

Fiscal Estimate - 2009 Session

Original
 Updated
 Corrected
 Supplemental

LRB Number 09-2517/1	Introduction Number AB-0554
------------------------------------	---

Description
 Medical use of marijuana, the regulation of marijuana distribution organizations, requiring the exercise of rule-making authority, making appropriations, and providing a penalty

Fiscal Effect

State:

- No State Fiscal Effect
- Indeterminate
 - Increase Existing Appropriations
 - Decrease Existing Appropriations
 - Create New Appropriations
- Increase Existing Revenues
- Decrease Existing Revenues
- Increase Costs - May be possible to absorb within agency's budget
 - Yes No
- Decrease Costs

Local:

- No Local Government Costs
 - Indeterminate
 - 1. Increase Costs 3. Increase Revenue
 - Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory
 - 2. Decrease Costs 4. Decrease Revenue
 - Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory
- 5. Types of Local Government Units Affected**
- Towns Village Cities
 - Counties Others
 - School Districts WTCS Districts

Fund Sources Affected **Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations**

- GPR
 FED
 PRO
 PRS
 SEG
 SEGS

Agency/Prepared By SPD/ Mike Tobin (608) 266-8259	Authorized Signature Krista Ginger (608) 264-8572	Date 11/5/2009
---	---	--------------------------

Fiscal Estimate Narratives

SPD 11/5/2009

LRB Number	09-2517/1	Introduction Number	AB-0554	Estimate Type	Original
Description Medical use of marijuana, the regulation of marijuana distribution organizations, requiring the exercise of rule-making authority, making appropriations, and providing a penalty					

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

The State Public Defender (SPD) is statutorily authorized and required to appoint attorneys to represent indigent defendants in criminal proceedings. The SPD plays a major role in ensuring that the Wisconsin justice system complies with the right to counsel provided by both the state and federal constitutions. Any legislation has the potential to increase SPD costs if it creates a new criminal offense, expands the definition of an existing criminal offense, or increases the penalties for an existing offense.

This bill does not provide for a new criminal offense, expand the definition of a criminal offense, or increase criminal penalties. The bill would amend procedures in criminal cases in which the defendant is charged with possession of marijuana. Specifically, the bill would provide a defense to prosecution if the defendant can show that he or she possessed the marijuana legally for medical reasons (the bill would also provide for an administrative process to document the medical reason for using the substance). Therefore, the SPD does not anticipate any increase in its caseload as a result of this proposal.

It is possible that the law could result in prosecutors electing not to issue charges in some cases in which criminal charges would be issued under current law. However, it is also possible that the persons who would qualify for legal marijuana use are not persons who would otherwise use the substance, who would be likely to be apprehended for its possession, or who would meet the financial eligibility criteria for SPD representation. Therefore, the SPD cannot predict a decrease in charges for possession of marijuana as a result of this bill.

Counties are also subject to increased costs when a new crime is created. There are some defendants who, despite exceeding the SPD's statutory financial guidelines, are constitutionally eligible for appointment of counsel because it would be a substantial hardship for them to retain an attorney. The court is required to appoint counsel at county expense for these defendants. However, for the same reason that the SPD does not anticipate any impact on its caseload or expenditures, the counties are unlikely to see any increase in case appointments or costs.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications