

2011 DRAFTING REQUEST

Senate Amendment (SA-SB103)

Received: **05/31/2011**

Received By: **tkuczens**

Wanted: **As time permits**

Companion to LRB:

For: **Pam Galloway (608) 266-2502**

By/Representing: **Jennifer**

May Contact:

Drafter: **tkuczens**

Subject: **Courts - civil procedure**

Addl. Drafters:

Extra Copies:

Submit via email: **YES**

Requester's email: **Sen.Galloway@legis.wisconsin.gov**

Carbon copy (CC:) to: **tracy.kuczenski@legis.wisconsin.gov**

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

Change definition of relative

Instructions:

See attached

Drafting History:

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/?							
/1	tkuczens 05/31/2011	kfollett 05/31/2011	rschluet 05/31/2011	_____	sbasford 05/31/2011	sbasford 05/31/2011	

FE Sent For:

<END>

2011 DRAFTING REQUEST

Senate Amendment (SA-SB103)

Received: **05/31/2011**

Received By: **tkuczens**

Wanted: **As time permits**

Companion to LRB:

For: **Pam Galloway (608) 266-2502**

By/Representing: **Jennifer**

May Contact:

Drafter: **tkuczens**

Subject: **Courts - civil procedure**

Addl. Drafters:

Extra Copies:

Submit via email: **YES**

Requester's email: **Sen.Galloway@legis.wisconsin.gov**

Carbon copy (CC:) to: **tracy.kuczenski@legis.wisconsin.gov**

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given


Topic:

Change definition of relative

Instructions:

See attached

Drafting History:

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/?	tkuczens	11/gf 5/31		_____	_____		

FE Sent For:

<END>

Kuczenski, Tracy

From: Esser, Jennifer
Sent: Tuesday, May 31, 2011 12:18 PM
To: Kuczenski, Tracy
Cc: Searing, Eric; Scholz, AJ; Reader, Chris
Subject: FW: Apology bills
Importance: High

Tracy,

I just left you a message, but SB 103 (LRB-1607/2) and AB 147 (LRB-0769/2) were not drafted identically. The error I see is on page 2, line 3 where "relative" is defined. We need SB 103 to match AB 147 to broaden the definition of relative.

So I will need a simple amendment drafted asap...the Senate Committee needs 24 hours to notify members of any amendments, so can you have this drafted by the end of business today?

Thanks,

Jen
Office of Sen. Galloway
6-2502

From: Mark Grapentine [mailto:mark.grapentine@wismed.org]
Sent: Tuesday, May 31, 2011 10:08 AM
To: Esser, Jennifer; Scholz, AJ
Subject: Apology bills

Jen & AJ:

Thanks again to you and your bosses for introducing AB 147 and SB 103 – very much appreciate our moving forward on this issue.

As I was prepping for the hearings this week, I noticed that there's a difference between the two bills: the Assembly version defines "relative" by citing s.106.50(1m)(q), while the Senate version cites s.146.34(1)(j). The Assembly citation is the latest thinking on this sub-issue, I believe – it's a longer list of family members. The Senate citation was what was originally used back in the 2005 version of the legislation, I believe, so I understand why that section was used.

Anyway, I'm pretty sure we prefer the s.106.50(1m)(q) reference, although it's certainly not a deal-breaker to have the other one.

Just thought I'd let y'all know. Thanks again for your work!

mg

Mark M. Grapentine, JD
Senior VP - Government Relations
Wisconsin Medical Society
330 E. Lakeside Street
Madison, WI 53715
Office: (608) 442-3768
Cell: (608) 575-2514
FAX: (608) 442-3802
email: Mark.Grapentine@wismed.org

5/31/2011



State of Wisconsin
2011 - 2012 LEGISLATURE



LRBa11627

TKK:.....

RMR

1
kf

~~PRELIMINARY DRAFT - NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION~~
SENATE AMENDMENT,
TO 2011 SENATE BILL 103

5/31/11

1 At the locations indicated, amend the bill as follows:

2 **1.** Page 2, line 3: delete "146.34 (1) (j)" and substitute "106.50 (1m) (q)".

3 (END)