Fiscal Estimate - 2009 Session

Original	Updated	Correc	cted	Supple	mental			
LRB Number 09-0709/	/1	Introductio	n Number	SB-036				
Description Motor vehicle operating privilege suspensions for controlled substance violations								
Fiscal Effect								
State: No State Fiscal Effect Indeterminate Increase Existing Appropriations Decrease Existing Appropriations Create New Appropriation	Increase E Revenues Decrease Revenues	Existing	Increase Cos to absorb with Yes Decrease Co	hin agency				
Local:								
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations								
☐ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO ☐ PRS ☐ SEG ☐ SEGS 20.395(2)(ex), (fx), (nx), (ox), (3)(bx), (cx), (cy), (ex), and (ix)								
Agency/Prepared By	Auth	orized Signatu	ıre		Date			
DOT/ Julie Johnson (608) 267-37	703 Julie	Julie Johnson (608) 267-3703 3/9/2009						

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOT 3/9/2009

LRB Number 09-0709/1	Introduction Number	SB-036	Estimate Type	Updated			
Description							
Motor vehicle operating privilege suspensions for controlled substance violations							

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

BILL SUMMARY

In current law, courts are required to suspend the operating privilege of an individual convicted of a chapter 938 or 961 controlled substance violation. Additionally, if DOT receives notice from another jurisdiction of a similar conviction, DOT is required to suspend the operating privilege. This proposal makes suspensions in both scenarios the discretion of the court or DOT.

ASSUMPTIONS

- This proposal is being analyzed as if it were enacted without a Joint Resolution of both houses of the legislature opposing the Federal drug law, and without written certification stating the Governor is opposed to the enactment or enforcement of the Federal drug law.
- Without the Joint Resolution or written certification mentioned above, it is assumed Wisconsin will not be compliant with the Federal drug law. Non-compliance will result in a 10% withholding of Federal apportionments for Interstate Maintenance, the Federal Highways System, and the Surface Transportation Program.

CONCLUSION

- Annual reduction in federal funding for highway programs of \$51,033,149.

NOTE: If the Joint Resolution of both houses of the legislature and written certification by the Governor as mentioned above occur, the fiscal impact is zero.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

See above.

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2009 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

	Original		Updated		Corrected		Supplemental	
LRB	Number	09-0709	/1	Intro	duction Nur	mber	SB-036	
Desci	ription			for controlls	od ouhotongo vi	alations		
					ed substance vi			
	e-time Costs of alized fiscal e		Impacts for S	tate and/oi	Local Govern	iment (do	not include in	
Loss	of 10% of Fed	eral apportion	nments for Inte	erstate Mair	ntenance, Fede	ral Highwa	y System, and	
Surface Transportation Program for each fiscal year. Based on FFY09 apportionments, the annual								
loss would be \$51,033,149.								
II. An	nualized Cos	ts:			Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from			
					Increased Cost	เร	Decreased Costs	
	ate Costs by			- 1			Φ.	
	te Operations		id Fringes		,	\$	\$	
<u> </u>	E Position Ch							
Sta	te Operations	- Other Cost	S					
Loc	al Assistance							
Aid	Aids to Individuals or Organizations							
TOTAL State Costs by Category					\$	\$		
B. Sta	ate Costs by	Source of Fu	unds					
GP	R							
FE	D							
PR	O/PRS							
SE	G/SEG-S							
III. St	ate Revenues nues (e.g., tax	s - Complete increase, d	this only wh	en proposa ense fee, e	al will increase	or decre	ase state	
					Increased Re	ev	Decreased Rev	
GP	R Taxes					\$	\$	
GP	R Earned							
FE	D							
PR	O/PRS							
SE	G/SEG-S							
HT	TOTAL State	Revenues				\$	\$	
			NET ANNUAI	LIZED FISC	AL IMPACT			
					Sta	te	Local	
NET CHANGE IN COSTS					\$	\$		
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE					\$	\$		
-						•		
Agency/Prepared By Authorized Signature Date						Date		
DOT/ Julie Johnson (608) 267-3703						3/9/2009		