Fiscal Estimate - 2009 Session

\boxtimes	Original		Updated		Corrected	d [Supple	emental			
LRB	Number	09-2330/1		Intro	duction	Number	AB-023	9			
Description Ilmiting a city's and village's use of direct annexation and authorizing limited town challenges to an annexation.											
Fiscal	Effect		11.4.4.								
	No State Fisc Indeterminate Increase E Appropria Decrease Appropria	e Existing tions Existing	Revenue Decreas Revenue	e Existing		Increase Co to absorb w Ye Decrease C	vithin agency es				
Local	No Local Gobindeterminate 1. Increase Permiss 2. Decrease	e Costs sive ☐ Mandat se Costs	3. Increase	ive Ma e Revenu	e ndatory ie	Types of Loc Government Towns Counties School Districts	t Units Affect ⊠Village s □Others □WTCS	e 🛛 Cities s S			
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations											
G	PR 🗍 FED	PRO [PRS SE	G S	EGS						
Agen	cy/Prepared	Ву	Au	thorized	Signature			Date			
DOA/ Cathleen Connolly (608) 261-2292 Marth					ha Kerner (608) 266-1359						

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOA 11/17/2009

LRB Number	09-2330/1	Introduction Number	AB-0239	Estimate Type	Original					
Description limiting a city's and village's use of direct annexation and authorizing limited town challenges to an										
annexation.	J		J							

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

STATE FISCAL EFFECT

Assembly Bill 239's proposed change in law will not impact the State's responsibility to review proposed annexations within counties having a population of 50,000 or more and to issue an advisory opinion as to whether the annexation is in, or against, the public interest as defined in statute. Therefore, there is no anticipated state fiscal effect.

LOCAL FISCAL EFFECT

Assembly Bill 239's proposed change in law would clarify the prohibition against direct annexation by unanimous consent of any property that is not contiguous to the annexing city or village. The bill would also allow towns to initiate an action to contest a direct annexation by unanimous consent on the ground that the land being annexed is not contiguous to the annexing city or village.

The proposed changes may limit the number of annexations that are proposed under the direct annexation by unanimous consent option, however, cities, villages and private parties may choose other options. It is not possible to forecast whether overall the number of proposed annexations will decrease or increase.

Assembly Amendment 1 to AB 239 creates a requirement that if a court finds that the challenged annexation involved land that is contiguous to a city or village the court must order the town to pay actual attorney fees up to \$5,000 to the city or village. It is not possible to forecast the impact of this potential additional cost to litigating an annexation under s. 66.0217(2), Stats.

The bill does not require any party to engage in litigation. The proposed changes may result in greater litigation around the issue of contiguity of annexed land and, therefore, result in greater costs for towns, cities and villages. However, those possible costs are speculative and indeterminate.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Unknown.