Fiscal Estimate - 2009 Session

☑ Original ☐ Updated	Corrected Suppl	emental							
LRB Number 09-4164/1	Introduction Number AB-074	40							
Description Growing and processing industrial hemp, granting rule-making authority, and making an appropriation									
Fiscal Effect									
Appropriations Reverse Decrease Existing Decrease Existing Appropriations Reverse Create New Appropriations Local: No Local Government Costs Indeterminate 1. Increase Costs Permissive Mandatory Permissive Decrease Costs 4. Decrease Costs	ase Existing Increase Costs - May I to absorb within agence ase Existing Tyes Decrease Costs 5.Types of Local Government Units Affer Towns Villag Counties Other School Districts Districts	ey's budget No No cted e Cities							
Fund Sources Affected GPR FED PRO PRS	Affected Ch. 20 Appropriat SEG SEGS Proposed s. 20.115 (7) (gc).	ions							
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date							
DATCP/ Bill Walker (608) 224-4353	Bill Walker (608) 224-4353 2/23/2010								

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DATCP 2/23/2010

LRB Number 0	9-4164/1	Introduction Number	AB-0740	Estimate Type	Original	
Description						
Growing and processing industrial hemp, granting rule-making authority, and making an appropriation						

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

This bill requires the Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer protection to issue licenses authorizing the growing and processing of industrial hemp. As part of the license process, the bill requires the department to conduct a criminal history search on each license applicant.

In addition, the bill requires the department to promulgate rules that address:

- 1. Industrial hemp seed certification;
- 2. Testing of plant THC levels; and
- 3. Supervision of the growing, harvesting, and processing of industrial hemp.

The bill does not affect federal law. Any industrial hemp production in Wisconsin would first have to obtain a permit from the U.S. Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA). Based on the DEA's current policies, it is unlikely that any such permits would be issued.

As a resource-saving measure and to avoid conflict with federal law, the department would likely require any applicant for an industrial hemp license to first obtain a DEA permit. If no such permits were issued, the department's workload under this bill would be unchanged.

However, if DEA permits were issued, the department's workload under the bill would increase.

It is impossible to forecast the number of license applications or number of acres of industrial hemp that would be sought in Wisconsin. However, Canada may serve for rough comparison.

Canada has allowed industrial hemp production since the late 1990s. On average over that period, Canada has had 15,500 acres in industrial hemp. That is 0.01% of Canada's roughly 167 million acres of farmland. Average field size is roughly 50 acres. If Wisconsin hemp production occupied the same relative amount of land, Wisconsin would see approximately 1,500 acres in industrial hemp. (Figures from Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, Alberta Agriculture and Rural Development, and Wisconsin Agricultural Statistics Service.) For this estimate, we assume that Wisconsin would have roughly 30 applicants for industrial hemp licenses, each growing hemp on 50 acres.

One-time costs would include modification of the department's licensing database, including ensuring privacy controls required by the bill and the licensee sales database required under the bill. This would require between 515 and 590 hours and cost roughly \$35,000 to \$40,000, assuming \$68/hour for programming costs.

For each grower, the department would be required to test seeds and test plants during growth for THC content. Based on procedures developed Canada, this estimate assumes up to ten composite samples per grower or up to 300 samples per year. (The number of samples needed depends on the homogeneity of each field and could be much larger if fields are uneven or divided.) The department would likely not be authorized by the DEA to conduct THC testing. External testing costs are difficult to estimate given the few labs that perform such tests. The department expects costs to range from \$300 to \$350 per sample, or up to \$105,000 per year.

In addition to testing, the department would be required to supervise growing, harvesting, and processing. We assume that a 1.0 FTE Environmental Analysis and Review Specialist - Senior would be required, given the likely dispersion of fields and expected rigorous federal requirements for sample handling, training, etc. Salary, fringe, and supplies costs for the position would be approximately \$80,000 per year. The department would also require an 0.5 FTE license program associate to manage the licensing and database aspects of the program. This position would cost approximately \$48,000 per year.

Criminal background checks from the Wisconsin Department of Justice would cost \$7 per applicant or \$350 total. (FBI checks currently cost about \$35 each.)

Covering the ongoing costs would require annual license fees of \$7,800 per applicant.

This estimate does not include costs to state or local law enforcement.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2009 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

\boxtimes	Original		Updated		Corrected		Supplemental
LRB	Number	09-4164	/1	Intro	duction Nun	nber	AB-0740
Descr i Growin		ssing industri	al hemp, grant	ting rule-ma	king authority, a	ınd makin	g an appropriation
I. One		or Revenue I			Local Governi		
					ensing databas se required unde		ng ensuring privacy
II. Ann	ualized Cos	its:			Annualized Fig	scal Impa	act on funds from:
					Increased Costs	6	Decreased Costs
A. Sta	te Costs by	Category					
Stat	e Operations	- Salaries an	d Fringes		\$112,000		\$
(FTE	E Position Ch	anges)			(1.0 FTE)	
Stat	e Operations	- Other Cost	s		121,000		
Loca	al Assistance						
Aids	to Individual	ls or Organiza	ations				
Т	OTAL State	Costs by Ca	tegory		\$233,000)	\$
B. Sta	te Costs by	Source of Fu	ınds				
GPF	₹						
FEC)						
PRO	D/PRS (20.11	5 (7) (gc))			233,000		
SEG	S/SEG-S						
			this only who		l will increase (or decrea	ise state
					Increased Rev	/	Decreased Rev
GPF	R Taxes				\$		\$
GPF	R Earned						
FEC)						
PRO	D/PRS (20.11	5)			233,000)	
SEC	G/SEG-S						
Т	OTAL State	Revenues			\$233,000)	\$
			NET ANNUAL	IZED FISC	AL IMPACT		
					State	2	Local
NET CHANGE IN COSTS			\$233,000)	\$		
NET C	HANGE IN F	REVENUE			\$233,000		\$
Agend	cy/Prepared	Ву	1	Authorized	Signature		Date
DATCP/ Bill Walker (608) 224-4353 Bill '			Bill Walker (608) 224-4353		2/23/2010	