# 2009 DRAFTING REQUEST

# Bill

rryan

Received: 09/18/2008					Received By: mshovers			
Wanted: As time permits					Identical to LRB:			
For: Ann	Hraychuck (	(608) 267-2365	5		By/Representing:	Stephanie		
This file n	nay be shown	to any legislat	or: <b>NO</b>		Drafter: mshover	<b>·s</b>		
May Cont	act:				Addl. Drafters:	rryan jkuesel mkunkel agary		
Subject: Local Gov't - counties Local Gov't - munis generally Transportation - other Education - miscellaneous State Govt - miscellaneous				Extra Copies:	EVM			
Submit vi	a email: YES							
Requester	's email:	Rep.Hray	chuck@legi	s.wisconsin.g	ov			
Carbon co	py (CC:) to:							
Pre Topic	C:							
No specifi	c pre topic gi	ven						
Topic:					<u> </u>			
Psycholog	rical evaluatio	ns for law enfo	orcement per	rsonnel				
Instruction	ons:							
		ate for law enformate sent to the hiri			ed by a licensed ps	ychologist (see	e s. 455.01	
Drafting	History:						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Vers.	Drafted	Reviewed	<u>Typed</u>	Proofed	Submitted	Jacketed	Required	
/?	mshovers 09/19/2008	wjackson 10/02/2008					S&L	

LRB-0299

10/20/2009 01:26:41 PM Page 2

Vers.	<u>Drafted</u>	Reviewed	<u>Typed</u>	Proofed	Submitted	<u>Jacketed</u>	Required
	09/22/2008 agary 09/22/2008 mkunkel 09/24/2008 jkreye 09/24/2008 jkuesel 09/25/2008						
/1			jfrantze 10/03/200	8	cduerst 10/03/2008	sbasford 10/20/2009	
FE Sent I	For:			<end></end>			

# 2009 DRAFTING REQUEST

		_	_
m	. •	1	
ж	-	E	3
		Æ	-

Received: 09/18/2008					Received By: mshovers				
Wanted: As time permits  For: Ann Hraychuck (608) 267-2365  This file may be shown to any legislator: NO					Identical to LRB:  By/Representing: Stephanie  Drafter: mshovers				
May Cor	ntact:				Addl. Drafters:	rryan jkuesel mkunkel agary			
Subject: Local Gov't - counties Local Gov't - munis generally Transportation - other Education - miscellaneous State Govt - miscellaneous					Extra Copies:	EVM			
Submit v	ria email: <b>YES</b>								
Requeste	er's email:	Rep.Hrayo	huck@legi	is.wisconsin.g	ov				
Carbon c	copy (CC:) to:								
Pre Top	ic:								
No speci	fic pre topic gi	ven							
Topic:									
Psycholo	gical evaluation	ons for law enfo	rcement pe	rsonnel					
Instruct	ions:								
See attac (4)); the	hed. A candid report must be	ate for law enformation sent to the hiring	orcement mang authority	ust be evaluate	ed by a licensed ps	ychologist (se	e s. 455.01		
Drafting	g History:								
Vers.	<u>Drafted</u>	Reviewed	Typed	Proofed	Submitted	<u>Jacketed</u>	Required		
<i>l</i> ?	mshovers 09/19/2008 rryan	wjackson 10/02/2008					S&L		

LRB-0299

10/03/2008 01:18:07 PM Page 2

Vers.	Drafted	Reviewed	<u>Typed</u>	Proofed	Submitted	Jacketed	Required
	09/22/2008 agary 09/22/2008 mkunkel 09/24/2008 jkreye 09/24/2008 jkuesel 09/25/2008						
/1			jfrantze 10/03/2008	8	cduerst 10/03/2008		
FE Sent F	or:						

<**END>** 

# 2009 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

D	eceived.	09/18/2008	
ĸ	ecervea:	VY/18/2UV8	

Received By: mshovers

Wanted: As time permits

Identical to LRB:

For: Ann Hraychuck (608) 267-2365

By/Representing: Stephanie

This file may be shown to any legislator: NO

Drafter: mshovers

May Contact:

Addl. Drafters:

rryan

jkuesel mkunkel agary

Subject:

Local Gov't - counties

Extra Copies:

**EVM** 

Local Gov't - munis generally Transportation - other

**Education - miscellaneous State Govt - miscellaneous** 

Submit via email: YES

Requester's email:

Rep.Hraychuck@legis.wisconsin.gov

Carbon copy (CC:) to:

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

Psychological evaluations for law enforcement personnel

**Instructions:** 

See attached. A candidate for law enforcement must be evaluated by a licensed psychologist (see s. 455.01 (4)); the report must be sent to the hiring authority.

**Drafting History:** 

Vers.

Drafted

Reviewed

**Typed** 

Submitted

Jacketed

Required

/? mshovers / | WLj 10|1 // NES9/19

LRB-0299

09/18/2008 03:13:56 PM Page 2

FE Sent For:

<**END>** 

### Shovers, Marc

From:

Hilton, Stephanie

Sent:

Wednesday, September 17, 2008 3:12 PM

To:

Shovers, Marc

Subject:

Hraychuck Draft Requests

Good afternoon Marc,

Rep. Ann Hraychuck would like two bills from the 2007-08 session redrafted for introduction in the 2009-2010 legislative session:

2007 LRB 3292/2 (AB 787), relating to psychological evaluations for law enforcement

Thank you!

LRB-/3292

MS/AG/MK/JTK/RR: Milyon

Stays

# 2007 ASSEMBLY BILL 784

February 11, 2008 - Introduced by Representatives HRAYCHUCK, BIES, BLACK, GUNDERSON, HAHN, MURSAU, A. OTT, SHERIDAM, SINICKI, TURNER, VRUWINK, -ZEPNICK and ZIEGELBAUER, cosponsored by Senators HANSEN, A. LASEE and LEHMAN. Referred to Committee on Corrections and Courts.

LPS: Please PWF

1

3

4

5

AN ACT to amend 16.84 (2), 23.10 (1) and 36.11 (2) (b); and to create 59.26 (1m),

60.56 (3), 61.65 (1m), 61.66 (1m), 62.13 (4) (am), 62.50 (2m), 73.03 (64), 110.07 2

(7), 111.91 (2) (t), 165.70 (5) and 165.85 (4) (g) of the statutes; **relating to**:

psychological evaluations for law enforcement officers, providing an exemption

from emergency rule procedures, and requiring the exercise of rule-making

6 authority.

# Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

Under current law, the Law Enforcement Standards Board (board) establishes minimum qualification standards for law enforcement officers. The board may establish, in addition to education and training standards, qualification standards relating to the competence and reliability of a person to assume and discharge the responsibilities of a law enforcement officer.

This bill provides that a candidate for a full-time law enforcement or tribal law enforcement officer position must submit to a psychological evaluation before being appointed as a full-time law enforcement or tribal law enforcement officer. This evaluation requirement applies to law enforcement or tribal law enforcement officers appointed on or after January 1, **2000** The bill also requires local law enforcement agencies, and state agencies that employ law enforcement officers, to review the psychological evaluation of a candidate for a law enforcement officer position before appointing the individual as a full-time law enforcement officer. This bill specifies

2010 closure of access to public records concerning psychological evaluations backsome circumstances

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

Public paccess

that all of the psychological evaluations provided for under the bill are confidential and not subject to **MAN** inspection or copying under the provided for under the bill are confidential and not subject to the inspection or copying under the provided for under the bill are confidential.

The bill requires the board to promulgate rules for the content of a required psychological evaluation for full—time law enforcement and tribal law enforcement officers. The bill also requires the board to submit a report to the legislature by April 1, 2000, that evaluates the effect of the requirement for psychological evaluations for law enforcement officers, makes a recommendation as to whether psychological evaluations should be required for part—time or limited term law enforcement and tribal law enforcement officers, and makes a recommendation as to whether psychological evaluations should be required for officers appointed to serve on a special weapons and tactics unit. Finally, the bill makes the requirement for psychological evaluations for law enforcement officers a prohibited subject of bargaining under the State Employment Labor Relations Act.

For further information see the *state and local* fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

# The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

**Section 1.** 16.84 (2) of the statutes is amended to read:

all public property placed by law in the department's charge, and provide, by agreement with any other state agency, police and security services at buildings and facilities owned, controlled, or occupied by the other state agency. The governor or the department may, to the extent it is necessary, authorize police officers employed by the department to safeguard state officers, state employees, or other persons. The department controlled individual to serve as a full-time police officer under this subsection unless the department reviews any evaluation by a psychologist that is required under s. 165.85 (4) (g). Notwithstanding s. 103.13, the department shall keep confidential any record of an evaluation received or maintained under this subsection and any such record is not open to public inspection or copying under s. 19.35. A police officer who is employed by the department and who is performing duties that are within the scope of his or her employment as a police officer has the

-3-

#### **ASSEMBLY BILL 787**

powers of a peace officer under s. 59.28, except that the officer has the arrest powers of a law enforcement officer under s. 968.07 regardless of whether the violation is punishable by forfeiture or criminal penalty. The officer may exercise the powers of a peace officer and the arrest powers of a law enforcement officer while located anywhere within this state. Nothing in this subsection limits or impairs the duty of the chief and each police officer of the police force of the municipality in which the property is located to arrest and take before the proper court or magistrate persons found in a state of intoxication or engaged in any disturbance of the peace or violating any state law in the municipality in which the property is located, as required by s. 62.09 (13).

**Section 2.** 23.10 (1) of the statutes is amended to read:

23.10 (1) The department of natural resources shall secure the enforcement of all laws which it is required to administer and bring, or cause to be brought, actions and proceedings in the name of the state for that purpose. The persons appointed by said department to exercise and perform the powers and duties heretofore conferred and imposed upon deputy fish and game wardens, shall be known as conservation wardens and shall be subject to ch. 230. The department pay not appoint any individual to serve as a full-time conservation warden unless the department reviews any evaluation by a psychologist that is required under s. 165.85 (4) (g). Notwithstanding s. 103.13, the department shall keep confidential any record of an evaluation received or maintained under this subsection and any such record is not open to public inspection or copying under s. 19.35.

**Section 3.** 36.11 (2) (b) of the statutes is amended to read:

36.11 **(2)** (b) The board may employ police for the institutions and chiefs to head such police, or contract for police, all of whom shall be deemed peace officers under

s. 939.22 (22) under the supervision and control of the appropriate chancellor or the chancellor's designees. Such police officers shall meet the minimum standards established for other police officers by the law enforcement standards board or a comparable agency, and the board may not employ an individual to serve as a full-time police officer unless the board reviews any evaluation by a psychologist that is required under s. 165.85 (4) (16) Notwithstanding s. 103.13, the board shall keep confidential any record of an evaluation received or maintained under this paragraph and any such record is not open to public inspection or copying under s. 19.35. Such police shall preserve the peace on all property described under par. (a), enforce all rules promulgated under this chapter and all other laws, and for that purpose the chancellor or the chancellor's designee may call for aid from such other persons as is deemed necessary.

**SECTION 4.** 59.26 (1m) of the statutes is created to read:

59.26 **(1m)** A sheriff may not appoint any individual to serve as a full–time deputy under this section unless the sheriff reviews any evaluation by a psychologist that is required under s. 165.85 **(4) (g)**. Notwithstanding s. 103.13, the sheriff and the county shall keep confidential any record of an evaluation received or maintained under this subsection and any such record is not open to public inspection or copying under s. 19.35.

**SECTION 5.** 60.56 (3) of the statutes is created to read:

60.56 **(3)** EVALUATION OF PROSPECTIVE OFFICERS. If a town has or establishes a town police department, or creates a joint police department, the town may not hire any individual to serve as a full-time town or joint police officer unless the hiring authority reviews any evaluation by a psychologist that is required under s. 165.85 **(4)** (g). Notwithstanding s. 103.13, the hiring authority and the town shall keep

1	confidential any record of an evaluation received or maintained under this
2	subsection and any such record is not open to public inspection or copying under s.
3	19.35.
4	<b>SECTION 6.</b> 61.65 (1m) of the statutes is created to read:
5	61.65 (1m) If a village has or establishes a village police department, or creates
6	a joint police department, the village may not hire any individual to serve as a
7	full-time village or joint police officer unless the hiring authority reviews any
8	evaluation by a psychologist that is required under s. 165.85 (4) (g). Notwithstanding
9	s. 103.13, the hiring authority and the village shall keep confidential any record of
10	an evaluation received or maintained under this subsection and any such record is
11	not open to public inspection or copying under s. 19.35.
12	<b>SECTION 7.</b> 61.66 (1m) of the statutes is created to read:
13	61.66 (1m) Section 61.65 (1m), to the extent that it applies to a prospective
14	full-time officer of a village police department or a joint police department, applies
15	to a full-time prospective employee of the village who may perform police protection
16	duties pursuant to sub. (1).
17	<b>SECTION 8.</b> 62.13 (4) (am) of the statutes is created to read:
18	62.13 <b>(4)</b> (am) The chief of police may not appoint any individual as a full-time
(9)	law enforcement officer under this section unless the chief and the board review any
20	evaluation by a psychologist that is required under s. 165.85 $\stackrel{\checkmark}{(4)}$ (g). Notwithstanding
21	s. $103.13$ , the chief, the board, and the city shall keep confidential any record of an
22	evaluation received or maintained under this paragraph and any such record is not
23	open to public inspection or copying under s. 19.35.

**SECTION 9.** 62.50 (2m) of the statutes is created to read:

24

Section 9

#### **ASSEMBLY BILL 787**

62.50 **(2m)** EVALUATION OF PROSPECTIVE OFFICERS. No individual may be appointed as a full-time police officer under this section unless the chief of police and the board review any evaluation by a psychologist that is required under s. 165.85 (4) (g). Notwithstanding s. 103.13, the chief, the board, and the city shall keep confidential any record of an evaluation received or maintained under this subsection and any such record is not open to public inspection or copying under s. 19.35.

**Section 10.** 73.03 (64) of the statutes is created to read:

73.03 **(64)** To review any evaluation of an individual by a psychologist that is required under s. 165.85 (4) (g) before appointing the individual to serve as a full-time law enforcement officer, as defined in s. 165.85 (1) (c). Notwithstanding s. 103.13, the department shall keep confidential any record of an evaluation received or maintained under this subsection and any such record is not open to public inspection or copying under s. 19.35

**SECTION 11.** 110.07 (7) of the statutes is created to read:

of the state traffic patrol under sub. (1) or as a full–time inspector under sub. (3) unless the department reviews any evaluation by a psychologist that is required under s. 165.85 (4) (g). The department shall keep confidential any evaluation received or maintained under this subsection and any such evaluation is not open to public inspection or copying under s. 19.35.

**S**ECTION **12**. 111.91 (2) (t) of the statutes is created to read:

111.91 **(2)** (t) The requirements under ss. 16.84 (2), 23.10 (1), 36.11 (2) (b), 73.03 (64), 110.07 (7), and 165.70 (5) for state agencies to review a psychologist's evaluation of an individual before hiring the individual as a full-time law enforcement officer.

24

1	<b>SECTION 13.</b> 165.70 (5) of the statutes is created to read:
2	165.70 <b>(5)</b> (a) The department of justice may not employ an individual to serve
3	as a full-time law enforcement officer, as defined in s. 165.85 (2) $(c)$ , unless the
4	department reviews any evaluation by a psychologist that is required under s. 165.85
5	(4) (g). $$
6	(b) Notwithstanding s. 103.13, the department shall keep confidential any
7	record of an evaluation received or maintained under par. (a) and any such record is
8	not open to public inspection or copying under s. 19.35.
9	<b>SECTION 14.</b> 165.85 (4) (g) of the statutes is created to read:
10	165.85 <b>(4)</b> (g) 1. No person may be appointed as a full-time law enforcement
(11)	or tribal law enforcement officer on or after January 1, 2000, unless the person
12	receives an evaluation by a licensed psychologist, as defined in s. $455.01$ (4), and the
13	psychologist's report of the evaluation is submitted to the appointing authority.
14	2. The board shall promulgate rules regarding the content of the evaluation
15	required under subd. 1.
16	Section 15. Nonstatutory provisions.
17	(1) REPORT TO LEGISLATURE. The law enforcement standards board shall by April
18	1, <b>ROYO</b> , submit a report to the legislature in the manner described under section
19	13.172 (2) of the statutes, on all the following:
20	(a) The effect of the requirement under this act that agencies review a
21	psychologist's evaluation of an individual before hiring the individual as a full-time
22	law enforcement officer or tribal law enforcement officer.
23	(b) A recommendation as to whether the law enforcement standards board

should exercise its rule-making authority to require an evaluation by a licensed

psychologist of any individual who is appointed as a part-time or limited term law enforcement or tribal law enforcement officer.

- (c) A recommendation as to whether the law enforcement standards board should exercise its rule—making authority to require an evaluation by a licensed psychologist of any law enforcement or tribal law enforcement officer who is appointed to serve on a special weapons and tactics unit.
- (2) EMERGENCY RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY. (a) The law enforcement standards board shall submit in proposed form the rules required under section 165.85 (4) (g) 2. of the statutes, as created by this act, to the legislative council staff under section 227.15 (1) of the statutes no later than the first day of the 7th month beginning after the effective date of this subjection.
- (b) Using the procedure under section 227.24 of the statutes, the law enforcement standards board may promulgate the rules required under section 165.85 (4) (g) 2. of the statutes, as created by this act, for the period before the effective date of the permanent rules submitted under paragraph (a), but not to exceed the period authorized under section 227.24 (1) (c) and (2) of the statutes. Notwithstanding section 227.24 (1) (a), (2) (b), and (3) of the statutes, the law enforcement standards board is not required to provide evidence that promulgating a rule under this paragraph as an emergency rule is necessary for the preservation of the public peace, health, safety, or welfare and is not required to provide a finding of emergency for a rule promulgated under this paragraph.

#### **SECTION 16. Initial applicability.**

(1) REQUIRED EVALUATIONS. The treatment of sections 16.84 (2), 23.10 (1), 36.11 (2) (b), 59.26 (1m), 60.56 (3), 61.65 (1m), 61.66 (1m), 62.13 (4) (am), 62.50 (2m), 73.03

(64), 110.07 (7), and 165.70 (5) of the statutes first applies to appointments of law enforcement or tribal law enforcement officers that are made on January 1,2009 (2) Collective Bargaining agreements. The treatment of section 111.91 (2) (t) of the statutes first applies to collective bargaining agreements entered into,

of the statutes first applies to collective bargaining agreements entered into, extended, modified, or renewed, whichever occurs first, on the effective date of this subsection.

7

5

6

(END)

O-NOTE Date

Fepottraychucker

Ave the date changes in the bill OK? Also, a
if the bill is not enacted before the end
if the bill is not the bill will have to be
advanced one years

M3 1

See AHd dnote

Drafter's Note from the Legislative Reference Bureau -0299/1dn LRB-<del>3292/P2dn</del> JTK:wlj:pg

December 11, 2007

#### Representative Hraychuck:

Regarding the closure of records of psychological examinations, current law permits closure of these kinds of records under some circumstances. In addition, an employee or former employee (but not an unsuccessful applicant) may have access to his or her own records, subject to a number of exceptions, under s. 103.13, stats. The former this draft closes all access to these records without exception. It is possible that an applicant who is prejudiced by the examination results may want to have access to the results to correct potentially erroneous information. It is also possible that a representative of the public, such as a district attorney or corporation counsel, may want to access the examination results if the applicant later becomes involved in a civil or criminal proceeding in which the applicant's mental health is at issue. While records of these results are not considered to be mental health treatment records, the statute that governs access to these records [s. 51.30 (4) (b), stats.] contains a number of exceptions to confidentiality, some of which may be relevant in this context.

Jeffery T. Kuesel Managing Attorney Phone: (608) 266–6778

# DRAFTER'S NOTE FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRB-0299/1dn MES&JTK:wlj:jf

October 3, 2008

Rep. Hraychuck:

Are the date changes in the bill OK? Also, if the bill is not enacted before the end of 2009, the dates in the bill will have to be advanced one year.

Marc E. Shovers Senior Legislative Attorney Phone: (608) 266-0129

E-mail: marc.shovers@legis.wisconsin.gov

Regarding the closure of records of psychological examinations, current law permits closure of these kinds of records under some circumstances. In addition, an employee or former employee (but not an unsuccessful applicant) may have access to his or her own records, subject to a number of exceptions, under s. 103.13, stats. This draft closes all access to these records without exception. It is possible that an applicant who is prejudiced by the examination results may want to have access to the results to correct potentially erroneous information. It is also possible that a representative of the public, such as a district attorney or corporation counsel, may want to access the examination results if the applicant later becomes involved in a civil or criminal proceeding in which the applicant's mental health is at issue. While records of these results are not considered to be mental health treatment records, the statute that governs access to these records [s. 51.30 (4) (b), stats.] contains a number of exceptions to confidentiality, some of which may be relevant in this context.

Jeffery T. Kuesel Managing Attorney Phone: (608) 266-6778

# Parisi, Lori

From:

Rep.Hraychuck

Sent:

To:

Subject:

Tuesday, October 20, 2009 11:59 AM
LRB.Legal
Draft Review: LRB 09-0299/1 Topic: Psychological evaluations for law enforcement personnel

Please Jacket LRB 09-0299/1 for the ASSEMBLY. Rep. Ann Hraychuck 6 North