## 2009 DRAFTING REQUEST

## Assembly Amendment (AA-AB462)

Receive	ed: 10/13/2009			Received By: pkahler													
Wanted: Soon  For: Robin Vos (608) 266-9171  This file may be shown to any legislator: NO  May Contact:					Identical to LRB:												
					By/Representing: Jenny Toftness  Drafter: pkahler  Addl. Drafters:												
									Subject: Public Assistance - Wis works					Extra Copies:			
									Submit	via email: YES							
Reques	Requester's email: Rep.Vos@legis.wisconsin.gov																
Carbon	copy (CC:) to:																
Pre To	pic:				***************************************	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·											
No spec	cific pre topic gi	ven															
Topic:																	
Discont	tinue subsidy if	case is being re	ferred to dis	strict attorney	for suspicion of fr	raud											
Instruc	ctions:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		ANSE												
See atta	ached																
Draftin	ng History:				A PALL FULL LINE AND A PALL FU	,											
Vers.	<u>Drafted</u>	Reviewed	Typed	Proofed	Submitted	Jacketed	Required										
/?	pkahler 10/15/2009	bkraft 10/15/2009															
/1			rschluet 10/16/20	09	cduerst 10/16/2009	cduerst 10/16/2009											
FE Sent	t For:																

<END>

#### 2009 DRAFTING REQUEST

## Assembly Amendment (AA-AB462)

Receive	d: 10/13/2009	)		Received By: pkahler				
Wanted	: Soon			Identical to LRB:				
For: Ro	bin Vos (608	3) 266-9171	By/Representing: Jenny Toftness  Drafter: pkahler					
This file	e may be show	vn to any legislator: NO						
May Co	ntact:			Addl. Drafters:				
Subject	Publi	c Assistance - Wis works	S	Extra Copies:				
Submit	via email: <b>YF</b>	ES						
Request	er's email:	Rep.Vos@legis.wis	sconsin.gov					
Carbon	copy (CC:) to	<b>:</b>						
Pre To	pic:							
No spec	eific pre topic	given						
Topic:								
Discont	inue subsidy	if case is being referred to	district attorney	for suspicion of f	raud			
Instruc	tions:							
See atta	ched							
Draftin	g History:			·				
Vers.	Drafted	Reviewed Typed	<u>Proofed</u>	Submitted	<u>Jacketed</u>	Required		
/?	pkahler	Reviewed  / I bj k / 15						
FE Sent	For:	1,						

/?

<END>

#### 2009-2010 DRAFTING INSERT FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRB

1. Allow local agencies to termine this is not an insert. It is a recipient (parent) at the point a suspicion of fraud case is part of the mirror the unemployment process, who is not an a recipient (parent) to be described as a recipient (parent) at the point a suspicion of fraud case is part of the drafting file.

claiming UI is prohibited from collecting benefits until they have been proven innocent.



#### State of Misconsin 2009 - 2010 LEGISLATURE

LRBa0867

9

## PRELIMINARY DRAFT NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

ASSEMBLY AMENDMENT,

**TO 2009 ASSEMBLY BILL 462** 

bh sheef ccc x-ref (in ). whe

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

At the locations indicated, amend the bill as follows:

- 1. Page 2, line 2: after "provided" insert ", terminating child care subsidy payments under Wisconsin Works if a case is referred to the district attorney on suspicion of fraud,".
  - 2. Page 21, line 12: after that line insert:

"Section 36r. 49.155 (3m) (g) of the statutes is created to read:

49.155 (3m) (g) If, on the basis of a reasonable suspicion of fraud on the part of an individual receiving a child care subsidy under this section, a county department or agency refers the matter to a district attorney, the county department or agency may terminate payment of any child care subsidy for child care services provided for a child of the individual. If the county department or agency decides to terminate an individual's child care subsidy under this paragraph, and the

1 individual does not rectify the situation after notice under s. 49.153 and either does 2 not timely petition for review under s. 49.152 of the termination of benefits or timely 3 petitions for review under s. 49.152 but does not prevail, the individual shall not be 4 eligible for a subsidy again until the earliest of any of the following occurs: 5 1. Six months elapse since the referral and the district attorney has not charged 6 the individual with a crime in connection with the referral. 7 2. All charges filed in connection with the referral are dismissed. 8 3. The trial court reaches final disposition for all charges in connection with the 9 referral and the individual is not adjudged guilty of a crime in connection with the 10 referral. 11 4. The individual was adjudged guilty of a crime in connection with the referral and the conviction is reversed, set aside, or vacated.  $^{\prime}$ 12 $_{\circ}$ 13 **3.** Page 24, line 1: delete "This act" and substitute "Except for the treatment 14 of section 49.155 (3m) (g) of the statutes, this act". **4.** Page 24, line 7: after that line insert: 15 "(2g) Discontinuation of child care subsidy if matter referred to district 16 ATTORNEY. The treatment of section 49.155 (3m) (g) of the statutes first applies to make on a reasonable suspicion of frame matters that are referred to a district attorney on the effective date of this 17 (18)19 subsection.". 20 **5.** Page 24, line 13: after that line insert: 21 "(2g) Discontinuation of child care subsidy if matter referred to district 22 ATTORNEY. The treatment of section 49.155 (3m) (g) of the statutes and Section 40

24

23

(END)

(2g) of this act take effect on the day after publication.".

J. 1150

# DRAFTER'S NOTE FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRBa0867/kdn
PJK:.....

Date

This amendment may be considered nongermane under Assembly Rule 54 (3) (f) if it is determined to substantially expand the scope of the bill.

This amendment is drafted to avoid any unconstitutional violation of due process by incorporating all of the notice and hearing rights under current law.

Pamela J. Kahler Senior Legislative Attorney Phone: (608) 266–2682

E-mail: pam.kahler@legis.wisconsin.gov

To proposed DO490155 (3m)(g) I chose in Compatible was stand on what I thought was time frame change that time frame reasonable COA you can change that time frame you wish on wish o

## DRAFTER'S NOTE FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRBa0867/1dn PJK:bjk:rs

October 16, 2009

This amendment may be considered nongermane under Assembly Rule 54~(3)~(f) if it is determined to substantially expand the scope of the bill.

This amendment is drafted to avoid any unconstitutional violation of due process by incorporating all of the notice and hearing rights under current law.

For proposed s. 49.155~(3m)~(g) I chose "[s]ix months" based on what I thought was reasonable. You can change that time frame if you wish.

Pamela J. Kahler Senior Legislative Attorney Phone: (608) 266–2682

E-mail: pam.kahler@legis.wisconsin.gov