Received By: mshovers

# 2011 DRAFTING REQUEST

•	•	Т	п
ж	C 1		В
	71	Į.	ij.

Received: 05/24/2011

Wanted: As time permits  For: Frank Lasee (608) 266-3512				Companion to LRB:  By/Representing: <b>Rob</b>			
May Contact:		l. 14			Drafter: mshove	rs	
Subject:	Local Gov't - misc				Addl. Drafters:		
					Extra Copies:	EVM	
Submit v	ia email: <b>YES</b>						
Requeste	r's email:	Sen.Lasee	@legis.wisc	onsin.gov			
Carbon c	opy (CC:) to:						
Pre Topi	ic:						
No speci	fic pre topic gi	ven					
Topic:							
Allow to	wns to challen	ge in court dire	ct annexatio	on by unanimo	ous approval		
Instruct	ions:						
See attac	hed. Draft a se	nate companion	n to LRB -1	797/1; Repea	l s. 66.0217 (11) (c	<b>:</b> )	
Drafting	History:						
Vers.	<u>Drafted</u>	Reviewed	Typed	Proofed	Submitted	Jacketed	Required
/?	mshovers 05/24/2011	nnatzke 05/24/2011					S&L
/1			rschluet 05/24/20	11	mbarman 05/24/2011	mbarman 05/25/2011	
FE Sent 1	For:						

<END>

# 2011 DRAFTING REQUEST

-		٠,
1)	31	1
-		ı
	11	J

Received: 05/24/2011  Wanted: As time permits  For: Frank Lasee (608) 266-3512				Received By: mshovers  Companion to LRB:					
									By/Representing: <b>Rob</b>
				May Co		, .			Drafter: mshovers
Subject	: Local G	ov't - misc	v't - misc		Addl. Drafters:				
					Extra Copies:	EVM			
Submit	via email: YES								
Reques	ter's email:	Sen.Lasee	@legis.wisc	onsin.gov					
Carbon	copy (CC:) to:								
Pre To	pic:					•			
No spec	cific pre topic gi	ven							
Topic:						·····			
Allow t	towns to challen	ge in court dire	ect annexation	on by unanim	ous approval				
Instru	ctions:								
See atta	ached. Draft a se	enate companio	n to LRB -1	797/1; Repea	l s. 66.0217 (11) (	c)			
Draftin	ng History:								
Vers.	Drafted	Reviewed	Typed	Proofed	Submitted	Jacketed	Required		
/?	mshovers 05/24/2011	nnatzke 05/24/2011					S&L		
/1			rschluet 05/24/20	11	mbarman 05/24/2011				
FE Sen	t For:								

<END>

Received By: mshovers

# 2011 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Received: 05/24/2011

Wanted: As time permits	Companion to LRB:			
For: Frank Lasee (608) 266-3512	By/Representing: <b>Rob</b>			
May Contact:	Drafter: mshovers			
Subject: Local Gov't - misc	Addl. Drafters:			
	Extra Copies:	EVM		
Submit via email: YES				
Requester's email: Sen.Lasee@legis.wisconsin.gov				
Carbon copy (CC:) to:				
Pre Topic:				
No specific pre topic given				
Topic:				
Allow towns to challenge in court direct annexation by unanimo	ous approval			
Instructions:				
See attached. Draft a senate companion to LRB -1797/1; Repeal	l s. 66.0217 (11) (c)			
Drafting History:			4 10 10 100	
Vers. Drafted Reviewed Typed Proofed	Submitted	Jacketed	Required	
Vers. Drafted Reviewed Typed Proofed  /? mshovers / 5/24// ——————————————————————————————————				
/? mshovers $\frac{15}{1}$ $\frac{1}{5}$ $\frac$				
FE Sent For: SEND>				
END>				

# Shovers, Marc

From: 🎤

Kovach, Robert

Sent:

Tuesday, May 24, 2011 2:50 PM Shovers, Marc

To: Cc:

Kruse, Jon

Subject:

Companion Bill for Rep Ott's Annexation Bill LRB 1787

Marc,

Could you please draft the Senate Companion for Rep. Ott's Annexation Bill LRB 1787?

When you get the Senate LRB number, can you email it to me ASAP.

Thanks,

Rob Kovach Chief of Staff Office of Senator Frank Lasee

### Shovers, Marc

From:

Kruse, Jon

Sent:

Tuesday, May 24, 2011 3:04 PM

To:

Shovers, Marc

Subject:

FW: Message from "320NORTH"

Jon Kruse Chief of Staff Office of Senator Frank Lasee

phone: 608-266-3512

jon.kruse@legis.wisconsin.gov

----Original Message----

From: Ruby, Erin

Sent: Friday, May 20, 2011 11:57 AM

To: Vander Leest, John

Cc: Kruse, Jon

Subject: RE: Message from "320NORTH"

One final thought - In the event Sen. Lasee is interested in introducing a companion bill, if you could make the drafting request (Marc Shovers at LRB did the draft for us) and get me an LRB number by Wednesday, I can just include that information in the memo and save your office the work of sending out a separate message regarding the companion and letting members know they will be signed on to both bills.

#### Erin

----Original Message----

From: Ruby, Erin

Sent: Friday, May 20, 2011 11:50 AM

To: Vander Leest, John

Cc: Kruse, Jon

Subject: FW: Message from "320NORTH"

John,

Thank you for your call!

You will find attached a copy of the DOA Public Interest Review letter sent to Kaukauna and the Town of Harrison (pg. 1 &2), as well as a brief synopsis on the role of the Department in the review of annexations (pg. 3).

Please do not hesitate to let me know if you have any questions. I will be in the office until about 3:00 this afternoon. I'll be out on Monday and Tuesday, but I will be checking my email during that time. You can also reach me on my cell phone at 608-279-3073. I'll be back in the office on Wednesday morning, and would like to get the draft circulating for co-sponsorship by noon that day. (Given the holiday weekend and work on the budget, I'd anticipate a 2-week circulation.)

Thanks again! Have a good weekend! Erin

Erin Ruby Research Assistant Office of State Representative Al Ott 608.266.5831 erin.ruby@legis.wi.gov



# State of Misconsin 2011 – 2012 **LEGISLATURE** Today



MES:sbb&ild:rs

# **2011 BILL**

SAV

1

2

3



AN ACT to repeal 66.0217 (11) (c); and to amend 66.0307 (4m) (c) 2. (intro.) of

the statutes; relating to: authorizing towns to challenge certain city or village

annexation procedures.

### Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

Currently, town territory that is contiguous to any city or village may be annexed to that city or village under several methods if, in general, some of the city's or village's territory is in the same county as the territory to be annexed, unless both the town and county boards approve of the proposed annexation, and the city or village agrees to make limited payments to the town based on property taxes that the town levied on the annexed territory.

One of the methods of annexation is direct annexation by unanimous approval. If a petition for direct annexation by unanimous approval signed by all of the electors residing in the territory and the owners of all of the real property in the territory is filed with the city or village clerk and the town clerk of all of the involved towns, along with a scale map and legal description of the property to be annexed, the governing body of the city or village may, generally, annex the property by a two-thirds vote of the body. Such an annexation, however, is subject to Department of Administration advisory review as if the annexation petition were for direct, but not unanimous, annexation or annexation by referendum. In addition, such an annexation is limited under current law to town land that is contiguous to the annexing city or village.

Under current law, a town may not challenge in court, on any grounds, any direct annexation by unanimous approval as well as several other types of BILL

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

annexations. This bill changes current law by repealing the provision that prohibits a town from challenging in court direct annexation by unanimous approval.

For further information see the **state and local** fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

# The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

**SECTION 1.** 66.0217 (11) (c) of the statutes is repealed.

**SECTION 2.** 66.0307 (4m) (c) 2. (intro.) of the statutes is amended to read:

66.0307 (4m) (c) 2. (intro.) If a nonpetitioning city or village refuses under par. (b) to engage in mediation, an annexation of territory of the petitioning town to the nonpetitioning city or village that is commenced during the shorter of the following periods shall be reviewed by the department in the manner described under s. 66.0217 (6), regardless of the population of the county in which the annexation proceeding is commenced, and, notwithstanding s. 66.0217 (11) (c), may be contested by the town if the department determines that the annexation is not in the public interest:

### SECTION 3. Initial applicability.

(1) This act first applies to any annexation that commences on the effective date of this subsection.

14 (END)

### Barman, Mike

From:

Kruse, Jon

Sent:

Wednesday, May 25, 2011 11:27 AM

To:

LRB.Legal

Subject: Draft Review: LRB 11-2135/1 Topic: Allow towns to challenge in court direct annexation by

unanimous approval

Please Jacket LRB 11-2135/1 for the SENATE.