



## 2009 SENATE BILL 412

December 2, 2009 – Introduced by Senators ERPENBACH, HOLPERIN, MILLER, PLALE and HARSDORF, cosponsored by Representatives POPE-ROBERTS, BERCEAU, BROOKS, DEXTER and ZEPNICK. Referred to Committee on Health, Health Insurance, Privacy, Property Tax Relief, and Revenue.

1     **AN ACT** *to amend* 60.85 (6) (a) (intro.), 60.85 (6) (am), 66.1105 (4) (gm) 4. c.,  
2             66.1105 (5) (b), 66.1105 (6) (a) (intro.), 66.1105 (6) (ae), 66.1106 (7) (a) and  
3             66.1106 (7) (am); and *to create* 66.1105 (4m) (e), 66.1105 (10) (c) and 66.1105  
4             (12) of the statutes; **relating to:** changing certain administrative procedures  
5             under the tax incremental financing program.

---

### *Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau*

Under the current tax incremental financing program, a city or village may create a tax incremental district (TID) in part of its territory to foster development if at least 50 percent of the area to be included in the TID is blighted, in need of rehabilitation or conservation, suitable for industrial sites, or suitable for mixed-use development. Currently, towns also have a limited ability to create a TID under certain circumstances. Before a city or village may create a TID, several steps and plans are required. These steps and plans include public hearings on the proposed TID within specified time frames, preparation and adoption by the local planning commission of a proposed project plan for the TID, approval of the proposed project plan by the common council or village board, approval of the city's or village's proposed TID by a joint review board that consists of members who represent the overlying taxation districts, and adoption of a resolution by the common council or village board that creates the TID as of a date provided in the resolution.

Also under current law, once a TID has been created, the Department of Revenue (DOR) calculates the "tax incremental base" value of the TID, which is the

**SENATE BILL 412**

equalized value of all taxable property within the TID at the time of its creation. If the development in the TID increases the value of the property in the TID above the base value, a “value increment” is created. That portion of taxes collected on the value increment in excess of the base value is called a “tax increment.” The tax increment is placed in a special fund that may be used only to pay back the project costs of the TID. The costs of a TID, which are initially incurred by the creating city or village, include public works such as sewers, streets, and lighting systems; financing costs; site preparation costs; and professional service costs. DOR authorizes the allocation of the tax increments until the TID terminates or, generally, 20 years, 23 years, or 27 years after the TID is created, depending on the type of TID and the year in which it was created. Under certain circumstances, the life of the TID and the allocation period may be extended.

Under current law, a planning commission may adopt an amendment to a project plan, which requires the approval of the common council or village board and the same findings that current law requires for the creation of a TID. Current law also authorizes the amendment of a project plan up to four times during a TID’s existence to change the district’s boundaries by adding or subtracting territory.

Currently, before a TID may be created or its project plan amended, the city or village must adopt a resolution containing a finding that the equalized value of taxable property of the TID plus the value increment of all existing TIDs does not exceed 12 percent of the total equalized value of taxable property in the city or village (the “12 percent test”), subject to one exception. Under the exception, a city or village may simultaneously create a new TID and subtract territory from an existing TID without adopting a resolution containing the 12 percent test if the city or village demonstrates to DOR that the value of the territory that is subtracted at least equals the amount that DOR believes is necessary to ensure that, when the new TID is created, the 12 percent test is met. The city or village must also certify to DOR that no other district created under this exception currently exists in the city or village.

This bill changes a number of administrative procedures that apply to TIDs. Under the bill, in determining whether a city or village complies with the 12 percent test, DOR must exclude any parcel of land in a newly created TID that is located in an existing TID. If DOR determines that a city or village has violated the 12 percent test, it must notify the city or village in writing. The city or village must then either rescind its approval of the resolution creating a TID or notify DOR in writing that the county in which the TID is located approves of the city’s or village’s action related to the TID even though the 12 percent test is not met.

The bill also changes from December 31 to October 31 the date by which a city or village must submit certain completed forms to DOR and specifies that, in complying with meeting notice requirements, a city or village must use a newspaper that is in general circulation in the county in which the TID is located. With regard to meetings held by a joint review board, the bill requires all such meetings to be preceded by a class 2 notice.

Under current law, any city, village, town, or county (political subdivision) that receives a tax increment for a TID or an environmental remediation TID must pay DOR an annual administrative fee. Under this bill, if the political subdivision does

**SENATE BILL 412**

not pay the fee by May 15, DOR may not allocate a tax increment to that political subdivision.

The bill takes effect on October 1, 2010.

For further information see the *local* fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

---

***The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:***

1           **SECTION 1.** 60.85 (6) (a) (intro.) of the statutes is amended to read:  
2           60.85 **(6)** (a) (intro.) If the joint review board approves the creation of the tax  
3 incremental district under sub. (4), and subject to par. (am), positive tax increments  
4 with respect to a tax incremental district are allocated to the town which created the  
5 district for each year commencing after the date when a project plan is adopted under  
6 sub. (3) (g). The department of revenue may not authorize allocation of tax  
7 increments until it determines from timely evidence submitted by the town that each  
8 of the procedures and documents required under sub. (3) (d) to (f) has been completed  
9 and all related notices given in a timely manner. The department of revenue may  
10 authorize allocation of tax increments for any tax incremental district only if the  
11 town clerk and assessor annually submit to the department all required information  
12 on or before the 2nd Monday in June. The facts supporting any document adopted  
13 or action taken to comply with sub. (3) (d) to (f) are not subject to review by the  
14 department of revenue under this paragraph except as provided under par. (e). After  
15 the allocation of tax increments is authorized, the department of revenue shall  
16 annually authorize allocation of the tax increment to the town that created the  
17 district until the sooner of the following events:

18           **SECTION 2.** 60.85 (6) (am) of the statutes, as created by 2009 Wisconsin Act 28,  
19 is amended to read:

**SENATE BILL 412****SECTION 2**

1           60.85 (6) (am) With regard to each district for which the department of revenue  
2 authorizes the allocation of a tax increment under par. (a), the department shall  
3 charge the town that created the district an annual administrative fee of \$150 that  
4 the town shall pay to the department no later than May 15. If the town does not pay  
5 the fee that is required under this paragraph, by May 15, the department may not  
6 authorize the allocation of a tax increment under par. (a) for that town.

7           **SECTION 3.** 66.1105 (4) (gm) 4. c. of the statutes is amended to read:

8           66.1105 (4) (gm) 4. c. Except as provided in ~~sub.~~ subs. (10) (c) and (17), the  
9 equalized value of taxable property of the district plus the value increment of all  
10 existing districts does not exceed 12 percent of the total equalized value of taxable  
11 property within the city. In determining the equalized value of taxable property  
12 under this subd. 4. c., the department of revenue shall base its calculations on the  
13 most recent equalized value of taxable property of the district that is reported under  
14 s. 70.57 (1m) before the date on which the resolution under this paragraph is  
15 adopted. If the department of revenue determines that a local legislative body  
16 exceeds the 12 percent limit described in this subd. 4. c., the department shall notify  
17 the city of its noncompliance, in writing, not later than December 31 of the year in  
18 which the department receives the completed application or amendment forms  
19 described in sub. (5) (b).

20           **SECTION 4.** 66.1105 (4m) (e) of the statutes is created to read:

21           66.1105 (4m) (e) Notice of all meetings held by a joint review board shall be  
22 published as a class 2 notice, under ch. 985.

23           **SECTION 5.** 66.1105 (5) (b) of the statutes is amended to read:

24           66.1105 (5) (b) Upon application in writing by the city clerk, in a form  
25 prescribed by the department of revenue, the department shall determine according

**SENATE BILL 412**

1 to its best judgment from all sources available to it the full aggregate value of the  
2 taxable property and, except as provided in par. (bm), of the city-owned property in  
3 the tax incremental district. The application shall state the percentage of territory  
4 within the tax incremental district which the local legislative body estimates will be  
5 devoted to retail business at the end of the maximum expenditure period specified  
6 in sub. (6) (am) 1. if that estimate is at least 35%. Subject to sub. (8) (d), the  
7 department shall certify this aggregate valuation to the city clerk, and the aggregate  
8 valuation constitutes the tax incremental base of the tax incremental district. The  
9 city clerk shall complete these forms, including forms for the amendment of a project  
10 plan, and submit the completed application or amendment forms on or before  
11 ~~December~~ October 31 of the year the tax incremental district is created, as defined  
12 in sub. (4) (gm) 2. or, in the case of an amendment, on or before ~~December~~ October  
13 31 of the year in which the changes to the project plan take effect.

14 **SECTION 6.** 66.1105 (6) (a) (intro.) of the statutes is amended to read:

15 66.1105 **(6)** (a) (intro.) If the joint review board approves the creation of the tax  
16 incremental district under sub. (4m), and subject to par. (ae), positive tax increments  
17 with respect to a tax incremental district are allocated to the city which created the  
18 district for each year commencing after the date when a project plan is adopted under  
19 sub. (4) (g). The department of revenue may not authorize allocation of tax  
20 increments until it determines from timely evidence submitted by the city that each  
21 of the procedures and documents required under sub. (4) (d) to (f) has been completed  
22 and all related notices given in a timely manner. The department of revenue may  
23 authorize allocation of tax increments for any tax incremental district only if the city  
24 clerk and assessor annually submit to the department all required information on  
25 or before the 2nd Monday in June. The facts supporting any document adopted or

**SENATE BILL 412****SECTION 6**

1 action taken to comply with sub. (4) (d) to (f) are not subject to review by the  
2 department of revenue under this paragraph. After the allocation of tax increments  
3 is authorized, the department of revenue shall annually authorize allocation of the  
4 tax increment to the city that created the district until the soonest of the following  
5 events:

6 **SECTION 7.** 66.1105 (6) (ae) of the statutes, as created by 2009 Wisconsin Act  
7 28, is amended to read:

8 66.1105 **(6)** (ae) With regard to each district for which the department of  
9 revenue authorizes the allocation of a tax increment under par. (a), the department  
10 shall charge the city that created the district an annual administrative fee of \$150  
11 that the city shall pay to the department no later than May 15. If the city does not  
12 pay the fee that is required under this paragraph, by May 15, the department may  
13 not authorize the allocation of a tax increment under par. (a) for that city.

14 **SECTION 8.** 66.1105 (10) (c) of the statutes is created to read:

15 66.1105 **(10)** (c) The department of revenue shall exclude any parcel in a newly  
16 created tax incremental district that is located in an existing district when  
17 determining compliance with the 12 percent limit described in sub. (4) (gm) 4. c.

18 **SECTION 9.** 66.1105 (12) of the statutes is created to read:

19 66.1105 **(12)** EQUALIZED VALUATION; THE 12 PERCENT LIMIT. If the department of  
20 revenue notifies a local legislative body that is not in compliance with the 12 percent  
21 limit described in sub. (4) (gm) 4. c., the local legislative body shall do one of the  
22 following:

23 (a) Rescind its approval of the project plan resolution described under sub. (4)  
24 (g).

**SENATE BILL 412**

1 (b) Not later than March 15 of the year immediately following the year in which  
2 the local legislative body receives the notice of noncompliance described in sub. (4)  
3 (gm) 4. c., the local legislative body sends the department of revenue by 1st class mail  
4 a copy of a resolution adopted by the county board in which the tax incremental  
5 district, or proposed district, is located stating that the county board accepts the  
6 project plan even if the 12 percent limit is exceeded. Notice of the county board  
7 meeting at which the board accepts the project plan shall be published as a class 2  
8 notice under ch. 985, except that the notice shall be published in a newspaper having  
9 general circulation within the county in which the proposed district is to be created.  
10 The notice shall include information relating to the proposed boundaries of the  
11 district, the proposed project costs of the proposed project, and whether the project  
12 costs include cash grants from the local legislative body to the owners, developers,  
13 or lessees of the land that is located within the proposed district. If the district or  
14 proposed district is in more than one county, only the county that contains the largest  
15 portion of the district's value must adopt a resolution as described in this paragraph.

16 **SECTION 10.** 66.1106 (7) (a) of the statutes is amended to read:

17 66.1106 (7) (a) Subject to pars. (am), (b), (c) and (d), the department shall  
18 annually authorize the positive environmental remediation tax increment with  
19 respect to a parcel or contiguous parcels of property during the period of certification  
20 to the political subdivision that incurred the costs to remediate environmental  
21 pollution on the property, except that an authorization granted under this paragraph  
22 does not apply after the department receives the notice described under sub. (10) (b).

23 **SECTION 11.** 66.1106 (7) (am) of the statutes, as created by 2009 Wisconsin Act  
24 28, is amended to read:

