2009 DRAFTING REQUEST

Assembly Amendment (AA-AB553)

Received: 02/27/2010					Received By: tkuczens			
Wanted: As time permits					Identical to LRB:			
For: Donna Seidel (608) 266-0654					By/Representing: Natalie Verette			
This file may be shown to any legislator: NO					Drafter: tkuczens			
May Contact:					Addl. Drafters:			
Subject: Occupational Reg prof lic					Extra Copies:			
Submit v	ia email: YES							
Requester's email: Rep.Seidel@legis.wisconsin.gov								
Carbon copy (CC:) to: tracy.kuczenski@legis.wisconsin.gov								
Pre Topic:								
No specific pre topic given								
Topic:								
Modify disclosure statement required by hearing instrument specialist								
Instructions:								
See attac	hed							
Drafting	g History:							
Vers.	<u>Drafted</u>	Reviewed	<u>Typed</u>	Proofed	Submitted	Jacketed	Required	
/? /1	tkuczens 02/27/2010	csicilia 03/01/2010	jfrantze 03/01/201	0	sbasford 03/01/2010	sbasford 03/01/2010		

FE Sent For:

<END>

2009 DRAFTING REQUEST

Assembly Amendment (AA-AB553)

Received: 02/27/2010	Received By: tkuczens						
Wanted: As time permits	Identical to LRB: By/Representing: Natalie Verette						
For: Donna Seidel (608) 266-0654							
This file may be shown to any legislator: NO	Drafter: tkuczens						
May Contact:	Addl. Drafters:						
Subject: Occupational Reg prof lic	Extra Copies:						
Submit via email: YES							
Requester's email: Rep.Seidel@legis.wisconsin.gov							
Carbon copy (CC:) to: tracy.kuczenski@legis.wisconsin.gov							
Pre Topic:							
No specific pre topic given							
Topic:							
Modify disclosure statement required by hearing instrument	specialist						
Instructions:							
See attached							
Drafting History:							
Vers. <u>Drafted</u> <u>Reviewed</u> <u>Typed</u> <u>Proofed</u>	d Submitted Jacketed Required						
/? tkuczens () 3 1 2 1 1							

FE Sent For:

<**END>**

Kuczenski, Tracy

From:

Verette, Natalie

Sent:

Friday, February 26, 2010 11:37 AM

To:

Kuczenski, Tracy

Subject: Amendment to AB 553

Hi Tracy,

Following up on my voicemail, Rep. Seidel would like an amendment drafted to AB 553.

Page 4, Section 7

Line 23: delete "or audiology"

Line 24 "or audiological"

I don't believe there are any other references in the bill relating to this statement on the contract, but if there are then we would need amend those parts of the bill as well.

Below is an e-mail that we received from our constituent that provides background for the amendment. It's probably more information than you need, but I thought I would provide it to you just in case.

Thanks very much, Natalie

From: Jim and Renee Ogurek [mailto:ogureks@gmail.com]

Sent: Thursday, January 14, 2010 8:10 AM

To: Rep.Seidel

Subject: Assemby Bill 553

Hello Representative Seidel,

I have previously contacted your office regarding changes in Hearing Aid dispensing regulations. On Wednesday December 9th, your Assembly Committee will be holding a public hearing regarding this Bill.

Please consider the following position

- I STRONGLY ENCOURAGE YOU TO SIMPLY REMOVE THE FOUR WORDS "OR AUDIOLOGY" AND "OR AUDIOLOGICAL" FROM THE AMENDMENT TO SECTION 459.03 (2) (B) CONTAINED WITHIN ASSEMBLY BILL 553.
- Amending section 459.03 (2) (b) to add the four words "or audiology" and "or audiological" inappropriately portrays an audiologist as equivalent to a medical physician.
- All three members of the hearing healthcare delivery team hearing aid specialists, audiologists, and

- physicians are recognized by the Food and Drug Administration as essential and fully qualified entry points into the hearing healthcare delivery system.
- The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) regulates the sale of hearing aid devices in the United States. Sec. 801.421 (Hearing Aid Devices; Conditions for Sale) of the FDA regulations states that "...a hearing aid dispenser shall not sell a hearing aid unless the prospective user has presented to the hearing aid dispenser a written statement signed by a licensed physician that states that the patient's hearing loss has been medically evaluated..." Although the regulation allows a prospective purchaser over the age of 18 to waive this provision, an audiologist cannot perform a medical evaluation.
- Sec. 801.420 (Hearing Aid Devices; Professional and Patient Labeling) of the FDA regulation states that, "Following the medical evaluation, the physician will give you a written statement that states that your hearing loss has been medically evaluated and that you may be considered a candidate for a hearing aid. The physician will refer you to an audiologist or a hearing aid dispenser, as appropriate, for a hearing aid evaluation." It is clear that the FDA equates an audiologist with a hearing aid dispenser, not a physician.

If this provision is dropped, this legislation will benefit the citizens of Wisconsin. If passed as written, it will confuse hearing impaired citizens and create a competitive advantage for one group of providers (Audiologists) over another (Licensed Hearing Instrument Specialists). Wisconsin has a long history of maintaining a regulatory history of creating a "level playing field" for all qualified providers. This assures the highest quality, accessibility, and affordability of care to the citizens of Wisconsin. Please continue this tradition by insisting this provision is dropped.

If you have questions I can be reached at <u>ogureks@gmail.com</u> or 715-571-9882.

Thank you,

James Ogurek

Licensed Hearing Instrument Specialist
Owner Beltone-Hearing Solutions Inc. of Wausau
Past Chairman- Hearing and Speech Examining Board
Past President - Wisconsin Alliance of Hearing Professionals
Past President - International Hearing Society



State of Misconsin 2009 - 2010 LEGISLATURE

LRBa1696/? TKK:J..... RMR Un

PRELIMINARY DRAFT - NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION ASSEMBLY AMENDMENT,

TO 2009 ASSEMBLY BILL 553

(2/27/10) 19 3/1/10

(work

At the locations indicated, amend the bill as follows:

- (2) **1.** Page 4, line23: delete "or audiology".
- 3 **2.** Page 4, line 24: delete "or audiological".

4

1

(END)