Fiscal Estimate - 2009 Session

	Original		Updated		Corrected		Supplemental
LRB I	Number	09-1669/1		Introdu	iction Numbe	r A	B-0411
	hibition again	ist making, rep I the sex offer	oroducing, or possonder registry	essing a n	ude depiction of a	person	without the
Fiscal E	Effect						
	o State Fiscandeterminate Increase En Appropriation Decrease En Appropriation Create New	kisting ons Existing	☐ Increase E Revenues ☐ Decrease Revenues	Existing	to absort	o within Yes	- May be possible agency's budget \to No
⊠ Ir 1	ndeterminate .	ve Mandato	3. Increase Fory Permissive 4. Decrease	e Mand Revenue	atory Town Coun	ent Uni s [ties [ol [ts Affected Village Cities Others WTCS Districts
Fund S	ources Affect		PRS SEG	SEG	Affected Ch. 2	0 Appr	opriations
Agency	//Prepared B	У	Auth	orized Si	gnature	:	Date
SPD/ Mike Tobin (608) 266-8259 Krista				a Ginger (9/3/2009		

Fiscal Estimate Narratives SPD 9/3/2009

LRB Number	09-1669/1	Introduction Number	AB-0411	Estimate Type	Original				
Description The prohibition against making reproducing or passaging a nude deniation of a narrow without the									
The prohibition against making, reproducing, or possessing a nude depiction of a person without the person's consent and the sex offender registry									

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

The State Public Defender (SPD) is statutorily authorized and required to appoint attorneys to represent indigent defendants in criminal proceedings. The SPD plays a major role in ensuring that the Wisconsin justice system complies with the right to counsel provided by both the state and federal constitutions. Any legislation has the potential to increase SPD costs if it creates a new criminal offense, expands the definition of an existing criminal offense, or increases the penalties for an existing offense.

This bill does not provide for a new criminal offense, expand the definition of a criminal offense, or increase criminal penalties. The bill makes persons convicted of video voyeurism subject to sex offender registration, if the court finds that the offense was sexually motivated. Offenders under age 21 at the time of the offense could have their names removed from the registry upon successful completion of their sentences.

Although the bill should not affect the number of prosecutions for the offense of video voyeurism, it may indirectly result in additional criminal charges under section 301.45, Stats., for violation of requirements of the sex offender registry. Some of the offenders placed on the registry for convictions of video voyeurism are likely to be charged in the future with violating the registry requirements.

During the last three fiscal years, the SPD has appointed attorneys in approximately 17 cases annually in which one or more counts of video voyeurism were charged. Any one of the following three events in an individual case can avert this type of criminal charge: 1) the defendant is not convicted of the original charge of video voyeurism; 2) the court does not order the defendant to register as a sex offender; or 3) the defendant complies with the terms of the registry. Thus, although the SPD cannot predict a precise number of new cases, the SPD does not anticipate a large number of new charges for violations of the sex offender registry.

Counties are also subject to increased costs when a new crime is created. There are some defendants who, despite exceeding the SPD's statutory financial guidelines, are constitutionally eligible for appointment of counsel because it would be a substantial hardship for them to retain an attorney. The court is required to appoint counsel at county expense for these defendants. Thus, the counties may experience increased costs attributable to criminal charges for alleged violations of the requirements of the sex offender registry. The counties could also incur additional costs associated with incarceration of defendants, both pending trial and after sentencing in these cases. For the reasons stated in the preceding paragraph, the number of new cases is unlikely to be large.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications