# Fiscal Estimate - 2009 Session

☑ Original	Updated	☐ Correc	eted	Supplemental					
LRB Number 09-	3342/1	Introductio	n Number 🛮 🗛	AB-0426					
<b>Description</b> Authorizing the designation of a tax incremental district as distressed and expanding the use of donor tax incremental districts									
Fiscal Effect									
State:  No State Fiscal Effer Indeterminate Increase Existin Appropriations Decrease Existin Appropriations Create New Appropriation	g Norn Rev ng Dec Rev	ease Existing enues rease Existing enues		s - May be possible n agency's budget \B\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\					
Local:  No Local Governm Indeterminate  1. Increase Cost Permissive  2. Decrease Cost Permissive	ts 3. lncr Mandatory Peri sts 4. ld Dec	ease Revenue missive Mandatory rease Revenue missive Mandatory	5.Types of Local Government Ur Affected Towns Counties School Districts	Nits  Village Cities  lake, sanitary, metro sewer  WTCS Districts					
Fund Sources Affected  GPR FED PRS SEG SEGS 20.566 (2) (hm)									
Agency/Prepared By		Authorized Signatu	ire	Date					
DOR/ Paul Ziegler (608) 266-5773 Paul Ziegler (608) 266-5773				10/9/2009					

# Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOR 10/9/2009

LRB Number	09-3342/1	Introduction Number	AB-0426	Estimate Type	Original				
Description Authorizing the designation of a tax incremental district as distressed and expanding the use of donor tax									
incremental districts									

## **Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate**

#### **CURRENT LAW**

The tax incremental finance (TIF) law permits cities, villages, and, to a limited extent, towns, to finance certain types of public improvements for encouraging development within a specified area of the municipality by creating a TIF district. The equalized value of the taxable property in a TIF district in the year of creation is the district's "base value". In subsequent years, any positive difference between the current equalized value and the "base value" is the TIF district's "value increment". The property taxes that would have been levied on the "value increment" by the municipality, county, school district, technical college district, and special district (the "incremental levy") are retained by the municipality to repay the costs of developing the TIF district.

Depending on the type of district and the date of creation, a TIF district may usually remain open for no more than 20, 23, or 27 years. In most cases, a TIF district must be closed either when its project costs are repaid or when it has reached its maximum allowable lifespan. After the TIF district is closed, the property taxes in the former district are shared with the overlying taxing jurisdictions as non-TIF property taxes are shared.

The incremental levies of a TIF district (a donor district) may be shifted to another TIF district (a recipient district) if both districts have the same overlying taxing jurisdictions and the donor TIF district's projected revenues are sufficient to pay both its current year costs and the donation to the recipient TIF district. A TIF district may be a designated as a recipient district if, on creation, there was a finding that not less than 50% (by area) of the real property within the district was blighted or in need of rehabilitation, or if the district's costs were incurred for the creation, provision, or rehabilitation of low-cost housing or the remediation of environmental contamination. If a TIF district becomes a donor TIF, it need not be closed when its project costs are fully repaid, but its mandatory termination date of generally 20, 23, or 27 years after creation may not be extended.

### PROPOSED LAW

If the municipal board of a village or city finds that the projected lifetime revenues of a TIF district created before October 1, 2008, are insufficient to repay the district's project costs, the TIF district may be designated as a "distressed" TIF district if certain procedures must be followed. Among these procedures are holding a public hearing on the designation, adopting a resolution that the TIF district's lifetime revenues are insufficient to repay its project costs, forwarding copies of the resolution and supporting material to the TIF district joint review board and the Department of Revenue (DOR), and approval of the designation by the TIF district joint review board. The DOR may impose a fee of \$500 on the village or city for the department's administrative costs related to the declaration that a TIF district is "distressed".

Once a TIF district is declared "distressed", it may not amend its project plan, incur additional project costs after its initial expenditure period ends, add territory, become a donor TIF district, or expend funds outside the TIF district's borders. A "distressed" TIF district must be closed when its project costs are fully repaid or 40 years after it was created, whichever occurs first. Contributions to a "distressed" TIF district from a "donor" TIF district may also be made. The life of any such "donor" TIF district is extended to the "distressed" TIF district's termination or 40 years after the donor TIF district was created, whichever occurs first.

Since current law restricts the types of districts that can receive funds from a donor TIF, the bill also specifically permits a mixed-used or industrial TIF district that has been designated as "distressed" to receive funds from a donor TIF.

## **FISCAL EFFECT**

Financial records concerning individual TIF districts are maintained by the municipality that created the TIF district. This information is not shared with the DOR until a TIF district is closed. Since the DOR does not have current data on the financial status of individual TIF districts, a reasonable estimate of the number of TIF districts that might qualify to be declared as "distressed" under the bill is not available.

Some data are available that may suggest how many TIF districts could, potentially, qualify to be designated as "distressed". For example, one could assume that the longer a TIF district has been in existence, the more likely it is to be generating insufficient funds to pay off its project costs, and therefore the more likely it is to be "distressed". On that basis, of the 1,033 TIF districts currently in existence, there are 202 TIF districts that have been in existence for 15 or more years (with a base year of 1994 or earlier) with a total incremental levy (based on 2008/09 gross tax rates) of \$113 million. One could refine this assumption and assume that the slower the value increment in a TIF district has grown, the more likely it is to be generating insufficient funds to pay off its project costs. On that basis, of the 202 TIF districts that have been in existence for 15 or more years, there are 35 TIF districts whose value increment has not kept pace with the rate of inflation (as measured by the consumer price index for all urban consumers beginning in the year the TIF district was created), with an estimated total incremental levy (based on 2008/09 tax rates) of \$8 million. It must be noted that it is not possible to identify which, if any, of these 202 or 35 TIF districts are, in fact, "distressed" as defined under the bill. It is, indeed, possible that none of these districts are "distressed". It must also be noted that there could be TIF districts or more recent vintage that, despite large value increments, could be deemed "distressed" due to their high levels of project costs, for which incremental levies are proving inadequate.

By extending the maximum life of both "distressed" TIF districts and "donor" TIF districts, the bill is expected to extend the time period when the value increments for both of these types of districts are devoted to paying off TIF project costs, and consequently, unavailable to the tax base of overlying jurisdictions.

The administrative costs can be absorbed within existing budgetary authority, and would, to some extent, be offset by the \$500 fee allowed for under the bill.

**Long-Range Fiscal Implications** 

# Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2009 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

Original Updated		Corrected		Supplemental					
LRB Number <b>09-3342/1</b>		Introduction Num	ber /	AB-0426					
<b>Description</b> Authorizing the designation of a tax incremental district as distressed and expanding the use of donor tax incremental districts									
I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in annualized fiscal effect):									
II. Annualized Costs:		Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:							
		Increased Costs		Decreased Costs					
A. State Costs by Category									
State Operations - Salaries and Fringes		\$		\$					
(FTE Position Changes)									
State Operations - Other Costs									
Local Assistance									
Aids to Individuals or Organizations									
TOTAL State Costs by Category		\$		\$					
B. State Costs by Source of Funds									
GPR									
FED									
PRO/PRS (Admin. TIF Program)									
SEG/SEG-S									
III. State Revenues - Complete this only when proposal will increase or decrease state revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, ets.)									
		Increased Rev		Decreased Rev					
GPR Taxes		\$		\$					
GPR Earned									
FED									
PRO/PRS									
SEG/SEG-S									
TOTAL State Revenues	TOTAL State Revenues			\$					
NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT									
		<u>State</u>		<u>Local</u>					
NET CHANGE IN COSTS	\$	\$							
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE		\$See text		\$					
Agency/Prepared By	thorized Signature		Date						
DOR/ Paul Ziegler (608) 266-5773	R/ Paul Ziegler (608) 266-5773 Paul Ziegler (608) 266-5773								