

2009 DRAFTING REQUEST

Assembly Amendment (AA-AB612)

Received: **02/15/2010**

Received By: **mkunkel**

Wanted: **As time permits**

Identical to LRB:

For: **Amy Sue Vruwink (608) 266-8366**

By/Representing: **Jon Mielke**

This file may be shown to any legislator: **NO**

Drafter: **mkunkel**

May Contact:

Addl. Drafters:

Subject: **Public Util. - misc.**

Extra Copies:

Submit via email: **YES**

Requester's email: **Rep.Vruwink@legis.wisconsin.gov**

Carbon copy (CC:) to:

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

Allowing inspection of audio tapes

Instructions:

See attached

Drafting History:

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/?							
/1	mkunkel 02/15/2010	kfollett 02/15/2010	rschluet 02/15/2010	_____	mbarman 02/15/2010	mbarman 02/15/2010	

FE Sent For:

<END>

2009 DRAFTING REQUEST

Assembly Amendment (AA-AB612)

Received: **02/15/2010**

Received By: **mkunkel**

Wanted: **As time permits**

Identical to LRB:

For: **Amy Sue Vruwink (608) 266-8366**

By/Representing: **Jon Mielke**

This file may be shown to any legislator: **NO**

Drafter: **mkunkel**

May Contact:

Addl. Drafters:

Subject: **Public Util. - misc.**

Extra Copies:

Submit via email: **YES**

Requester's email: **Rep.Vruwink@legis.wisconsin.gov**

Carbon copy (CC:) to:

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

Allowing inspection of audio tapes

Instructions:

See attached

Drafting History:

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
--------------	----------------	-----------------	--------------	----------------	------------------	-----------------	-----------------

/?	mkunkel	1/15 f 2/15		==			
----	---------	----------------	---	----	--	--	--

FE Sent For:

<END>

Kunkel, Mark

From: Kunkel, Mark
Sent: Friday, February 12, 2010 4:51 PM
To: Mielke, Jon
Subject: RE: Email from LRB Website

Jon,

I think you're right. I can amend the bill to allow inspecting, but not copying, the tape, on line 5. I'll do that Monday am.

-- Mark

From: Mielke, Jon
Sent: Friday, February 12, 2010 3:59 PM
To: Kunkel, Mark
Subject: RE: Email from LRB Website

Sure. Monday would work just fine. I didn't mean to suggest that I needed it by 5, especially since I'm doing everything humanly possible to disappear by then.

From: Kunkel, Mark
Sent: Friday, February 12, 2010 3:57 PM
To: Mielke, Jon
Subject: RE: Email from LRB Website

Okay. Is it okay if you get this Monday am instead of today?


From: Mielke, Jon
Sent: Friday, February 12, 2010 3:56 PM
To: Kunkel, Mark
Subject: Email from LRB Website

Hi Mark,

We may need an amendment for AB 612. The intent is to not allow someone not make a copy of the audio of a 911 call, but we don't object to someone to listen to the tape to verify a written transcript of the call. As the bill is currently written it appears that they wouldn't be able to listen to the tape to verify a written transcript. If I'm reading the draft correctly it appears that this might be achieved by changing the wording slightly in line 5.

Thanks,

Jon Mielke
Legis. Asst.
Rep. Amy Sue Vruwink



State of Wisconsin
2009 - 2010 LEGISLATURE

LRBa1543/1
MDK: *gf*

TBOAY

ASSEMBLY AMENDMENT ,
TO 2009 ASSEMBLY BILL 612

1 At the locations indicated, amend the bill as follows:

2 **1.** Page 1, line 5: delete “is not subject to inspection or copying” and substitute
3 “is subject to inspection, but is not subject to copying.”

4 (END)