Received By: chanaman

Page 1

2009 DRAFTING REQUEST

1	•	٠	1	
1	к	ı		П

Received: 07/09/2009

Wanted: As time permits				Identical to LRB:				
For: Mar	or: Mark Gottlieb (608) 267-2369				By/Representing: Denise Solie			
This file	may be shown	to any legislato	r: NO		Drafter: chanaman			
May Con	atact:				Addl. Drafters:			
Subject:	Employ	Pub - collectiv	e bargain		Extra Copies:			
Submit v	ria email: YES							
Requeste	er's email:	Rep.Gottlie	eb@legis.wi	sconsin.gov				
Carbon c	copy (CC:) to:							
Pre Top	ic:							
No speci	fic pre topic gi	ven						
Topic:						,		
Restore v	weighting facto	rs to MERA						
Instruct	ions:							
See attac	hed							
Drafting	g History:				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		. ,	
Vers.	<u>Drafted</u>	Reviewed	<u>Typed</u>	Proofed	Submitted	<u>Jacketed</u>	Required	
/?	chanaman 07/24/2009	kfollett 08/11/2009					S&L	
/1			rschluet 08/11/200	9	sbasford 08/11/2009	cduerst 08/18/2009		
FE Sent	For: /1 " @	intro. 9/24	1/09	<end></end>				

Received By: chanaman

2009 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Received: 07/09/2009

					•			
Wanted: As time permits				Identical to LRB:				
For: Mar	For: Mark Gottlieb (608) 267-2369			By/Representing: Denise Solie				
This file i	may be shown	to any legislato	or: NO		Drafter: chanaman Addl. Drafters:			
May Con	tact:							
Subject: Employ Pub - collective bargain				Extra Copies:				
Submit v	ia email: YES							
Requeste	r's email:	Rep.Gottli	eb@legis.w	isconsin.gov				
Carbon co	opy (CC:) to:							
Pre Topi	ic:							
No specif	fic pre topic gi	ven						
Topic:								
Restore v	veighting facto	ers to MERA						
Instructi	ions:		***************************************					
See attacl	hed							
Drafting	History:	Messafford commencers and commencers					, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Vers.	<u>Drafted</u>	Reviewed	Typed	Proofed	Submitted	<u>Jacketed</u>	Required	
/?	chanaman 07/24/2009	kfollett 08/11/2009					S&L	
/1			rschluet 08/11/20	09	sbasford 08/11/2009			
FE Sent I	For:							

<END>

2009 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

FE Sent For:

Received: 07/09/2009	Received By: chanaman			
Wanted: As time permits	Identical to LRB:			
For: Mark Gottlieb (608) 267-2369	By/Representing: Denise Solie			
This file may be shown to any legislator: NO	Drafter: chanaman			
May Contact:	Addl. Drafters:			
Subject: Employ Pub - collective bargain	Extra Copies:			
Submit via email: YES				
Requester's email: Rep.Gottlieb@legis.wisconsin.gov				
Carbon copy (CC:) to:				
Pre Topic:				
No specific pre topic given				
Topic:				
Restore weighting factors to MERA				
Instructions:				
See attached				
Drafting History:				
Vers. Drafted Reviewed Typed Proofed // chanaman	Submitted Jacketed Required S&L			

Hanaman, Cathlene

From:

Solie, Denise

Sent:

Wednesday, July 08, 2009 12:44 PM

To:

Champagne, Rick: Hanaman, Cathlene

Subject:

Drafting request: MERA bill - greatest/greater weight



Rick & Cathlene,

Please draft a bill that would restore the greatest/greater weight language to the Municipal Employment Relations Act that was repealed in 2009 Act 28. (Section numbers below refer to the original language in AB 75.) Call if you have any guestions. Thanks.

SECTION 2226. 111.70 (4) (cm) 5. of the statutes is amended to read:

111.70 (4) (cm) 5. 'Voluntary impasse resolution procedures.' In addition to the other impasse resolution procedures provided in this paragraph, a municipal employer and labor organization may at any time, as a permissive subject of bargaining, agree in writing to a dispute settlement procedure, including authorization for a strike by municipal employees or binding interest arbitration, which is acceptable to the parties for resolving an impasse over terms of any collective bargaining agreement under this subchapter. A copy of such agreement shall be filed by the parties with the commission. If the parties agree to any form of binding interest arbitration, the arbitrator shall give weight to the factors enumerated under subds. 7., and 7g. for a collective bargaining unit consisting of municipal employees who are not school district employees and under subd. 7r.for a collective bargaining unit consisting of municipal employees.

SECTION 2230. 111.70 (4) (cm) 7. of the statutes is amended to read:

111.70 (4) (cm) 7. 'Factor given greatest weight.' In making any decision under the arbitration procedures authorized by this paragraph, except for any decision involving a collective bargaining unit consisting of school district employees, the arbitrator or arbitration panel shall consider and shall give the greatest weight to any state law or directive lawfully issued by a state legislative or administrative officer, body or agency which places limitations on expenditures that may be made or revenues that may be collected by a municipal employer. The arbitrator or arbitration panel shall give an accounting of the consideration of this factor in the arbitrator's or panel's decision.

SECTION 2231. 111.70 (4) (cm) 7g. of the statutes is amended to read:

111.70 (4) (cm) 7g. 'Factor given greater weight.' In making any decision under the arbitration procedures authorized by this paragraph, except for any decision involving a collective bargaining unit consisting of school district employees, the arbitrator or arbitration panel shall consider and shall give greater weight to economic conditions in the jurisdiction of the municipal employer than to any of the factors specified in subd. 7r.

SECTION 2232. 111.70 (4) (cm) 7r. (intro.) of the statutes is amended to read:

111.70 (4) (cm) 7r. (intro.) 'Other factors considered.' In making any decision under the arbitration procedures authorized by this paragraph, the arbitrator or arbitration panel shall also give weight to the following factors:

SECTION 9316. Initial applicability; Employment Relations Commission.

The treatment of section 111.70 (4) (cm) 5., 7., 7g., and 7r. (intro.), of the statutes first applies to petitions for arbitration that relate to collective bargaining agreements that cover periods beginning on or after July 1, 2009, and that are filed under section 111.70 (4) (cm) 6. of the statutes, as affected by this act, on the effective date of this subsection.

Denise Solie
Office of Rep. Mark Gottlieb
(608) 267-2370
Good government starts here!



1

2

State of Misconsin 2009 - 2010 LEGISLATURE



PRELIMINARY DRAFT - NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

est wh

AN ACT ...; relating to: factors considered in binding interest arbitration under

the Municipal Employment Relations Act.

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

Under the Municipal Employment Relations Act, in local government employment other than law enforcement and fire fighting, if a dispute relating to the terms of a proposed collective bargaining agreement has not been settled after a reasonable period of negotiation and after mediation by the Wisconsin Employment Relations Commission (WERC), either party, or the parties jointly, may petition WERC to initiate compulsory, final, and binding arbitration with respect to any dispute relating to wages, hours, and conditions of employment. An arbitrator's decision is then incorporated into the collective bargaining agreement. In reaching a decision, the arbitrator must give weight to factors. Unless the decision involves a collective bargaining unit consisting of school district employees, the arbitrator must give the greatest weight to any state law or directive that places expenditure or revenue limitations on an employer and must give greater weight to economic conditions in the jurisdiction of the employer than to other factors. For decisions involving a collective bargaining unit consisting of any municipal employees the arbitrator has to give weight to various factors including the authority of the municipal employer; the interests and welfare of the public and the ability of the unit of government to meet the costs of the proposed agreement; comparison of wages, hours, and conditions of employment with those of other employees; and the cost of living. This bill eliminates this distinction between school district employees and other municipal employees, so that, for a decision involving a collective bargaining 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

unit consisting of school district employees as well as for a collective bargaining unit consisting of other municipal employees, the arbitrator must give the greatest weight to the factors given the greatest weight under current law to all municipal employees except school district employees and must give greater weight to the factors given greater weight under current law to all municipal employees except school district employees.

For further information see the **state and local** fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. 111.70 (4) (cm) 5. of the statutes, as affected by 2009 Wisconsin Act 28, is amended to read:

111.70 (4) (cm) 5. 'Voluntary impasse resolution procedures.' In addition to the other impasse resolution procedures provided in this paragraph, a municipal employer and labor organization may at any time, as a permissive subject of bargaining, agree in writing to a dispute settlement procedure, including authorization for a strike by municipal employees or binding interest arbitration, which is acceptable to the parties for resolving an impasse over terms of any collective bargaining agreement under this subchapter. A copy of such agreement shall be filed by the parties with the commission. If the parties agree to any form of binding interest arbitration, the arbitrator shall give weight to the factors enumerated under subds. 7. and, 7g. for a collective bargaining unit consisting of municipal employees who are not school district employees and under subd., and 7r. for a collective bargaining unit consisting of municipal employees.

History: 1971 c. 124, 246, 247, 307, 336; 1973 c. 64, 65; 1977 c. 178, 186, 272, 442, 449; 1979 c. 32 s. 92 (15); 1981 c. 20, 112, 187; 1983 a. 189, 192; 1985 a. 29; 1985 a. 182 s. 57; 1985 a. 318; 1987 a. 153, 399; 1991 a. 136; 1993 a. 16, 429, 492; 1995 a. 27, 225, 289; 1997 a. 27, 237; 1999 a. 9, 65; 1999 a. 150 s. 672; 2001 a. 16; 2005 a. 253; 2007 a. 20; 2009 a. 15, 21.

Section 2. 111.70 (4) (cm) 7. of the statutes, as affected by 2009 Wisconsin Act

28, is amended to read:

111.70 (4) (cm) 7. 'Factor given greatest weight.' In making any decision under
the arbitration procedures authorized by this paragraph, except for any decision
involving a collective bargaining unit consisting of school district employees, the
arbitrator or arbitration panel shall consider and shall give the greatest weight to
any state law or directive lawfully issued by a state legislative or administrative
officer, body or agency which places limitations on expenditures that may be made
or revenues that may be collected by a municipal employer. The arbitrator or
arbitration panel shall give an accounting of the consideration of this factor in the
arbitrator's or panel's decision.

SECTION 3. 111.70 (4) (cm) 7g. of the statutes, as affected by 2009 Wisconsin Act 28, is amended to read:

111.70 (4) (cm) 7g. 'Factor given greater weight.' In making any decision under the arbitration procedures authorized by this paragraph, except for any decision involving a collective bargaining unit consisting of school district employees, the arbitrator or arbitration panel shall consider and shall give greater weight to economic conditions in the jurisdiction of the municipal employer than to any of the factors specified in subd. 7r.

History: 2009 a. 28.

SECTION 4. 111.70 (4) (cm) 7r. (intro.) of the statutes, as affected by 2009 Wisconsin Act 28, is amended to read:

111.70 (4) (cm) 7r. (intro.) 'Other factors considered.' In making any decision under the arbitration procedures authorized by this paragraph, the arbitrator or arbitration panel shall give weight <u>also</u> to the following factors:

History: 2009 a. 28.

 $\binom{1}{2}$

(1) This act first applies to petitions for arbitration that relate to collective bargaining agreement that cover periods beginning on or after July 1, 2009, and that are filed under section 111.70 (4) (cm) 6. of the statutes on the effective date of this subsection.

5

(END)

Duerst, Christina

From:

Sent:

Solie, Denise Tuesday, August 18, 2009 9:20 AM LRB.Legal

To:

Subject:

Draft Review: LRB 09-3100/1 Topic: Restore weighting factors to MERA

Please Jacket LRB 09-3100/1 for the ASSEMBLY.