

DRAFTER'S NOTE
FROM THE
LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRB-2026/4dn
MES:wlj:md

August 17, 2009

Senator Sullivan:

The marked-up instructions I received included language to be added to created s. 66.1105 (4e) (b) 2. stating that before a donor district may share a tax increment, the donor district must amend its project plan under s. 66.1105 (6) (e) or (f). I did not execute this instruction because under current law, before a prospective donor district may share its tax increments under s. 66.1105 (6) (d), (dm), (e), or (f), it must amend its project plan. Therefore, the requested change is part of current law and is unnecessary.

The instructions also wanted the phrase “, under sub. (2) (f) 1. m.” to be added to created s. 66.1105 (4e) (e) 3. I'm not sure what this requested language means. If you want to make sure that a distressed TID may not expend any funds outside its boundaries, I would not add this language. If you want to make sure that a distressed TID may expend funds up to one-half mile outside of its boundaries, as is allowed by s. 66.1105 (2) (f) 1. m., I could add some additional language to s. 66.1105 (4e) (e) 3. to make this clear. For now, I have not added this phrase because I'm not sure what your intent is.

Marc E. Shovers
Managing Attorney
Phone: (608) 266-0129
E-mail: marc.shovers@legis.wisconsin.gov