entered, the residence of the person who used the force, and the person who used the force was present in the residence and knew or had reason to believe that an unlawful and forcible entry was occurring or had occurred. Under the substitute amendment for purposes of civil immunity, a person is not presumed to have reasonably believed that the force was necessary if: 1) the person who used the force was engaged in a criminal activity or was using his or her residence to further a criminal activity; or 2) the individual against whom the force was used had identified himself or herself as a peace officer (or was or should have been known to be a peace officer) and was entering the residence in the performance of his or her official duties.

Under the substitute amendment, if a court finds that person who is sued in civil court is immune from liability, the person is entitled to attorney fees, court costs, compensation for income loss, and other expenses the person incurred to defend himself or herself against the civil action.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. 895.62 of the statutes is created to read:

895.62 Use of force in response to unlawful and forcible entry into a residence; civil liability immunity. (1) In this section, "actor" means a person who uses force that is intended or likely cause death or great bodily harm to another person.

(2) Except as provided in sub. (4), an actor is immune from civil liability arising out of his or her use of force that is intended or likely to cause death or great bodily harm if the actor reasonably believed that the force was necessary to prevent imminent death or great bodily harm to himself or herself or to another person and either of the following applies:

- (a) The person against whom the force was used was in the process of unlawfully and forcibly entering the actor's residence, the actor was present in the residence, and the actor knew or had reason to believe that an unlawful and forcible entry was occurring.
- (b) The person against whom the force was used was in the actor's residence after unlawfully and forcibly entering it, the actor was present in the residence, and the actor knew or had reason to believe that the person had unlawfully and forcibly entered the residence.
- (3) An actor is presumed to have reasonably believed that the force was necessary to prevent imminent death or great bodily harm to himself or herself or to another person if either sub. (2) (a) or (b) applies.
- (4) The presumption described in sub. (3) does not apply if any of the following are true:
- (a) The actor was engaged in a criminal activity or was using his or her residence to further a criminal activity at the time he or she used the force described in sub. (2).
- (b) The person against whom the force was used was a peace officer who entered or attempted to enter the actor's residence in the performance of his or her official duties. This paragraph applies only if at least one of the following applies:
- 1. The officer identified himself or herself to the actor before the force described in sub. (2) was used by the actor.
- 2. The actor knew or reasonably should have known that the person entering or attempting to enter his or her residence was a peace officer.
- (5) In any civil action, if a court finds that a person is immune from civil liability under sub. (2), the court shall award the person reasonable attorney fees, costs,

in par. (a) was used by the actor.

1	compensation for loss of income, and other costs of the litigation reasonably incurred				
2	by the person.				
3	SECTION 2. 939.48 (1m) of the statutes is created to read:				
4	939.48 (1m) (a) If an actor intentionally used force that was intended or likely				
5	to cause death or great bodily harm, the court shall presume that the actor				
6	reasonably believed that the force was necessary to prevent imminent death or great				
7	bodily harm to himself or herself if the actor makes such a claim under sub. (1) and				
8	any of the following applies:				
9	1. The person against whom the force was used was in the process of unlawfully				
10	and forcibly entering the actor's residence, the actor was present in the residence,				
(11)	and the actor knew or had reason to believe that an unlawful and forcible entry was				
12	occurring.				
13	2. The person against whom the force was used was in the actor's residence				
14	after unlawfully and forcibly entering it, the actor was present in the residence, and				
(15)	the actor knew or had reason to believe that the person had unlawfully and forcibly				
16	entered the residence.				
17	(b) The presumption described in par. (a) does not apply if any of the following				
18	applies:				
19	1. The actor was engaged in a criminal activity or was using his or her residence				
20	to further a criminal activity at the time.				
21	2. The person against whom the force was used was a peace officer who entered				
22	or attempted to enter the actor's residence in the performance of his or her official				
23	duties. This subdivision applies only if at least one of the following applies:				
24	a. The officer identified himself or herself to the actor before the force described				

b. The actor knew or reasonably should have known that the person entering or attempting to enter his or her residence was a peace officer.

SECTION 3. Initial applicability.

(1) This act first applies to a use of force that occurs on the effective date of this subsection.

(END)

DRAFTER'S NOTE FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRBs0170/?dn

Representative dis

Representative Array character 2009 This draft incorporates the substitute to AB-193 that I drafted for four office (LRB s0157/1) plus AA5 to AB 193. Please let me know if this does not reflect your intent.

Peggy Hurley

Legislative Attorney

Phone: (608) 266-8906

E-mail: peggy.hurley@legis.wisconsin.gov

DRAFTER'S NOTE FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRBs0170/1dn PJH:jld:rs

October 22, 2009

Representative Schneider,

This draft incorporates the substitute amendment to 2009 AB-193 that I drafted for Representative Hraychuck's office (LRBs0157/1) plus AA 5 to AB-193. Please let me know if this does not reflect your intent.

Peggy Hurley Legislative Attorney Phone: (608) 266-8906

E-mail: peggy.hurley@legis.wisconsin.gov