

DRAFTER'S NOTE
FROM THE
LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRB-3938/1dn
PJK:cjs:md

February 2, 2010

For s. 628.347 (4), I wasn't quite sure how much of the exact model language you wanted me to restore. I kept the newly created par. (c) as is, although the model included that language in par. (a). Let me know if it makes a difference that the exact language of the model is in a separate paragraph. Perhaps the model incorrectly refers to "this subsection" when the intention was to refer to "this paragraph"?

In par. (a), I did not restore the exact model language about "the commissioner's ability to enforce, *including investigate*, this section," since normally a section of the statutes is not investigated, actions taken under the statute are. I think the language I used captures the same idea. Let me know, however, if you think the change is a problem.

In par. (c), is the absence of "member" before "broker-dealer" okay?

Pamela J. Kahler
Senior Legislative Attorney
Phone: (608) 266-2682
E-mail: pam.kahler@legis.wisconsin.gov