PART 3

RILLS OF	LADING	SPECIAL.	PROVISIONS
DILLIO OF	LADING.	SILUCIAL	

SECTION 7-301. LIABILITY FOR NONRECEIPT OR MISDESCRIPTION; "SAID TO CONTAIN"; "SHIPPER'S LOAD AND COUNT"; IMPROPER HANDLING.

- (a) A consignee of a nonnegotiable bill of lading which has given value in good faith, or a holder to which a negotiable bill has been duly negotiated, relying upon the description of the goods in the bill or upon the date shown in the bill, may recover from the issuer damages caused by the misdating of the bill or the nonreceipt or misdescription of the goods, except to the extent that the document of title indicates that the issuer does not know whether any part or all of the goods in fact were received or conform to the description, such as in a case in which the description is in terms of marks or labels or kind, quantity, or condition or the receipt or description is qualified by "contents or condition of contents of packages unknown", "said to contain", "shipper's weight, load and count," or words of similar import, if that indication is true.
- (b) If goods are loaded by the issuer of the bill of lading, the issuer shall count the packages of goods if shipped in packages and ascertain the kind and quantity if shipped in bulk and words such as "shipper's weight, load and count," or words of similar import indicating that the description was made by the shipper are ineffective except as to goods concealed by packages.
- (c) If bulk goods are loaded by a shipper that makes available to the issuer of the bill of lading adequate facilities for weighing those goods, the issuer shall ascertain the kind and quantity within a reasonable time after receiving the shipper's request in a record to do so. In

that case, "shipper's weight" or words of similar import are ineffective.

- (d) The issuer, by including in the bill of lading the words "shipper's weight, load and count," or words of similar import, may indicate that the goods were loaded by the shipper, and, if that statement is true, the issuer is not liable for damages caused by the improper loading.

 However, omission of such words does not imply liability for damages caused by improper loading.
- (e) A shipper guarantees to the issuer the accuracy at the time of shipment of the description, marks, labels, number, kind, quantity, condition, and weight, as furnished by the shipper, and the shipper shall indemnify the issuer against damage caused by inaccuracies in those particulars. This right of the issuer to that indemnity does not limit its responsibility or liability under the contract of carriage to any person other than the shipper.

Preliminary Comments

Prior Uniform Statutory Provision: Former Section 7-301.

Changes: Changes for clarity, style and to recognize deregulation in the transportation industry.

18 Purposes:

- 1. This section continues the rules from former Section 7-301 with one substantive change. The obligations of the issuer of the bill of lading under former subsections (2) and (3) were limited to issuers who were common carriers. Subsections (b) and (c) apply the same rules to all issuers not just common carriers. This section is compatible with the policies stated in the federal Bills of Lading Act, 49 U.S.C. § 80113 (2000).
- 2. The language of the pre-Code Uniform Bills of Lading Act suggested that a carrier is ordinarily liable for damage caused by improper loading, but may relieve itself of liability by disclosing on the bill that shipper actually loaded. A more accurate statement of the law is that the carrier is not liable for losses caused by act or default of the shipper, which would include improper loading. There was some question whether under pre-Code law a carrier was liable even to a good faith purchaser of a negotiable bill for such losses, if the shipper's faulty loading in fact caused the loss. Subsection (d) permits the carrier to bar, by disclosure of shipper's loading, liability to a good faith purchaser. There is no implication that decisions such as Modern Tool Corp. v. Pennsylvania R. Co., 100 F.Supp. 595 (D.N.J.1951), are disapproved.
 - 3. This section is a restatement of existing law as to the method by which a bailee may avoid

responsibility for the accuracy of descriptions which are made by or in reliance upon information furnished by the depositor or shipper. The wording in this section — "contents or condition of contents of packages unknown" or "shipper's weight, load and count" — to indicate that the shipper loaded the goods or that the carrier does not know the description, condition, or contents of the loaded packages continues to be appropriate as commonly understood in the transportation industry. The reasons for this wording are as important in 2002 as when the prior section initially was approved. The issuer is liable on documents issued by an agent, contrary to instructions of his principal, without receiving goods. No disclaimer of this liability is permitted since it is not a matter either of the care of the goods or their description.

- 4. The shipper's erroneous report to the carrier concerning the goods may cause damage to the carrier. Subsection (e) therefore provides appropriate indemnity.
- 5. The word "freight" in the former Section 7-301 has been changed to "goods" to conform to international and domestic land transport usage in which "freight" means the price paid for carriage of the goods and not the goods themselves. Hence, changing the word "freight" to the word "goods" is a clarifying change that fits both international and domestic practice.

Cross References: Sections 7-203, 7-309 and 7-501.

17 18 19

1

2

3

4 5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14 15

16

Definitional Cross References:

- 20 "Bill of lading". Section 1-201.
- "Consignee". Section 7-102.
- "Document of Title". Section 1-201.
- "Duly negotiate". Section 7-501.
- "Good faith". Section 1-201.
- 25 "Goods". Section 7-102.
- 26 "Holder". Section 1-201.
- 27 "Issuer". Section 7-102.
- 28 "Notice". Section 1-202.
- 29 "Party". Section 1-201.
- 30 "Purchaser." Section 1-201.
- 31 "Receipt of Goods". Section 2-103.
 - "Value". Section 1-204.

33 34 35

36

37

38

39

32

SECTION 7-302. THROUGH BILLS OF LADING AND SIMILAR DOCUMENTS OF

TITLE.

(a) The issuer of a through bill of lading or other document of title embodying an undertaking to be performed in part by a person acting as its agent or by a performing carrier is liable to any person entitled to recover on the document for any breach by the other person or the

1	performing carrier of its obligation under the document. However, to the extent that the bill
2	covers an undertaking to be performed overseas or in territory not contiguous to the continental
3	United States or an undertaking including matters other than transportation, this liability for
4	breach by the other person or the performing carrier may be varied by agreement of the parties.
5	(b) If goods covered by a through bill of lading or other document of title embodying an
6	undertaking to be performed in part by a person other than the issuer are received by that person,
7	the person is subject, with respect to its own performance while the goods are in its possession,
8	to the obligation of the issuer. The person's obligation is discharged by delivery of the goods to
9	another person pursuant to the document and does not include liability for breach by any other
10	person or by the issuer.
11	(c) The issuer of a through bill of lading or other document of title described in
12	subsection (a) is entitled to recover from the performing carrier, or other person in possession of
13	the goods when the breach of the obligation under the document occurred:
14	(1) the amount it may be required to pay to any person entitled to recover on the
15	document for the breach, as may be evidenced by any receipt, judgment, or transcript of
16	judgment, and;
17	(2) the amount of any expense reasonably incurred by the issuer in defending any
18	action commenced by any person entitled to recover on the document for the breach.
19	Preliminary Comments
20 21	Prior Uniform Statutory Provision: Former Section 7-302.
22 23 24	Changes: To conform to current terminology and for style.

25

26

Purposes:
1. This section continues the rules from former Section 7-302 without substantive change.

The term "performing carrier" is substituted for the term "connecting carrier" to conform the terminology of this section with terminology used in recent UNCITRAL and OAS proposals concerning transportation and through bills of lading. This change in terminology is not substantive. This section is compatible with liability on carriers under federal law. See 49 U.S.C. § 14706.

The purpose of this section is to subject the initial carrier under a through bill to suit for breach of the contract of carriage by any performing carrier and to make it clear that any such performing carrier holds the goods on terms which are defined by the document of title even though such performing carrier did not issue the document. Since the performing carrier does hold the goods on the terms of the document, it must honor a proper demand for delivery or a diversion order just as the original bailee would have to. Similarly it has the benefits of the excuses for non-delivery and limitations of liability provided for the original bailee who issued the bill. Unlike the original bailee-issuer, the performing carrier's responsibility is limited to the period while the goods are in its possession. The section does not impose any obligation to issue through bills.

- 2. The reference to documents other than through bills looks to the possibility that multi-purpose documents may come into use, e.g., combination warehouse receipts and bills of lading. As electronic documents of title come into common usage, storage documents (e.g. warehouse receipts) and transportation documents (e.g. bills of lading) may merge seamlessly into one electronic document that can serve both the storage and transportation segments of the movement of goods.
- 3. Under subsection (a) the issuer of a through bill of lading may become liable for the fault of another person. Subsection (c) gives the issuer appropriate rights of recourse.
- 4. Despite the broad language of subsection (a), Section 7-302 is subject to preemption by federal laws and treaties. Section 7-103. The precise scope of federal preemption in the transportation sector is a question determined under federal law.

Cross reference: Section 7-103

Definitional Cross References:

- 31 "Agreement". Section 1-201.
- 32 "Bailee". Section 7-102.
- 33 "Bill of lading". Section 1-201.
- 34 "Delivery". Section 1-201.
- 35 "Document of title". Section 1-201.
- 36 "Goods". Section 7-102.
- 37 "Issuer". Section 7-102.
- 38 "Party". Section 1-201.
- 39 "Person". Section 1-201.

SECTION 7-303. DIVERSION; RECONSIGNMENT; CHANGE OF

INSTRUCTIONS.

1	(a) Unless the bill of lading otherwise provides, a carrier may deliver the goods to a
2	person or destination other than that stated in the bill or may otherwise dispose of the goods,
3	without liability for misdelivery, on instructions from:
4	(1) the holder of a negotiable bill;
5	(2) the consignor on a nonnegotiable bill even if the consignee has given contrary
6	instructions;
7	(3) the consignee on a nonnegotiable bill in the absence of contrary instructions from
8	the consignor, if the goods have arrived at the billed destination or if the consignee is in
9	possession of the tangible bill or in control of the electronic bill; or
10	(4) the consignee on a nonnegotiable bill, if the consignee is entitled as against the
11	consignor to dispose of the goods.
12	(b) Unless instructions described in subsection (a) are included in a negotiable bill of
13	lading, a person to which the bill is duly negotiated may hold the bailee according to the original
14	terms.
15 16	Preliminary Comments
17	Prior Uniform Statutory Provision: Former Section 7-303.
18	
19	Changes: To accommodate electronic documents and for style.
20	D.
21 22	Purposes:
23	1. Diversion is a very common commercial practice which defeats delivery to the consignee
24	originally named in a bill of lading. This section continues former Section 7-303's safe harbor
25	rules for carriers in situations involving diversion and adapts those rules to electronic documents
26	of title. This section works compatibly with Section 2-705. Carriers may as a business matter be
27	willing to accept instructions from consignees in which case the carrier will be liable for
28	misdelivery if the consignee was not the owner or otherwise empowered to dispose of the goods
29 30	under subsection (a)(4). The section imposes no duty on carriers to undertake diversion. The carrier is of course subject to the provisions of mandatory filed tariffs as provided in Section
50	carrier is or course subject to the provisions of mandatory fried tariffs as provided in Section

1	7-103.
2	2. It should be noted that the section provides only an immunity for carriers against liability
3	for "misdelivery." It does not, for example, defeat the title to the goods which the
4	consignee-buyer may have acquired from the consignor-seller upon delivery of the goods to the
5	carrier under a non-negotiable bill of lading. Thus if the carrier, upon instructions from the
6	consignor, returns the goods to the consignor, the consignee may recover the goods from the
7	consignor or the consignor's insolvent estate. However, under certain circumstances, the
8	consignee's title may be defeated by diversion of the goods in transit to a different consignee.
9	The rights that arise between the consignor-seller and the consignee-buyer out of a contract for
0	the sale of goods are governed by Article 2.
1	
12	Cross References:
13	Point 1: Sections 2-705 and 7-103.
 4	Point 2: Article 2, Sections 7-403 and 7-504(3).
15	
16	Definitional Cross References:
17	"Bailee". Section 7-102.
18	"Bill of lading". Section 1-201.
19	"Carrier". Section 7-102
20	"Consignee". Section 7-102.
21	"Consignor". Section 7-102.
22	"Delivery". Section 1-201.
23	"Goods". Section 7-102.
24	"Holder". Section 1-201.
25	"Notice". Section 1-202.
26	"Person". Section 1-201.
27	"Purchaser". Section 1-201.
28	"Term". Section 1-201.
29	
30	
31	SECTION 7-304. TANGIBLE BILLS OF LADING IN A SET.
32	(a) Except as customary in international transportation, a tangible bill of lading may not
33	be issued in a set of parts. The issuer is liable for damages caused by violation of this
34	subsection.
35	(b) If a tangible bill of lading is lawfully issued in a set of parts, each of which contains
36	an identification code and is expressed to be valid only if the goods have not been delivered

against any other part, the whole of the parts constitutes one bill.

- (c) If a tangible negotiable bill of lading is lawfully issued in a set of parts and different parts are negotiated to different persons, the title of the holder to which the first due negotiation is made prevails as to both the document of title and the goods even if any later holder may have received the goods from the carrier in good faith and discharged the carrier's obligation by surrendering its part.
- (d) A person that negotiates or transfers a single part of a tangible bill of lading issued in a set is liable to holders of that part as if it were the whole set.
- (e) The bailee is obliged to deliver in accordance with Part 4 against the first presented part of a tangible bill of lading lawfully issued in a set. Delivery in this manner discharges the bailee's obligation on the whole bill.

Preliminary Comments

Prior Uniform Statutory Provision: Former Section 7-304.

Changes: To limit bills in a set to tangible bills of lading and to use terminology more consistent with modern usage.

Purposes:

- 1. Tangible bills of lading in a set are still used in some nations in international trade. Consequently, a tangible bill of lading part of a set could be at issue in a lawsuit that might come within Article 7. The statement of the legal effect of a lawfully issued set is in accord with existing commercial law relating to maritime and other international tangible bills of lading. This law has been codified in the Hague and Warsaw Conventions and in the Carriage of Goods by Sea Act, the provisions of which would ordinarily govern in situations where bills in a set are recognized by this Article. Tangible bills of lading in a set are prohibited in domestic trade.
- 2. Electronic bills of lading in domestic or international trade will not be issued in a set given the requirements of control necessary to deliver the bill to another person. An electronic bill of lading will be a single, authoritative copy. Section 7-106. Hence, this section differentiates between electronic bills of lading and tangible bills of lading. This section does not prohibit electronic data messages about goods in transit because these electronic data messages are not the issued bill of lading. Electronic data messages contain information for the carrier's management and handling of the cargo but this information for the carrier's use is not the issued bill of lading.

I	
2	Cross Reference: Section 7-103, 7-303 and 7-106.
3 4	Definitional Cross References:
5	"Bailee". Section 7-102.
6	"Bill of lading". Section 1-201.
7	"Delivery". Section 1-201.
8	"Document of title". Section 1-201.
9	"Duly negotiate". Section 7-501.
10	"Good faith". Section 1-201.
11	"Goods". Section 7-102.
12	"Holder". Section 1-201.
13	"Issuer". Section 7-102.
14	"Person". Section 1-201.
15	"Receipt of goods". Section 2-103.
16	
17	
18	SECTION 7-305. DESTINATION BILLS.
19	(a) Instead of issuing a bill of lading to the consignor at the place of shipment, a carrier,
20	at the request of the consignor, may procure the bill to be issued at destination or at any other
21	place designated in the request.
22	(b) Upon request of any person entitled as against a carrier to control the goods while in
23	transit and on surrender of possession or control of any outstanding bill of lading or other receipt
24	covering the goods, the issuer, subject to Section 7-105, may procure a substitute bill to be
25	issued at any place designated in the request.
26	Preliminary Comments
27	
28	Prior Uniform Statutory Provision: Former Section 7-305.
29	
30	Changes: To accommodate electronic bills of lading and for style.
31	
32	Purposes:
33	
34	1. Subsection (a) continues the rules of former Section 7-305(1) without substantive change.
35	This proposal is designed to facilitate the use of order bills in connection with fast shipments.

Use of order bills on high speed shipments is impeded by the fact that the goods may arrive at destination before the documents, so that no one is ready to take delivery from the carrier. This is especially inconvenient for carriers by truck and air, who do not have terminal facilities where shipments can be held to await the consignee's appearance. Order bills would be useful to take advantage of bank collection. This may be preferable to C.O.D. shipment in which the carrier, e.g. a truck driver, is the collecting and remitting agent. Financing of shipments under this plan would be handled as follows: seller at San Francisco delivers the goods to an airline with instructions to issue a bill in New York to a named bank. Seller receives a receipt embodying this undertaking to issue a destination bill. Airline wires its New York freight agent to issue the bill as instructed by the seller. Seller wires the New York bank a draft on buyer. New York bank indorses the bill to buyer when the buyer honors the draft. Normally seller would act through its own bank in San Francisco, which would extend credit in reliance on the airline's contract to deliver a bill to the order of its New York correspondent. This section is entirely permissive; it imposes no duty to issue such bills. Whether a performing carrier will act as issuing agent is left to agreement between carriers.

2. Subsection (b) continues the rule from former Section 7-305(2) with accommodation for electronic bills of lading. If the substitute bill changes from an electronic to a tangible medium or vice versa, the issuance of the substitute bill must comply with Section 7-105 to give the substitute bill validity and effect.

2021 Cross Reference: Section 7-105.

Definitional Cross References:

"Bill of lading". Section 1-201.

"Consignor". Section 7-102.

26 "Goods". Section 7-102.

27 "Issuer". Section 7-102.

"Receipt of goods". Section 2-103.

SECTION 7-306. ALTERED BILLS OF LADING. An unauthorized alteration or filling

in of a blank in a bill of lading leaves the bill enforceable according to its original tenor.

Preliminary Comments

Prior Uniform Statutory Provision: Former Section 7-306.

Changes: None

Purposes:

An unauthorized alteration or filling in of a blank, whether made with or without fraudulent intent, does not relieve the issuer of his liability on the document as originally executed. This

section applies to both tangible and electronic bills of lading, applying the same rule to both types of bills of lading. The control concept of Section 7-106 requires that any changes to the electronic document of title be readily identifiable as authorized or unauthorized. Section 7-306 should be compared to Section 7-208 where a different rule applies to the unauthorized filling in of a blank for tangible warehouse receipts.

Cross Reference: Sections 7-106 and 7-208.

Definitional Cross References:

"Bill of lading". Section 1-201.

"Issuer". Section 7-102.

SECTION 7-307. LIEN OF CARRIER.

- (a) A carrier has a lien on the goods covered by a bill of lading or on the proceeds thereof in its possession for charges after the date of the carrier's receipt of the goods for storage or transportation, including demurrage and terminal charges, and for expenses necessary for preservation of the goods incident to their transportation or reasonably incurred in their sale pursuant to law. However, against a purchaser for value of a negotiable bill of lading, a carrier's lien is limited to charges stated in the bill or the applicable tariffs or, if no charges are stated, a reasonable charge.
- (b) A lien for charges and expenses under subsection (a) on goods that the carrier was required by law to receive for transportation is effective against the consignor or any person entitled to the goods unless the carrier had notice that the consignor lacked authority to subject the goods to those charges and expenses. Any other lien under subsection (a) is effective against the consignor and any person that permitted the bailor to have control or possession of the goods unless the carrier had notice that the bailor lacked authority.
- (c) A carrier loses its lien on any goods that it voluntarily delivers or unjustifiably refuses to deliver.

Preliminary Comments

1 2 3

Prior Uniform Statutory Provision: Former Section 7-307.

4 5

Changes: Expanded to cover proceeds of the goods transported.

6 7

Purposes:

8

10

11 12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

2526

27

28

29 30

31

32

33

- 1. The section is intended to give carriers a specific statutory lien for charges and expenses similar to that given to warehouses by the first sentence of Section 7-209(a) and extends that lien to the proceeds of the goods as long as the carrier has possession of the proceeds. But because carriers do not commonly claim a lien for charges in relation to other goods or lend money on the security of goods in their hands, provisions for a general lien or a security interest similar to those in Section 7-209(a) and (b) are omitted. Carriers may utilize Article 9 to obtain a security interest and become a secured party or a carrier may agree to limit its lien rights in a transportation agreement with the shipper. As the lien given by this section is specific, and the storage or transportation often preserves or increases the value of the goods, subsection (b) validates the lien against anyone who permitted the bailor to have possession of the goods. Where the carrier is required to receive the goods for transportation, the owner's interest may be subjected to charges and expenses arising out of deposit of his goods by a thief. The crucial mental element is the carrier's knowledge or reason to know of the bailor's lack of authority. If the carrier does not know or have reason to know of the bailor's lack of authority, the carrier has a lien under this section against any person so long as the conditions of subsection (b) are satisfied. In light of the crucial mental element, Sections 7-307 and 9-333 combine to give priority to a carrier's lien over security interests in the goods. In this regard, the judicial decision in In re Sharon Steel Corp., 25 U.C.C. Rep.2d 503, 176 B.R. 384 (W.D. Pa. 1995) is correct and is the controlling precedent.
- 2. The reference to charges in this section means charges relating to the bailment relationship for transportation. Charges does not mean that the bill of lading must state a specific rate or a specific amount. However, failure to state a specific rate or a specific amount has legal consequences under the second sentence of subsection (a).
- 3. The carrier's specific lien under this section is a possessory lien. See subsection (c). Part 3 of Article 7 does not require any particular form for a bill of lading. The carrier's lien arises when the carrier has issued a bill of lading.

34 35 36

37

Cross References:

- Point 1: Sections 7-209, 9-109 and 9-333.
- 38 Point 3. Section 7-202 and 7-209.

39 40

Definitional Cross References:

- 41 "Bill of lading". Section 1-201.
- 42 "Carrier". Section 7-102.
- 43 "Consignor". Section 7-102.
- 44 "Delivery". Section 1-201.

"Goods". Section 7-102.
"Person". Section 1-201.
"Purchaser". Section 1-201.
"Value". Section 1-204.

1 2

SECTION 7-308. ENFORCEMENT OF CARRIER'S LIEN.

- (a) A carrier's lien on goods may be enforced by public or private sale of the goods, in bulk or in packages, at any time or place and on any terms that are commercially reasonable, after notifying all persons known to claim an interest in the goods. The notification must include a statement of the amount due, the nature of the proposed sale, and the time and place of any public sale. The fact that a better price could have been obtained by a sale at a different time or in a different method from that selected by the carrier is not of itself sufficient to establish that the sale was not made in a commercially reasonable manner. The carrier has sold goods in a commercially reasonable manner if the carrier sells the goods in the usual manner in any recognized market therefor, sells at the price current in that market at the time of the sale, or has otherwise sold in conformity with commercially reasonable practices among dealers in the type of goods sold. A sale of more goods than apparently necessary to be offered to ensure satisfaction of the obligation is not commercially reasonable, except in cases covered by the preceding sentence.
- (b) Before any sale pursuant to this section, any person claiming a right in the goods may pay the amount necessary to satisfy the lien and the reasonable expenses incurred in complying with this section. In that event, the goods may not be sold but must be retained by the carrier, subject to the terms of the bill of lading and this article.
 - (c) A carrier may buy at any public sale pursuant to this section.

1	(d) A purchaser in good faith of goods sold to enforce a carrier's lien takes the goods free
2	of any rights of persons against which the lien was valid, despite the carrier's noncompliance
3	with this section.
4	(e) A carrier may satisfy its lien from the proceeds of any sale pursuant to this section but
5	shall hold the balance, if any, for delivery on demand to any person to which the carrier would
6	have been bound to deliver the goods.
7	(f) The rights provided by this section are in addition to all other rights allowed by law to
8	a creditor against a debtor.
9	(g) A carrier's lien may be enforced pursuant to either subsection (a) or the procedure set
10	forth in Section 7-210(b).
11	(h) A carrier is liable for damages caused by failure to comply with the requirements for
12	sale under this section and, in case of willful violation, is liable for conversion.
13	Preliminary Comments
14 15 16	Prior Uniform Statutory Provision: Former Section 7-308.
17 18	Changes: To conform language to modern usage and for style.
19 20	Purposes:
21 22 23	This section is intended to give the carrier an enforcement procedure of its lien coextensive with that given the warehouse in cases other than those covering noncommercial storage by the warehouse. See Section 7-210 and comments.
24 25 26	Cross Reference: Section 7-210.
27 28 29 30	Definitional Cross References: "Bill of lading". Section 1-201. "Carrier". Section 7-102. "Creditor". Section 1-201.
31 32	"Delivery". Section 1-201. "Good faith". Section 1-201. [7-102]

2 3	"Notification". Section 1-202. "Notifies". Section 1-202.
4	"Person". Section 1-201.
5	"Purchaser". Section 1-201.
6 7	"Rights". Section 1-201. "Term". Section 1-201.
8	Term . Section 1-201.
9	CECTION 7 200 DUTY OF CARE, CONTRACTION OF
10	SECTION 7-309. DUTY OF CARE; CONTRACTUAL LIMITATION OF
11	CARRIER'S LIABILITY.
12	(a) A carrier that issues a bill of lading, whether negotiable or nonnegotiable, shall
13	exercise the degree of care in relation to the goods which a reasonably careful person would
14	exercise under similar circumstances. This subsection does not affect any statute, regulation, or
15	rule of law that imposes liability upon a common carrier for damages not caused by its
16	negligence.
17	(b) Damages may be limited by a term in the bill of lading or in a transportation
18	agreement that the carrier's liability may not exceed a value stated in the bill or transportation
19	agreement if the carrier's rates are dependent upon value and the consignor is afforded an
20	opportunity to declare a higher value and the consignor is advised of the opportunity. However
21	such a limitation is not effective with respect to the carrier's liability for conversion to its own
22	use.
23	(c) Reasonable provisions as to the time and manner of presenting claims and
24	commencing actions based on the shipment may be included in a bill of lading or a
25	transportation agreement.
26	Preliminary Comments
27	Prior Uniform Statutory Provision: Former Section 7-309.

Changes: References to tariffs eliminated because of deregulation, adding reference to transportation agreements, and for style.

Purposes:

1. A bill of lading may also serve as the contract between the carrier and the bailor. Parties in their contract should be able to limit the amount of damages for breach of that contract including breach of the duty to take reasonable care of the goods. The parties cannot disclaim by contract the carrier's obligation of care. Section 1-302.

Federal statutes and treaties for air, maritime and rail transport may alter the standard of care. These federal statutes and treaties preempt this section when applicable. Section 7-103. Subsection (a) does not impair any rule of law imposing the liability of an insurer on a common carrier in intrastate commerce. Subsection (b), however, applies to the common carrier's liability as an insurer as well as to liability based on negligence. Subsection (b) allows the term limiting damages to appear either in the bill of lading or in the parties' transportation agreement. Compare 7-204(b). Subsection (c) allows the parties to agree to provisions regarding time and manner of presenting claims or commencing actions if the provisions are either in the bill of lading or the transportation agreement. Compare 7-204(c). Transportation agreements are commonly used to establish agreed terms between carriers and shippers that have an on-going relationship.

- 2. References to public tariffs in former Section 7-309(2) and (3) have been deleted in light of the modern era of deregulation. See Comment 2 to Section 7-103. If a tariff is required under state or federal law, pursuant to Section 7-103(a), the tariff would control over the rule of this section. As governed by contract law, parties may incorporate by reference the limits on the amount of damages or the reasonable provisions as to the time and manner of presenting claims set forth in applicable tariffs, e.g. a maximum unit value beyond which goods are not taken or a disclaimer of responsibility for undeclared articles of extraordinary value.
- 3. As under former Section 7-309(2), subsection (b) provides that a limitation of damages is ineffective if the carrier has converted the goods to its own use. A mere failure to redeliver the goods is not conversion to the carrier's own use. Conversion to its own use has a specialized meaning in the case law that is narrower than the idea of conversion generally.
- 4. As used in this section, damages may include damages arising from delay in delivery. Delivery dates and times are often specified in the parties' contract. See Section 7-403.

Cross Reference: Sections 1-302, 7-103, 7-204, 7-403.

Definitional Cross References:

- "Action". Section 1-201.
- 40 "Bill of lading". Section 1-201.
- 41 "Carrier". Section 7-102.
- 42 "Consignor". Section 7-102.
- "Document of Title". Section 1-102.
 - "Goods". Section 7-102.

"Value". Section 1-204.

1	PART 4
2	WAREHOUSE RECEIPTS AND BILLS OF LADING: GENERAL OBLIGATIONS
3	
4	SECTION 7-401. IRREGULARITIES IN ISSUE OF RECEIPT OR BILL OR
5	CONDUCT OF ISSUER. The obligations imposed by this article on an issuer apply to a
6	document of title even if:
7	(1) the document does not comply with the requirements of this article or of any other
8	statute, rule, or regulation regarding its issue, form, or content;
9	(2) the issuer violated laws regulating the conduct of its business;
10	(3) the goods covered by the document were owned by the bailee when the document was
11	issued; or
12	(4) the person issuing the document is not a warehouse but the document purports to be a
13	warehouse receipt.
14	Preliminary Comments
15 16 17	Prior Uniform Statutory Provision: Former Section 7-401.
17 18 19	Changes: Changes for style only.
20 21	Purposes:
22 23 24 25 26	The bailee's liability on its document despite non-receipt or misdescription of the goods is affirmed in Sections 7-203 and 7-301. The purpose of this section is to make it clear that regardless of irregularities a document which falls within the definition of document of title imposes on the issuer the obligations stated in this Article. For example, a bailee will not be permitted to avoid its obligation to deliver the goods (Section 7-403) or its obligation of due care
27 28 29 30 31	with respect to them (Sections 7-204 and 7-309) by taking the position that no valid "document" was issued because it failed to file a statutory bond or did not pay stamp taxes or did not disclose the place of storage in the document. Sanctions against violations of statutory or administrative duties with respect to documents should be limited to revocation of license or other measures prescribed by the regulation imposing the duty. See Section 7-103.

1	
1 2	Cross References: Sections 7-103, 7-203, 7-204, 7-301, 7-309.
3	Cross references. Sections 7-103, 7-203, 7-204, 7-301, 7-309.
4	Definitional Cross References:
5	"Bailee". Section 7-102.
6	"Document of title". Section 1-201.
7	"Goods". Section 7-102.
8	"Issuer". Section 7-102.
9	"Person". Section 1-201.
10	"Warehouse receipt". Section 1-201.
11	"Warehouse". Section 7-102.
12	
13	
14	SECTION 7-402. DUPLICATE DOCUMENT OF TITLE; OVERISSUE. A duplicate
15	or any other document of title purporting to cover goods already represented by an outstanding
16	document of the same issuer does not confer any right in the goods, except as provided in the
17	case of tangible bills of lading in a set of parts, overissue of documents for fungible goods,
18	substitutes for lost, stolen, or destroyed documents, or substitute documents issued pursuant to
19	Section 7-105. The issuer is liable for damages caused by its overissue or failure to identify a
20	duplicate document by a conspicuous notation.
21	Preliminary Comments
22	
23	Prior Uniform Statutory Provision: Former Section 7-402.
24	
25	Changes: Changes to accommodate electronic documents.
26	D
27 28	Purposes:
28 29	1. This section treats a duplicate which is not properly identified as a duplicate like any other
30	overissue of documents: a purchaser of such a document acquires no title but only a cause of
31	action for damages against the person that made the deception possible, except in the cases noted
32	in the section. But parts of a tangible bill lawfully issued in a set of parts are not "overissue"
33	(Section 7-304). Of course, if the issuer has clearly indicated that a document is a duplicate so
34	that no one can be deceived by it, and in fact the duplicate is a correct copy of the original, the
35	issuer is not liable for preparing and delivering such a duplicate copy.
36	Section 7-105 allows documents of title to be reissued in another medium. Re-issuance of a

document in an alternative medium under Section 7-105 requires that the original document be

surrendered to the issuer in order to make the substitute document the effective document. If the substitute document is not issued in compliance with section 7-105, then the document should be treated as a duplicate under this section.

- 2. The section applies to nonnegotiable documents to the extent of providing an action for damages for one who acquires an unmarked duplicate from a transferor who knew the facts and would therefore have had no cause of action against the issuer of the duplicate. Ordinarily the transferee of a nonnegotiable document acquires only the rights of its transferor.
- 3. Overissue is defined so as to exclude the common situation where two valid documents of different issuers are outstanding for the same goods at the same time. Thus freight forwarders commonly issue bills of lading to their customers for small shipments to be combined into carload shipments for which the railroad will issue a bill of lading to the forwarder. So also a warehouse receipt may be outstanding against goods, and the holder of the receipt may issue delivery orders against the same goods. In these cases dealings with the subsequently issued documents may be effective to transfer title; e.g. negotiation of a delivery order will effectively transfer title in the ordinary case where no dishonesty has occurred and the goods are available to satisfy the orders. Section 7-503 provides for cases of conflict between documents of different issuers.

19 Cross References:

Point 1: Sections 7-105, 7-207, 7-304, and 7-601.

Point 3: Section 7-503.

Definitional Cross References:

- "Bill of lading". Section 1-201.
- 25 "Conspicuous". Section 1-201.
- 26 "Document of title". Section 1-201.
- 27 "Fungible goods." Section 1-201.
- 28 "Goods". Section 7-102.
- 29 "Issuer". Section 7-102.
- 30 "Right". Section 1-201.

SECTION 7-403. OBLIGATION OF WAREHOUSE OR CARRIER TO DELIVER;

EXCUSE.

- (a) A bailee shall deliver the goods to a person entitled under a document of title if the person complies with subsections (b) and (c), unless and to the extent that the bailee establishes any of the following:
 - (1) delivery of the goods to a person whose receipt was rightful as against the

1	ciaimant;
2	(2) damage to or delay, loss, or destruction of the goods for which the bailee is not
3	liable;
4	(3) previous sale or other disposition of the goods in lawful enforcement of a lien or
5	on a warehouse's lawful termination of storage;
6	(4) the exercise by a seller of its right to stop delivery pursuant to Section 2-705 or by
7	a lessor of its right to stop delivery pursuant to Section 2A-526;
8	(5) a diversion, reconsignment, or other disposition pursuant to Section 7-303;
9	(6) release, satisfaction, or any other fact affording a personal defense against the
10	claimant; or
11	(7) any other lawful excuse.
12	(b) A person claiming goods covered by a document of title shall satisfy the bailee's lien
13	if the bailee so requests or the bailee is prohibited by law from delivering the goods until the
14	charges are paid.
15	(c) Unless a person claiming the goods is one against which the document of title does
16	not confer a right under Section 7-503(a):
17	(1) the person claiming under a document shall surrender possession or control of any
18	outstanding negotiable document covering the goods for cancellation or indication of partial
19	deliveries; and
20	(2) the bailee shall cancel the document or conspicuously indicate in the document
21	the partial delivery or be liable to any person to which the document is duly negotiated.
22	Preliminary Comments

Changes: Definition in former Section 7-403(4) moved to Section 7-102; bracketed language in former Section 7-403(1)(b) deleted; added cross reference to Section 2A-526; changes for style.

Purposes:

- 1. The present section, following former Section 7-403, is constructed on the basis of stating what previous deliveries or other circumstances operate to excuse the bailee's normal obligation on the document. Accordingly, "justified" deliveries under the pre-Code uniform acts now find their place as "excuse" under subsection (a).
- 2. The principal case covered by subsection (a)(1) is delivery to a person whose title is paramount to the rights represented by the document. For example, if a thief deposits stolen goods in a warehouse facility and takes a negotiable receipt, the warehouse is not liable on the receipt if it has surrendered the goods to the true owner, even though the receipt is held by a good faith purchaser. See Section 7-503(a). However, if the owner entrusted the goods to a person with power of disposition, and that person deposited the goods and took a negotiable document, the owner receiving delivery would not be rightful as against a holder to whom the negotiable document was duly negotiated, and delivery to the owner would not give the bailee a defense against such a holder. See Sections 7-502(a)(2), 7-503(a)(1).
- 3. Subsection (a)(2) amounts to a cross reference to all the tort law that determines the varying responsibilities and standards of care applicable to commercial bailees. A restatement of this tort law would be beyond the scope of this Act. Much of the applicable law as to responsibility of bailees for the preservation of the goods and limitation of liability in case of loss has been codified for particular classes of bailees in interstate and foreign commerce by federal legislation and treaty and for intrastate carriers and other bailees by the regulatory state laws preserved by Section 7-103. In the absence of governing legislation the common law will prevail subject to the minimum standard of reasonable care prescribed by Sections 7-204 and 7-309 of this Article.

The bracketed language found in former Section 7-403(1)(b) has been deleted thereby leaving the allocations of the burden of going forward with the evidence and the burden of proof to the procedural law of the various states.

Subsection (a)(4) contains a cross reference to both the seller's and the lessor's rights to stop delivery under Article 2 and Article 2A respectively.

4. As under former Section 7-403, there is no requirement that a request for delivery must be accompanied by a formal tender of the amount of the charges due. Rather, the bailee must request payment of the amount of its lien when asked to deliver, and only in case this request is refused is it justified in declining to deliver because of nonpayment of charges. Where delivery without payment is forbidden by law, the request is treated as implicit. Such a prohibition reflects a policy of uniformity to prevent discrimination by failure to request payment in particular cases. Subsection (b) must be read in conjunction with the priorities given to the warehouse lien and the carrier lien under Section 7-209 and 7-307, respectively. If the parties are in dispute about whether the request for payment of the lien is legally proper, the bailee may have recourse to interpleader. See Section 7-603.

1	5. Subsection (c) states the obvious duty of a bailee to take up a negotiable document or note
2	partial deliveries conspicuously thereon, and the result of failure in that duty. It is subject to
3	only one exception, that stated in subsection (a)(1) of this section and in Section 7-503(a).
4	Subsection (c) is limited to cases of delivery to a claimant; it has no application, for example,
5	where goods held under a negotiable document are lawfully sold to enforce the bailee's lien.
6	6. When courts are considering subsection (a)(7), "any other lawful excuse," among others,
7	refers to compliance with court orders under Sections 7-601, 7-602 and 7-603.
8	
9	Cross References:
10	Point 2: Sections 7-502 and 7-503.
11	Point 3: Sections 2-705, 2A-526, 7-103, 7-204, and 7-309 and 10-103.
12	Point 4: Sections 7-209, 7-307 and 7-603.
13	Point 5: Section 7-503(1).
14	Point 6: Sections 7-601, 7-602, and 7-603.
15	
16	Definitional Cross References:
17	"Bailee". Section 7-102.
18	"Conspicuous". Section 1-201.
19	"Delivery". Section 1-201.
20	"Document of title". Section 1-201.
21	"Duly negotiate". Section 7-501.
22	"Goods". Section 7-102.
23	"Lessor". Section 2A-103.
24	"Person". Section 1-201.
25	"Receipt of goods". Section 2-103.
26	"Right". Section 1-201.
27	"Terms". Section 1-201.
28	"Warehouse". Section 7-102.
29	
30	SECTION 7-404. NO LIABILITY FOR GOOD FAITH DELIVERY PURSUANT TO
31	SECTION 7-404. NO LIABILITY FOR GOOD FAITH DELIVERT TORSUANT TO
32	DOCUMENT OF TITLE. A bailee that in good faith has received goods and delivered or
33	otherwise disposed of the goods according to the terms of a document of title or pursuant to this
34	article is not liable for the goods even if:
35	(1) the person from which the bailee received the goods did not have authority to procure
36	the document or to dispose of the goods; or

(2) the person to which the bailee delivered the goods did not have authority to receive

1 the goods.
2
3
4
5 Prior Uniform
6
7 Changes: Cha
8
9 Purposes:
10 This section

Preliminary Comments

Prior Uniform Statutory Provision: Former Section 7-404.

Changes: Changes reflect the definition of good faith in Section 1-201 [7-102] and for style.

This section uses the test of good faith, as defined in Section 1-201 [7-102], to continue the policy of former Section 7-404. Good faith now means "honesty in fact and the observance of reasonable commercial standards of fair dealing." The section states explicitly that the common law rule of "innocent conversion" by unauthorized "intermeddling" with another's property is inapplicable to the operations of commercial carriers and warehousemen that in good faith perform obligations that they have assumed and that generally they are under a legal compulsion to assume. The section applies to delivery to a fraudulent holder of a valid document as well as to delivery to the holder of an invalid document. Of course, in appropriate circumstances, a bailee may use interpleader or other dispute resolution process. See Section 7-603.

18 19 20

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

Cross Reference: Section 7-603.

21 22

Definitional Cross References:

- 23 "Bailee". Section 7-102.
- "Delivery". Section 1-201.
- "Document of title". Section 1-201.
- 26 "Good faith". Section 1-201 [7-102].
- 27 "Goods". Section 7-102.
- 28 "Person". Section 1-201.
- 29 "Receipt of goods". Section 2-103.
- 30 "Term". Section 1-201.

1 2	PART 5
3	WAREHOUSE RECEIPTS AND BILLS OF LADING: NEGOTIATION AND
4	TRANSFER
5	
6	SECTION 7-501. FORM OF NEGOTIATION AND REQUIREMENTS OF DUE
7	NEGOTIATION.
8	(a) The following rules apply to a negotiable tangible document of title:
9	(1) If the document's original terms run to the order of a named person, the documen
10	is negotiated by the named person's indorsement and delivery. After the named person's
11	indorsement in blank or to bearer, any person may negotiate the document by delivery alone.
12	(2) If the document's original terms run to bearer, it is negotiated by delivery alone.
13	(3) If the document's original terms run to the order of a named person and it is
14	delivered to the named person, the effect is the same as if the document had been negotiated.
15	(4) Negotiation of the document after it has been indorsed to a named person require
16	indorsement by the named person as well as delivery.
17	(5) A document is duly negotiated if it is negotiated in the manner stated in this
18	subsection to a holder that purchases it in good faith, without notice of any defense against or
19	claim to it on the part of any person, and for value, unless it is established that the negotiation is
20	not in the regular course of business or financing or involves receiving the document in
21	settlement or payment of a monetary obligation.
22	(b) The following rules apply to a negotiable electronic document of title:
23	(1) If the document's original terms run to the order of a named person or to bearer,

the document is negotiated by delivery of the document to another person. Indorsement by the 1 named person is not required to negotiate the document. 2 (2) If the document's original terms run to the order of a named person and the named 3 person has control of the document, the effect is the same as if the document had been 4 negotiated. 5 (3) A document is duly negotiated if it is negotiated in the manner stated in this 6 subsection to a holder that purchases it in good faith, without notice of any defense against or 7 claim to it on the part of any person, and for value, unless it is established that the negotiation is 8 not in the regular course of business or financing or involves taking delivery of the document in 9 settlement or payment of a monetary obligation. 10 (c) Indorsement of a nonnegotiable document of title neither makes it negotiable nor adds 11 to the transferee's rights. 12 (d) The naming in a negotiable bill of lading of a person to be notified of the arrival of 13 the goods does not limit the negotiability of the bill or constitute notice to a purchaser of the bill 14 of any interest of that person in the goods. 15 **Preliminary Comments** 16 17 Prior Uniform Statutory Provision: Former Section 7-501. 18 19 **Changes:** To accommodate negotiable electronic documents of title. 20 21 22 Purpose: 23 1. Subsection (a) has been limited to tangible negotiable documents of title but otherwise 24 remains unchanged in substance from the rules in former Section 7-501. Subsection (b) is new 25 and applies to negotiable electronic documents of title. Delivery of a negotiable electronic 26

document is through voluntary transfer of control. Section 1-201 definition of "delivery." The

possession and indorsement as applied to negotiable tangible documents of title. Section 7-106.

control concept as applied to negotiable electronic documents of title is the substitute for both

27

28

As under former Section 7-501, in order to effect a "due negotiation" the negotiation must be in the "regular course of business or financing" in order to transfer greater rights than those held by the person negotiating. The foundation of the mercantile doctrine of good faith purchase for value has always been, as shown by the case situations, the furtherance and protection of the regular course of trade. The reason for allowing a person, in bad faith or in error, to convey away rights which are not its own has from the beginning been to make possible the speedy handling of that great run of commercial transactions which are patently usual and normal.

There are two aspects to the usual and normal course of mercantile dealings, namely, the person making the transfer and the nature of the transaction itself. The first question which arises is: Is the transferor a person with whom it is reasonable to deal as having full powers? In regard to documents of title the only holder whose possession or control appears, commercially, to be in order is almost invariably a person in the trade. No commercial purpose is served by allowing a tramp or a professor to "duly negotiate" an order bill of lading for hides or cotton not their own, and since such a transfer is obviously not in the regular course of business, it is excluded from the scope of the protection of subsections (a)(5) or (b)(3).

The second question posed by the "regular course" qualification is: Is the transaction one which is normally proper to pass full rights without inquiry, even though the transferor itself may not have such rights to pass, and even though the transferor may be acting in breach of duty? In raising this question the "regular course" criterion has the further advantage of limiting, the effective wrongful disposition to transactions whose protection will really further trade. Obviously, the snapping up of goods for quick resale at a price suspiciously below the market deserves no protection as a matter of policy: it is also clearly outside the range of regular course.

Any notice on the document sufficient to put a merchant on inquiry as to the "regular course" quality of the transaction will frustrate a "due negotiation". Thus irregularity of the document or unexplained staleness of a bill of lading may appropriately be recognized as negating a negotiation in "regular" course.

A pre-existing claim constitutes value, and "due negotiation" does not require "new value." A usual and ordinary transaction in which documents are received as security for credit previously extended may be in "regular" course, even though there is a demand for additional collateral because the creditor "deems himself insecure." But the matter has moved out of the regular course of financing if the debtor is thought to be insolvent, the credit previously extended is in effect cancelled, and the creditor snatches a plank in the shipwreck under the guise of a demand for additional collateral. Where a money debt is "paid" in commodity paper, any question of "regular" course disappears, as the case is explicitly excepted from "due negotiation".

- 2. Negotiation under this section may be made by any holder no matter how the holder acquired possession or control of the document.
- 3. Subsections (a)(3) and (b)(2) make explicit a matter upon which the intent of the pre-Code law was clear but the language somewhat obscure: a negotiation results from a delivery to a banker or buyer to whose order the document has been taken by the person making the bailment. There is no presumption of irregularity in such a negotiation; it may very well be in "regular course."
- 4. This Article does not contain any provision creating a presumption of due negotiation to, and full rights in, a holder of a document of title akin to that created by Uniform Commercial Code Article 3. But the reason of the provisions of this Act (Section 1-307) on the prima facie

authenticity and accuracy of third party documents, joins with the reason of the present section to 1 2 work such a presumption in favor of any person who has power to make a due negotiation. It would not make sense for this Act to authorize a purchaser to indulge the presumption of 3 4 regularity if the courts were not also called upon to do so. 5 5. Subsections (c) and (d) are unchanged from prior law and apply to both tangible and 6 electronic documents of title. 7 8 Cross References: Sections 1-307, 7-502 and 7-503. 9 10 **Definitional Cross References:** 11 "Bearer". Section 1-201. "Control". Section 7-106. 12 "Delivery". Section 1-201. 13 "Document of title". Section 1-201. 14 15 "Good faith". Section 1-201 [7-102]. 16 "Holder". Section 1-201. 17 "Notice". Section 1-202. 18 "Person". Section 1-201. 19 "Purchase". Section 1-201. "Rights". Section 1-201. 20 21 "Term". Section 1-201. 22 "Value". Section 1-204. 23 24 SECTION 7-502. RIGHTS ACQUIRED BY DUE NEGOTIATION. 25 26 (a) Subject to Sections 7-205 and 7-503, a holder to which a negotiable document of title has been duly negotiated acquires thereby: 27 28 (1) title to the document; 29 (2) title to the goods; (3) all rights accruing under the law of agency or estoppel, including rights to goods 30 31 delivered to the bailee after the document was issued; and 32 (4) the direct obligation of the issuer to hold or deliver the goods according to the 33 terms of the document free of any defense or claim by the issuer except those arising under the 34 terms of the document or under this article. In the case of a delivery order, the bailee's obligation

accrues only upon the bailee's acceptance of the delivery order and the obligation acquired by 1 the holder is that the issuer and any indorser will procure the acceptance of the bailee. 2 (b) Subject to Section 7-503, title and rights acquired by due negotiation are not defeated 3 by any stoppage of the goods represented by the document of title or by surrender of the goods 4 5 by the bailee and are not impaired even if: (1) the due negotiation or any prior due negotiation constituted a breach of duty; 6 (2) any person has been deprived of possession of a negotiable tangible document or 7 control of a negotiable electronic document by misrepresentation, fraud, accident, mistake, 8 9 duress, loss, theft, or conversion; or (3) a previous sale or other transfer of the goods or document has been made to a 10 11 third person. **Proposed Comment** 12 13 Prior Uniform Statutory Provision: Former Section 7-502. 14 15 Changes: To accommodate electronic documents of title and for style. 16 17 18 Purpose: 19 1. This section applies to both tangible and electronic documents of title. Due negotiation is 20 defined in Section 7-501. The several necessary qualifications of the broad principle that the 21 holder of a document acquired in a due negotiation is the owner of the document and the goods 22 23 have been brought together in the next section. 2. Subsection (a)(3) covers the case of "feeding" of a duly negotiated document by 24 subsequent delivery to the bailee of such goods as the document falsely purported to cover; the 25 bailee in such case is estopped as against the holder of the document. 26 3. The explicit statement in subsection (a)(4) of the bailee's direct obligation to the holder 27 precludes the defense that the document in question was "spent" after the carrier had delivered 28 the goods to a previous holder. But the holder is subject to such defenses as non-negligent 29 destruction even though not apparent on the document. The sentence on delivery orders applies 30 only to delivery orders in negotiable form which have been duly negotiated. On delivery orders, 31

4. Subsection (b) continues the law which gave full effect to the issuance or due negotiation

see also Section 7-503(b) and Comment.

32

1	of a negotiable document. The subsection adds nothing to the effect of the rules stated in
2	subsection (a), but it has been included since such explicit reference was provided under former
3	Section 7-502 to preserve the right of a purchaser by due negotiation. The listing is not
4	exhaustive. The language"any stoppage" is included lest an inference be drawn that a stoppage
5	of the goods before or after transit might cut off or otherwise impair the purchaser's rights.
6	
7	Cross References: Sections 7-103, 7-205, 7-403, 7-501, and 7-503.
8	D. C. W. L. C D. C
9	Definitional Cross References:
10	"Bailee". Section 7-102.
11	"Control". Section 7-106.
12	"Delivery". Section 1-201.
13	"Delivery order". Section 7-102.
14	"Document of title". Section 1-201.
15	"Duly negotiate". Section 7-501.
16	"Fungible". Section 1-201.
17	"Goods". Section 7-102.
18	"Holder". Section 1-201.
19	"Issuer". Section 7-102.
20	"Person". Section 1-201.
21	"Rights". Section 1-201.
22	"Term". Section 1-201.
23	"Warehouse receipt". Section 1-201.
24	Waterloade receipt 1 decision 1 2011
25	
26	SECTION 7-503. DOCUMENT OF TITLE TO GOODS DEFEATED IN CERTAIN
20	belief to be a first to be a f
27	CASES.
28	(a) A document of title confers no right in goods against a person that before issuance of
20	the decrease had a local interest on a manfacted accounts interest in the goods and that did not
29	the document had a legal interest or a perfected security interest in the goods and that did not:
30	(1) deliver or entrust the goods or any document covering the goods to the bailor or
30	(1) deliver of chirast the goods of any document covering the goods to the canon of
31	the bailor's nominee with actual or apparent authority to ship, store, or sell; with power to obtain
32	delivery under Section 7-403; or with power of disposition under Section 2-403, 2A-304(2), 2A-
22	305(2), or 9-320 or other statute or rule of law; or
33	303(2), or 3-320 or order statute or rule or law, or
34	(2) acquiesce in the procurement by the bailor or its nominee of any document.
35	(b) Title to goods based upon an unaccepted delivery order is subject to the rights of any

- person to which a negotiable warehouse receipt or bill of lading covering the goods has been duly negotiated. That title may be defeated under Section 7-504 to the same extent as the rights of the issuer or a transferee from the issuer.
 - (c) Title to goods based upon a bill of lading issued to a freight forwarder is subject to the rights of any person to which a bill issued by the freight forwarder is duly negotiated. However, delivery by the carrier in accordance with Part 4 pursuant to its own bill of lading discharges the carrier's obligation to deliver.

Preliminary Comments

Prior Uniform Statutory Provision: Former Section 7-503.

Changes: Changes to cross-reference to Article 2A and for style.

Purposes:

1. In general it may be said that the title of a purchaser by due negotiation prevails over almost any interest in the goods which existed prior to the procurement of the document of title if the possession of the goods by the person obtaining the document derived from any action by the prior claimant which introduced the goods into the stream of commerce or carried them along that stream. A thief of the goods cannot indeed by shipping or storing them to the thief's own order acquire power to transfer them to a good faith purchaser. Nor can a tenant or mortgagor defeat any rights of a landlord or mortgagee which have been perfected under the local law merely by wrongfully shipping or storing a portion of the crop or other goods. However, "acquiescence" by the landlord or mortgagee does not require active consent under subsection (a)(2) and knowledge of the likelihood of storage or shipment with no objection or effort to control it is sufficient to defeat the landlord's or the mortgagee's rights as against one who takes by due negotiation of a negotiable document.

On the other hand, where goods are delivered to a factor for sale, even though the factor has made no advances and is limited in its duty to sell for cash, the goods are "entrusted" to the factor "with actual . . . authority . . . to sell" under subsection (a)(1), and if the factor procures a negotiable document of title it can transfer the owner's interest to a purchaser by due negotiation. Further, where the factor is in the business of selling, goods entrusted to it simply for safekeeping or storage may be entrusted under circumstances which give the factor "apparent authority to ship, store or sell" under subsection (a)(1), or power of disposition under Section 2-403, 2A-304(2), 2A-305(2), 7-205, or 9-320, or under a statute such as the earlier Factors Acts, or under a rule of law giving effect to apparent ownership. See Section 1-103.

Persons having an interest in goods also frequently deliver or entrust them to agents or

servants other than factors for the purpose of shipping or warehousing or under circumstances reasonably contemplating such action. This Act is clear that such persons assume full risk that the agent to whom the goods are so delivered may ship or store in breach of duty, take a document to the agent's own order and then proceed to misappropriate the negotiable document of title that embodies the goods. This Act makes no distinction between possession or mere custody in such situations and finds no exception in the case of larceny by a bailee or the like. The safeguard in such situations lies in the requirement that a due negotiation can occur only "in the regular course of business or financing" and that the purchase be in good faith and without notice. See Section 7-501. Documents of title have no market among the commercially inexperienced and the commercially experienced do not take them without inquiry from persons known to be truck drivers or petty clerks even though such persons purport to be operating in their own names.

Again, where the seller allows a buyer to receive goods under a contract for sale, though as a "conditional delivery" or under "cash sale" terms and on explicit agreement for immediate payment, the buyer thereby acquires power to defeat the seller's interest by transfer of the goods to certain good faith purchasers. See Section 2-403. Both in policy and under the language of subsection (a)(1) that same power must be extended to accomplish the same result if the buyer procures a negotiable document of title to the goods and duly negotiates it.

- 2. Under subsection (a) a delivery order issued by a person having no right in or power over the goods is ineffective unless the owner acts as provided in subsection (a)(1) or (2). Thus the rights of a transferee of a non-negotiable warehouse receipt can be defeated by a delivery order subsequently issued by the transferor only if the transferee "delivers or entrusts" to the "person procuring" the delivery order or "acquiesces" in that person's procurement. Similarly, a second delivery order issued by the same issuer for the same goods will ordinarily be subject to the first, both under this section and under Section 7-402. After a delivery order is validly issued but before it is accepted, it may nevertheless be defeated under subsection (b) in much the same way that the rights of a transferee may be defeated under Section 7-504. For example, a buyer in ordinary course from the issuer may defeat the rights of the holder of a prior delivery order if the bailee receives notification of the buyer's rights before notification of the holder's rights. Section 7-504(b)(2). But an accepted delivery order has the same effect as a document issued by the bailee.
- 3. Under subsection (c) a bill of lading issued to a freight forwarder is subordinated to the freight forwarder's document of title, since the bill on its face gives notice of the fact that a freight forwarder is in the picture and the freight forwarder has in all probability issued a document of title. But the carrier is protected in following the terms of its own bill of lading.

Cross References:

- Point 1: Sections 1-103, 2-403, 2A-304(2), 2A-305(2), 7-205, 7-501, 9-320, and 9-331.
- 39 Point 2: Sections 7-402 and 7-504.
- 40 Point 3: Sections 7-402, 7-403 and 7-404.

Definitional Cross References:

- 43 "Bill of lading". Section 1-201.
 - "Contract for sale". Section 2-106.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	"Delivery order". Section 7-102. "Document of title". Section 1-201. "Duly negotiate". Section 7-501. "Goods". Section 7-102. "Person". Section 1-201. "Right". Section 1-201. "Warehouse receipt". Section 1-201. SECTION 7-504. RIGHTS ACQUIRED IN ABSENCE OF DUE NEGOTIATION;
12	EFFECT OF DIVERSION; STOPPAGE OF DELIVERY.
13	(a) A transferee of a document of title, whether negotiable or nonnegotiable, to which the
14	document has been delivered but not duly negotiated, acquires the title and rights that its
15	transferor had or had actual authority to convey.
16	(b) In the case of a nonnegotiable document of title, until but not after the bailee receives
17	notice of the transfer, the rights of the transferee may be defeated:
18	(1) by those creditors of the transferor that could treat the transfer as void under
19	Section 2-402 or 2A-308;
20	(2) by a buyer from the transferor in ordinary course of business if the bailee has
21	delivered the goods to the buyer or received notification of the buyer's rights;
22	(3) by a lessee from the transferor in ordinary course of business if the bailee has
23	delivered the goods to the lessee or received notification of the lessee's rights; or
24	(4) as against the bailee, by good faith dealings of the bailee with the transferor.
25	(c) A diversion or other change of shipping instructions by the consignor in a
26	nonnegotiable bill of lading which causes the bailee not to deliver the goods to the consignee
27	defeats the consignee's title to the goods if the goods have been delivered to a buyer in ordinary

- course of business or a lessee in ordinary course of business and in any event defeats the consignee's rights against the bailee.
 - (d) Delivery of the goods pursuant to a nonnegotiable document of title may be stopped by a seller under Section 2-705 or a lessor under Section 2A-526, subject to the requirements of due notification in those sections. A bailee honoring the seller's or lessor's instructions is entitled to be indemnified by the seller or lessor against any resulting loss or expense.

Preliminary Comments

Prior Uniform Statutory Provision: Former Section 7-504.

Changes: To include cross-references to Article 2A and for style.

Purposes:

1. Under the general principles controlling negotiable documents, it is clear that in the absence of due negotiation a transferor cannot convey greater rights than the transferor has, even when the negotiation is formally perfect. This section recognizes the transferor's power to transfer rights which the transferor has or has "actual authority to convey." Thus, where a negotiable document of title is being transferred the operation of the principle of estoppel is not recognized, as contrasted with situations involving the transfer of the goods themselves. (Compare Section 2-403 on good faith purchase of goods.) This section applies to both tangible and electronic documents of title.

A necessary part of the price for the protection of regular dealings with negotiable documents of title is an insistence that no dealing which is in any way irregular shall be recognized as a good faith purchase of the document or of any rights pertaining to it. So, where the transfer of a negotiable document fails as a negotiation because a requisite indorsement is forged or otherwise missing, the purchaser in good faith and for value may be in the anomalous position of having less rights, in part, than if the purchaser had purchased the goods themselves. True, the purchaser's rights are not subject to defeat by attachment of the goods or surrender of them to the purchaser's transferor (contrast subsection (b)); but on the other hand, the purchaser cannot acquire enforceable rights to control or receive the goods over the bailee's objection merely by giving notice to the bailee. Similarly, a consignee who makes payment to its consignor against a straight bill of lading can thereby acquire the position of a good faith purchaser of goods under provisions of the Article of this Act on Sales (Section 2-403), whereas the same payment made in good faith against an unendorsed order bill would not have such effect. The appropriate remedy of a purchaser in such a situation is to regularize its status by compelling indorsement of the document (see Section 7-506).

2. As in the case of transfer--as opposed to "due negotiation"--of negotiable documents, subsection (a) empowers the transferor of a nonnegotiable document to transfer only such rights

as the transferor has or has "actual authority" to convey. In contrast to situations involving the goods themselves the operation of estoppel or agency principles is not here recognized to enable the transferor to convey greater rights than the transferor actually has. Subsection (b) makes it clear, however, that the transferee of a nonnegotiable document may acquire rights greater in some respects than those of his transferor by giving notice of the transfer to the bailee. New subsection (b)(3) provides for the rights of a lessee in the ordinary course.

- 3. Subsection (c) is in part a reiteration of the carrier's immunity from liability if it honors instructions of the consignor to divert, but there is added a provision protecting the title of the substituted consignee if the latter is a buyer in ordinary course of business. A typical situation would be where a manufacturer, having shipped a lot of standardized goods to A on nonnegotiable bill of lading, diverts the goods to customer B who pays for them. Under pre-Code passage-of-title-by-appropriation doctrine A might reclaim the goods from B. However, no consideration of commercial policy supports this involvement of an innocent third party in the default of the manufacturer on his contract to A; and the common commercial practice of diverting goods in transit suggests a trade understanding in accordance with this subsection. The same result should obtain if the substituted consignee is a lessee in ordinary course. The extent of the lessee's interest in the goods is less than a buyer's interest in the goods. However, as against the first consignee and the lessee in ordinary course as the substituted consignee, the lessee's rights in the goods as granted under the lease are superior to the first consignee's rights.
- 4. Subsection (d) gives the carrier an express right to indemnity where the carrier honors a seller's request to stop delivery.
- 5. Section 1-202 gives the bailee protection, if due diligence is exercised where the bailee's organization has not had time to act on a notification.

Cross References:

252627

28

1 2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

22 23

24

- Point 1: Sections 2-403 and 7-506.
- Point 2: Sections 2-403 and 2A-304.
- 29 Point 3: Sections 7-303, 7-403(a)(5) and 7-404.
- 30 Point 4: Sections 2-705 and 7-403(a)(4).
- 31 Point 5: Section 1-202.

32 33

Definitional Cross References:

- 34 "Bailee". Section 7-102.
- 35 "Bill of lading". Section 1-201.
- 36 "Buyer in ordinary course of business". Section 1-201.
- 37 "Consignee". Section 7-102.
- 38 "Consignor". Section 7-102.
- 39 "Creditor". Section 1-201.
- 40 "Delivery". Section 1-201.
- "Document of Title". Section 1-201.
- "Duly negotiate". Section 7-501.
- 43 "Good faith". Section 1-201. [7-102].
- 44 "Goods". Section 7-102.

1	"Honor". Section 1-201.
2	"Lessee in ordinary course". Section 2A-103.
3	"Notification" Section 1-202.
4	"Purchaser". Section 1-201.
5	"Rights". Section 1-201.
6	
7	
8	SECTION 7-505. INDORSER NOT GUARANTOR FOR OTHER PARTIES. The
9	indorsement of a tangible document of title issued by a bailee does not make the indorser liable
10	for any default by the bailee or previous indorsers.
11	Preliminary Comments
12	Duis a Haife and Statute on Browning on Former Section 7 505
13 14	Prior Uniform Statutory Provision: Former Section 7-505.
15	Changes: Limited to tangible documents of title.
16	Changes. Enimed to uniquote documents of title.
17	Purposes:
18	T un possess
19	This section is limited to tangible documents of title as the concept of indorsement is
20	irrelevant to electronic documents of title. Electronic documents of title will be transferred by
21	delivery of control. Section 7-106. The indorsement of a tangible document of title is generally
22	understood to be directed towards perfecting the transferee's rights rather than towards assuming
23	additional obligations. The language of the present section, however, does not preclude the one
24	case in which an indorsement given for value guarantees future action, namely, that in which the
25	bailee has not yet become liable upon the document at the time of the indorsement. Under such
26	circumstances the indorser, of course, engages that appropriate honor of the document by the
27	bailee will occur. See Section 7-502(a)(4) as to negotiable delivery orders. However, even in
28	such a case, once the bailee attorns to the transferee, the indorser's obligation has been fulfilled
29	and the policy of this section excludes any continuing obligation on the part of the indorser for
30	the bailee's ultimate actual performance.
31	Cross Reference: Sections 7-106 and 7-502.
32 33	Cross Reference: Sections 7-100 and 7-302.
34	Definitional Cross References:
35	"Bailee". Section 7-102.
36	"Document of title". Section 1-201.
37	"Party". Section 1-201.
38	1 41 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
39	

1	SECTION 7-506. DELIVERY WITHOUT INDORSEMENT: RIGHT TO COMPEL
2	INDORSEMENT. The transferee of a negotiable tangible document of title has a specifically
3	enforceable right to have its transferor supply any necessary indorsement, but the transfer
4	becomes a negotiation only as of the time the indorsement is supplied.
5	Preliminary Comments
6 7 8	Prior Uniform Statutory Provision: Former Section 7-506.
9 10	Changes: Limited to tangible documents of title.
11 12	Purposes:
13 14	1. This section is limited to tangible documents of title as the concept of indorsement is irrelevant to electronic documents of title. Electronic documents of title will be transferred by
15	delivery of control. Section 7-106. From a commercial point of view the intention to transfer a
16	tangible negotiable document of title which requires an indorsement for its transfer, is
17	incompatible with an intention to withhold such indorsement and so defeat the effective use of
18	the document. Further, the preceding section and the Comment thereto make it clear that an
19	indorsement generally imposes no responsibility on the indorser. 2. Although this section provides that delivery of a tangible document of title without the
20 21	necessary indorsement is effective as a transfer, the transferee, of course, has not regularized its
22	position until such indorsement is supplied. Until this is done the transferee cannot claim rights
23	under due negotiation within the requirements of this Article (Section 7-501(a)(5)) on "due
24	negotiation". Similarly, despite the transfer to the transferee of the transferor's title, the
25	transferee cannot demand the goods from the bailee until the negotiation has been completed and
26	the document is in proper form for surrender. See Section 7-403(c).
27	
28	Cross References:
29	Point 1: Sections 7-106 and 7-505.
30	Point 2: Sections 7-501(4) and 7-403(2).
31	
32	Definitional Cross References:
33	"Document of title". Section 1-201.
34	"Rights". Section 1-201.
35	

1	SECTION 7-507. WARRANTIES ON NEGOTIATION OR DELIVERY OF
2	DOCUMENT OF TITLE. If a person negotiates or delivers a document of title for value,
3	otherwise than as a mere intermediary under Section 7-508, unless otherwise agreed, the
4	transferor warrants to its immediate purchaser only in addition to any warranty made in selling
5	or leasing the goods that:
6	(1) the document is genuine;
7	(2) the transferor does not have knowledge of any fact that would impair the document's
8	validity or worth; and
9	(3) the negotiation or delivery is rightful and fully effective with respect to the title to the
10	document and the goods it represents.
11	Preliminary Comments
12	D. H. C. State and Descriptions Continued Section 7, 507
13 14	Prior Uniform Statutory Provision: Former Section 7-507.
15	Changes: Substitution of the word "delivery" for the word "transfer," reference leasing
16	transactions and style.
17	·
18	Purposes:
19	1. Delivery of goods by use of a document of title does not limit or displace the ordinary
20	obligations of a seller or lessor as to any warranties regarding the goods that arises under other
21	law. If the transfer of documents attends or follows the making of a contract for the sale or lease
22	of goods, the general obligations on warranties as to the goods (Sections 2-312 through 2-318
23	and Sections 2A-210 through 2A-316) are brought to bear as well as the special warranties under
24	this section. 2. The limited warranties of a delivering or collecting intermediary, including a collecting
25 26	bank, are stated in Section 7-508.
27	bank, are stated in Section 7-300.
28	Cross References:
29	Point 1: Sections 2-312 through 2-318 and 2A-310-through 2A-316.
30	Point 2: Section 7-508.
31	
32	Definitional Cross References:
33	"Delivery". Section 1-201.
34	"Document of title". Section 1-201.

1	"Genuine". Section 1-201.
2	"Goods". Section 7-102.
3	"Person". Section 1-201.
4	"Purchaser". Section 1-201.
5	"Value". Section 1-204.
6	
7	
8	SECTION 7-508. WARRANTIES OF COLLECTING BANK AS TO DOCUMENTS
9	OF TITLE. A collecting bank or other intermediary known to be entrusted with documents of
10	title on behalf of another or with collection of a draft or other claim against delivery of
11	documents warrants by the delivery of the documents only its own good faith and authority even
12	if the collecting bank or other intermediary has purchased or made advances against the claim or
13	draft to be collected.
14	Preliminary Comments
15	
16	Prior Uniform Statutory Provision: Former Section 7-508.
17	
18	Changes: Changes for style only.
19	D
20	Purposes: 1. To state the limited warranties given with respect to the documents accompanying a
21	•
22	documentary draft. 2. In warranting its authority a collecting bank or other intermediary only warrants its
23	authority from its transferor. See Section 4-203. It does not warrant the genuineness or
2425	effectiveness of the document. Compare Section 7-507.
2 <i>5</i>	3. Other duties and rights of banks handling documentary drafts for collection are stated in
27	Article 4, Part 5. On the meaning of draft, see Section 4-104 and Section 5-103, comment 11.
28	Atticle 4, 1 art 3. On the meaning of drain, see Section 1 10 1 and Section 2 100, seminated
29	Cross References:
30	Sections 4-104, 4-203, 4-501 through 4-504, 5-103, and 7-507.
31	Sections (101, 1 200, 1 001 and again 101 years)
32	Definitional Cross References:
33	"Collecting bank". Section 4-105.
34	"Delivery". Section 1-201.
35	"Document of title". Section 1-102.
36	"Documentary draft". Section 4-104.
37	"Intermediary bank". Section 4-105.
38	"Good faith". Section 1-201 [7-102.]

1	
2	SECTION 7-509. ADEQUATE COMPLIANCE WITH COMMERCIAL CONTRACT.
3	Whether a document of title is adequate to fulfill the obligations of a contract for sale, a contract
4	for lease, or the conditions of a letter of credit is determined by Article 2, 2A, or 5.
5	Preliminary Comments
6	
7	Prior Uniform Statutory Provision: Former Section 7-509.
8	
9	Changes: To reference Article 2A.
10	December
11 12	Purposes:
13	To cross-refer to the Articles of this Act which deal with the substantive issues of the type of
13	document of title required under the contract entered into by the parties.
15	Cross Deferences Articles 2 24 and 5
16	Cross References: Articles 2, 2A and 5.
17	Definitional Cross References:
18	"Contract for sale". Section 2-106.
19	"Document of title". Section 1-201.
20	"Lease". Section 2A-103.
21	Louise . Section 21x-103.
1	