Fiscal Estimate - 2009 Session

Original Dpdated	Corrected Suppl	emental						
LRB Number 09-0405/1	Introduction Number AB-01	34						
Description Increasing expense deductions for income and franchise tax purposes								
Fiscal Effect								
Appropriations Decrease Existing Appropriations Rev Appropriations Create New Appropriations Local: No Local Government Costs Indeterminate 1. Increase Costs Permissive Mandatory Per 2. Decrease Costs 4. Dec	rease Existing venues to absorb within agence venues Ves Verease Costs 5.Types of Local Government Units Affect Counties Villag Verease Revenue Villag Counties Villag Counties Villag Counties Other School Villag Villag Counties Other Obstricts Visition	y's budget No No cted Cities						
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS								
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date						
DOR/ Michael Oakleaf (608) 261-5173	Rebecca Boldt (608) 266-6785	4/10/2009						

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOR 4/10/2009

LRB Number	09-0405/1	Introduction Number	AB-0184	Estimate Type	Original		
Description							
Increasing expense deductions for income and franchise tax purposes							

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

Under current federal law, the maximum amount that a business may claim under Section 179 as an expense deduction for acquiring qualified assets is \$250,000. The deduction is phased out on a dollar-for-dollar basis if the cost of qualified property acquired in the year exceeds \$800,000.

Under current Wisconsin law, the allowable amount of section 179 expense depends on whether the property is used in farming by a person actively engaged in farming. For persons not engaged in farming, the maximum Section 179 expense is \$25,000 and the deduction is phased out for qualified property over \$200,000. For persons actively engaged in farming, the maximum Section 179 deduction is \$115,000 and the deduction is phased out for qualified property over \$460,000.

This bill increases the Wisconsin expense deduction limit to \$50,000 for property that is not used in farming and that is acquired and placed in service in taxable years beginning on or after January 1, 2008.

The entire cost of acquiring certain depreciable property can be claimed by a business as an expense in the year it is acquired if it meets the requirements of Section 179 as adopted by Wisconsin. If the amount spent to acquire the assets exceeds the limit for Section 179 expensing, the business can claim an equal portion of the excess expense as depreciation over a specified period of years depending on the type of asset acquired. The total amount that is deducted from income by the business for the cost of acquiring the asset is the same in either case, the only difference is the tax period into which the deductions fall.

FISCAL ESTIMATE

By increasing the accelerated expensing under Section 179, the bill will reduce revenue in the year when the business claims the eligible expense but will also result in an offsetting increase in revenue in subsequent years as the same business claims a lower depreciation expense.

Based on samples of tax year 2005 returns for both individuals and corporations, the bill would result in a revenue loss of an estimated \$7.3 million in FY 2010, \$1.8 million in FY 2011, and \$700,000 in FY 2012.

The FY 2010 fiscal effect assumes affected entities will amend their 2008 returns. Therefore, the FY 2010 fiscal effect reflects the impact of tax years 2008, 2009, and part of tax year 2010.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

To the extent that over time the reduced revenue effect of higher expense deductions will be offset by increased revenue from lower depreciation deductions, the net fiscal effect will be a revenue loss of \$100,000 in FY 2013 and minimal thereafter.

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2009 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

\boxtimes	Original		Updated		Corrected		Supplemental
LRB	Number	09-0405/	/1	Intro	duction Nu	mber	AB-0184
Descri		e deductions fo	or income an	d franchise	tay nurnasas		
}					r Local Govern	ment (do	not include in
	lized fiscal e						
II. Annualized Costs: Annualized Fiscal Impact on fu			act on funds from:				
					Increased Cost	ts	Decreased Costs
A. Stat	te Costs by	Category					
State	e Operations	- Salaries an	d Fringes		Ç	\$	\$
(FTE	Position Ch	anges)					
State	e Operations	- Other Costs	3				
Loca	al Assistance						
Aids	to Individual	s or Organiza	tions				
T	OTAL State	Costs by Cat	egory			\$	\$
B. Stat	te Costs by	Source of Fu	ınds				
GPR	}						
FED							
PRO)/PRS						
SEG	S/SEG-S						
		s - Complete increase, de			al will increase ets.)	or decrea	ase state
<u> </u>					Increased Re	v	Decreased Rev
GPR	R Taxes				9	\$	\$
GPR	R Earned						
FED							
PRO)/PRS						
SEG	SEG-S						
TO	OTAL State	Revenues				\$	\$
		1	NET ANNUA	LIZED FISC	AL IMPACT		
					Stat	e	Local
NET CHANGE IN COSTS			9	5	\$		
NET CI	HANGE IN R	REVENUE			\$See Tex	ct	\$
Agenc	y/Prepared I	 Ву		Authorized	Signature		Date
		eaf (608) 261	-5173	Rebecca Bo	oldt (608) 266-67	785	4/10/2009