

2009 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Received: **02/05/2009**

Received By: **phurley**

Wanted: **As time permits**

Identical to LRB:

For: **Gary Hebl (608) 266-7678**

By/Representing:

This file may be shown to any legislator: **NO**

Drafter: **phurley**

May Contact:

Addl. Drafters:

Subject: **Courts - miscellaneous
Courts - limitations**

Extra Copies:

Submit via email: **YES**

Requester's email: **Rep.Hebl@legis.wisconsin.gov**

Carbon copy (CC:) to:

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

Appellate procedure

Instructions:

copy of 09-0266

Drafting History:

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/?	phurley 02/05/2009	kfollett 02/12/2009		_____			S&L
/1			mduchek 02/13/2009	_____	cduerst 02/13/2009	lparisi 02/16/2009	

FE Sent For:

*at
intro*

<END>

2009 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Received: **02/05/2009**

Received By: **phurley**

Wanted: **As time permits**

Identical to LRB:

For: **Gary Hebl (608) 266-7678**

By/Representing:

This file may be shown to any legislator: **NO**

Drafter: **phurley**

May Contact:

Addl. Drafters:

Subject: **Courts - miscellaneous
Courts - limitations**

Extra Copies:

Submit via email: **YES**

Requester's email: **Rep.Hebl@legis.wisconsin.gov**

Carbon copy (CC:) to:

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

Appellate procedure

Instructions:

copy of 09-0266

Drafting History:

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/?	phurley 02/05/2009	kfollett 02/12/2009		_____			S&L
/1			mduchek 02/13/2009	_____	cduerst 02/13/2009		

FE Sent For:

<END>

2009 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Received: 02/05/2009

Received By: phurley

Wanted: As time permits

Identical to LRB:

For: Gary Hebl (608) 266-7678

By/Representing:

This file may be shown to any legislator: NO

Drafter: phurley

May Contact:

Addl. Drafters:

Subject: Courts - miscellaneous
Courts - limitations

Extra Copies:

Submit via email: YES

Requester's email: Rep.Hebl@legis.wisconsin.gov

Carbon copy (CC:) to:

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

Appellate procedure

Instructions:

copy of 09-0266

Drafting History:

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/?	phurley	1/15/f 2/12	MD	MD PH			

FE Sent For:

2/13
<END>

2009 BILL

2-5-09

Regen

1 AN ACT *to amend* 971.31 (10); and *to create* 808.03 (3) and 938.297 (8) of the
2 statutes; **relating to:** appellate procedure.

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

In criminal cases, current law permits the review of an order denying a motion to suppress evidence or a motion challenging admissibility of a defendant's statement as part of an appeal from a conviction, notwithstanding the fact that the judgment of conviction was entered on a guilty plea. This bill permits review of an order denying a motion to suppress evidence or a motion challenging admissibility of a statement of a defendant as part of an appeal from a final judgment or order, notwithstanding the fact that the final judgment or order was entered on an admission of guilt or a no contest plea. This bill also permits the review of orders denying a motion to suppress evidence or a motion challenging admissibility of the statement of a juvenile upon review from a final judgment or order, notwithstanding the fact that the final judgment or order was entered upon a plea of no contest or an admission to the allegations of a petition filed in cases involving juveniles alleged to be delinquent.

For further information see the ***state and local*** fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

BILL

1 **SECTION 1.** 808.03 (3) of the statutes is created to read:

2 808.03 (3) REVIEW OF AN ORDER DENYING SUPPRESSION OR EXCLUSION OF EVIDENCE.

3 (a) An order denying a motion to suppress evidence or a motion challenging the
4 admissibility of a statement of a juvenile may be reviewed upon appeal from a final
5 judgment or order notwithstanding the fact that the judgment or order was entered
6 upon an admission or a plea of no contest to the allegations in the petition.

7 (b) An order denying a motion to suppress evidence or a motion challenging the
8 admissibility of a statement of a defendant may be reviewed upon appeal from a final
9 judgment or order notwithstanding the fact that the judgment or order was entered
10 upon a plea of guilty or no contest to the information or criminal complaint.

11 **SECTION 2.** 938.297 (8) of the statutes is created to read:

12 938.297 (8) APPELLATE REVIEW. An order denying a motion to suppress evidence
13 or a motion challenging the admissibility of a statement of a juvenile may be
14 reviewed upon appeal from a final judgment or order notwithstanding the fact that
15 the judgment or order was entered upon an admission or a plea of no contest to the
16 allegations in the petition.

17 **SECTION 3.** 971.31 (10) of the statutes is amended to read:

18 971.31 (10) An order denying a motion to suppress evidence or a motion
19 challenging the admissibility of a statement of a defendant may be reviewed upon
20 appeal from a final judgment of conviction or order notwithstanding the fact that
21 such the judgment or order was entered upon a plea of guilty or no contest to the
22 information or criminal complaint.

23 **SECTION 4. Effective date.**

Barman, Mike

From: Battiato, Kate
Sent: Monday, February 16, 2009 11:16 AM
To: LRB.Legal
Subject: Draft Review: LRB 09-2012/1 Topic: Appellate procedure

Please Jacket LRB 09-2012/1 for the ASSEMBLY.