## Fiscal Estimate - 2009 Session

	Original		Updated		Correcte	ed [	Supple	emental		
LRB N	umber <b>09</b> -	2517/1		Intro	duction	Number	AB-055	54		
<b>Description</b> Medical use of marijuana, the regulation of marijuana distribution organizations, requiring the exercise of rule-making authority, making appropriations, and providing a penalty										
Fiscal Ef	fect									
	State Fiscal Eff determinate Increase Existir Appropriations Decrease Exist Appropriations Create New Ap	ng ing	☐ Increase Revenue ☐ Decrease Revenue	s e Existing	[					
□ No Local Government Costs       5.Types of Local         □ Indeterminate       Government Units Affected         1. □ Increase Costs       3. □ Increase Revenue       □ Towns       □ Village       □ Cities         □ Permissive □ Mandatory       □ Counties       □ Others         □ Permissive □ Mandatory       □ School       □ WTCS         □ Permissive □ Mandatory       □ Districts       □ Districts										
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations  GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS										
□ GPR	R 🔲 FED 🔲	PRO [	PRS SEC	а <u>Ц</u> S	EGS					
Agency	Prepared By		Aut	horized	Signatur	е		Date		
DA/ Phil Werner (608) 267-2700 Tom				n Herman (608) 266-0239				11/11/2009		

## Fiscal Estimate Narratives DA 11/11/2009

LRB Number	09-2517/1	Introduction Number	AB-0554	Estimate Type	Original					
Description										
Medical use of marijuana, the regulation of marijuana distribution organizations, requiring the exercise of rule-making authority, making appropriations, and providing a penalty										

## **Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate**

Prosecutors provided differing responses concerning the potential enactment of this bill. The majority said that it would dramatically increase costs of DA offices because they will be involved in investigating and prosecuting legal marijuana distribution organizations as well as illegal organizations. In addition, the DAs anticipate significant problems with growers, wholesalers, retailers, and the whole delivery system. Most DAs expect that enactment of this bill would cause much more time to be spent on possession cases.

Some DAs believe enactment of the bill would provide savings or not increase their costs because many of those with a prescription card would no longer need to be prosecuted and because the other thorough investigative work would continue to be conducted whether or not this bill is enacted.

Based on differing responses from prosecutors, it is difficult to calculate a fiscal estimate.

## **Long-Range Fiscal Implications**

As stated above, due to differing opinions of DAs, it is difficult to provide an estimate of the long-term fiscal impact of enactment of this law.