

**DRAFTER'S NOTE**  
**FROM THE**  
**LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU**

LRB-0568/P2dn  
MES&EVM:cjs:rs

January 13, 2009

Don Dyke:

With regard to ss. 61.23 (1) and 62.09 (5) (e), we have a question. Because no term is specified, a person serving in an appointive office serves at the pleasure of the appointing authority. The statutes in question state that such a person shall serve until his or her successor is appointed and qualified. What if someone serving in such an appointed office is arrested for possession of crack cocaine? As the statute is written, couldn't the appointee claim that he or she must continue to serve until a successor is appointed and qualified, even though the appointing authority would probably want the person fired immediately? Do you want these statutes to address this situation?

Also, these statutes (ss. 61.23 (1) and 62.09 (5) (e)) contain the phrase, " , unless otherwise provided by ordinance." I think that this phrase is probably OK, but Jeff Kuesel felt that such an ordinance would be unusual, and that the "holdover rule" applies both to appointees serving terms and appointees serving at pleasure, so the phrase may not be necessary. Let us know if you'd like any changes made.

With regard to a village's or city's ability to contract with a county for law enforcement services, we subjected that ability to s. 61.65 (1) (a) 4. and s. 62.13 (2s) to avoid confusion. Is this ok?

Marc E. Shovers  
Managing Attorney  
Phone: (608) 266-0129  
E-mail: marc.shovers@legis.wisconsin.gov

Eric V. Mueller  
Legislative Attorney  
Phone: (608) 261-7032  
E-mail: eric.mueller@legis.wisconsin.gov