2009 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Received: 07/30/2009					Received By: phurley			
Wanted: As time permits					Identical to LRB:			
For: Joe Parisi (608) 266-5342					By/Representing:			
This file may be shown to any legislator: NO					Drafter: phurley			
May Cont	act:				Addl. Drafters:			
Subject: Courts - costs and fees				Extra Copies:				
Submit vi	a email: YES							
Requester	Requester's email: Rep.Parisi@legis.wisconsin.gov							
Carbon co	opy (CC:) to:							
Pre Topi	c:			<u> </u>				
No specif	ic pre topic gi	ven						
Topic:								
Increase r	nunicipal cour	t fees						
Instructi	ons:							
See email	of 7-28-09; in	ncrease fees by	\$10					
Drafting	History:							
Vers.	Drafted	Reviewed	Typed	Proofed	Submitted	Jacketed	Required	
/?							Local	
/1	phurley 08/03/2009	jdyer 08/20/2009	rschluet 08/20/200)9	cduerst 08/20/2009	cduerst 09/25/2009		
FE Sent For: 11" @ 1Wtro, 10/5/09 <end></end>								

2009 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Received: 07/30/2009 Wanted: As time permits For: Joe Parisi (608) 266-5342 This file may be shown to any legislator: NO May Contact:					Received By: phurley Identical to LRB: By/Representing: Drafter: phurley Addl. Drafters:												
									Subject: Courts - costs and fees					Extra Copies:			
									Submit v	via email: YES							
									Requester's email: Rep.Parisi@legis.wisconsin.gov								
									Carbon	copy (CC:) to:							
Pre Top	oic:																
No spec	ific pre topic gi	ven															
Topic:																	
Increase	municipal cour	rt fees															
Instruc	tions:																
See ema	il of 7-28-09; in	ncrease fees by	\$10														
Draftin	g History:																
Vers.	<u>Drafted</u>	Reviewed	Typed	Proofed	Submitted	Jacketed	Required										
/?							Local										
/1	phurley 08/03/2009	jdyer 08/20/2009	rschluet 08/20/20	09	cduerst 08/20/2009												
FE Sent	For:																

<END>

2009 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Received: 07/30/2009	Received By: phurley			
Wanted: As time permits	Identical to LRB:			
For: Joe Parisi (608) 266-5342	By/Representing:			
This file may be shown to any legislator: NO	Drafter: phurley			
May Contact:	Addl. Drafters:			
Subject: Courts - costs and fees	Extra Copies:			
Submit via email: YES				
Requester's email: Rep.Parisi@legis.wisconsin.gov				
Carbon copy (CC:) to:				
Pre Topic:				
No specific pre topic given				
Topic:				
Increase municipal court fees				
Instructions:				
See email of 7-28-09; increase fees by \$10				
Drafting History:				
<u>Vers.</u> <u>Drafted</u> <u>Reviewed</u> <u>Typed</u> <u>Proofed</u>	Submitted Jacketed Required			
phurley 1 20 jld	Local			

FE Sent For:

Hurley, Peggy

From:

Murray, Mike

Sent:

Tuesday, July 28, 2009 4:59 PM

To:

Hurley, Peggy

Cc:

'Koval, Daniel'

Subject:

FW: proposal to increase municipal court costs

Attachments: Municipal Court Costs Proposal.doc

Hi Peggy,

Judge Dan Koval, who is the Madison Municipal Judge, requested that we draft a very simple bill that would allow for municipalities to charge \$10 more for filing fees if they so choose. Joe would like to draft the bill and introduce it. I figured that you were probably the right LRB attorney to send the drafting request to, so I've forwarded Judge Koval's suggestion to you. Please let me know if it needs to go to someone else.

If you have any questions at all about the request, don't hesitate to contact Judge Koval, who I have copied to this email. You certainly have our permission to discuss it with him.

Please let me know if you need anything else. As always, thanks so much for your help.

Mike Murray

Office of Rep. Parisi

From: Koval, Daniel [mailto:DKoval@cityofmadison.com]

Sent: Monday, July 20, 2009 12:33 PM

To: Murray, Mike

Subject: proposal to increase municipal court costs

Hi Mike,

The Wisconsin Municipal Judges Association is supporting the attached proposal. There has not been an increase in municipal court costs since 2005 and this modest increase of \$10 would help towns, villages, and municipalities to offset the costs of municipal courts. The cost would be paid by the violator and would still be significantly lower than the costs associated with cases in circuit courts. This would also help towns, villages, and municipalities who are concerned with any perceived increased costs associated with the proposed changes to 755 and 800. Each town, village or municipality would reserve the right to increase the court costs or keep the costs the same.

It would be great if Representative Parisi would agree to sponor this proposal. It should not be controversial in anyway. All it does is give the towns, villages, and municipalities the right, if they so chose, to increase the municipal court costs by \$10. Please let me know if it is something Representative Parisi would introduce and sponsor the proposal.

Thanks,

Dan Koval Madison Municipal Judge Current law provides that in most municipal court actions, the filing fee must be at least \$15 but not more than \$28. The proposal would increase the upper limit to \$38. The last time this was changed was in 2005. The proposal would enable individual municipalities to increase the filing fee if necessary to adequately fund their municipal courts. The fee is on the offender not the municipality. Even with proposed increase the costs for a case in municipal court would still be significantly lower than the costs for a case in circuit court. The language below was taken from the language of the current law.

AN ACT to amend 814.65(1) of the statutes; relating to: increasing filing fees for municipal court actions.

814.65(1) COURT COSTS. In a municipal court action, except for an action for a first violation of s. 23.33 (4c)(a)2., 30.681 (1)(b)1., 346.63(1)(b), or 350.101(1)(b), if the person who committed the violation had a blood concentration of 0.08 or more but less than 0.1 at the time of the violation, or for a violation of an ordinance in conformity with s. 347.48(2m), the municipal judge shall collect a fee of not less than \$15 nor more than \$28(proposal to change this to \$38) on each separate matter, whether it is on default of appearance, a plea of guilty or no contest, on issuance of a warrant or summons, or the action tried as a contested matter. Of each fee received by the judge under this subsection, the municipal treasurer shall pay monthly \$5 to the secretary of administration for deposit in the general fund and shall retain the balance for the use of the municipality.



State of Misconsin 2009 - 2010 LEGISLATURE

LRB-3216/1

In Ind

2009 BILL

8.3.9

メ

2

3

4

5

6

AN ACT ...; relating to: municipal court fees.

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureax

Under current law, when a municipal courts finds that a person has violated an ordinance, the municipal court judge may collect a court fee of not less than \$15 nor more than \$28 from the person. Of that fee, the municipal treasurer forwards averaged to the department of administration and retains the balance for use by the municipality.

This bill increases the maximum court fee to \$38.

For further information see the *local* fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. 814.65 (1) of the statutes is amended to read:

814.65 (1) COURT COSTS. In a municipal court action, except for an action for a first violation of s. 23.33 (4c) (a) 2., 30.681 (1) (b) 1., 346.63 (1) (b), or 350.101 (1) (b), if the person who committed the violation had a blood alcohol concentration of 0.08 or more but less than 0.1 at the time of the violation, or for a violation of an

BILL

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

ordinance in conformity with s. 343.51 (1m) (b) or 347.48 (2m), the municipal judge shall collect a fee of not less than \$15 nor more than \$28 \$38 on each separate matter, whether it is on default of appearance, a plea of guilty or no contest, on issuance of a warrant or summons, or the action is tried as a contested matter. Of each fee received by the judge under this subsection, the municipal treasurer shall pay monthly \$5 to the secretary of administration for deposit in the general fund and shall retain the balance for the use of the municipality.

History: 1981 c. 317; 1983 a. 107; 1987 a. 181, 389, 399, 403; 1989 a. 22; 1991 a. 26; 1997 a. 27; 2003 a. 30, 33, 320; 2005 a. 54, 455; 2007 a. 96. (END)

Duerst, Christina

From:

Sent:

Murray, Mike Friday, September 25, 2009 3:56 PM

To:

Subject:

LRB.Legal
Draft Review: LRB 09-3216/1 Topic: Increase municipal court fees

Please Jacket LRB 09-3216/1 for the ASSEMBLY.