Fiscal Estimate - 2009 Session

Original Updated	Corrected Supplemental					
LRB Number 09-2787/2	Introduction Number SB-284					
Description Designating and marking USH 63 as the Gaylord Nelson Highway						
Fiscal Effect						
Appropriations Reversible Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mappropriations Reversible Permissive Mandatory Permissive Reversible Reve	ase Existing enues ease Existing enues enues To absorb within agency's budget Yes Decrease Costs 5.Types of Local Government Units Affected Towns Village Counties Counties Others					
2. Decrease Costs 4. Decrease Revenue School Permissive Mandatory Districts Districts						
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS						
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature Date					
DOT/ Mark Woltmann (608) 266-1744	Julie Johnson (608) 267-3703 9/15/2009					

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOT 9/15/2009

LRB Number 0	9-2787/2	Introduction Number	SB-284	Estimate Type	Original	
Description						
Designating and marking USH 63 as the Gaylord Nelson Highway						

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

The requirement to mark the highway would be accomplished consistent with traffic sign standards adopted under Wisconsin law. The highest priority to be served by traffic signs is to effectively guide and warn motorists so that the highway provides safe and efficient travel. Traffic control devices, including highway signs, are essential elements of guiding drivers to their destination and achieving safety. As such, use of markers or signs is limited and, when designations are made, markers are typically placed outside the clear zone of the roadway in nearby roadside facility or public space.

At present, highway designations are also listed on the Officieal State Highway Map, although space limitations may be a concern. In addition, the Department does share information about designations on its web site.

No state funds are to be used for erecting or maintaining the markers. Instead private contributions will be used to cover these expenses. Markers of this type can cost up to \$1500.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

No state funds are to be used for erecting or maintaining the markers. Instead private contributions will be used to cover these expenses.