

### Fiscal Estimate - 2011 Session

Original     
  Updated     
  Corrected     
  Supplemental

<b>LRB Number</b> <b>11-2135/1</b>	<b>Introduction Number</b> <b>SB-129</b>
<b>Description</b> Authorizing towns to challenge certain city or village annexation procedures	
<b>Fiscal Effect</b>	
<b>State:</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/> No State Fiscal Effect <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate	
<input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Appropriations <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Appropriations <input type="checkbox"/> Create New Appropriations	<input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Revenues <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Revenues  <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs - May be possible to absorb within agency's budget <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs
<b>Local:</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/> No Local Government Costs <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate	
1. <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory 2. <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory	3. <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Revenue <input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory 4. <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Revenue <input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory
5. Types of Local Government Units Affected <input type="checkbox"/> Towns <input type="checkbox"/> Village <input type="checkbox"/> Cities <input type="checkbox"/> Counties <input type="checkbox"/> Others <input type="checkbox"/> School Districts <input type="checkbox"/> WTCS Districts	
<b>Fund Sources Affected</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/> GPR <input type="checkbox"/> FED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PRO <input type="checkbox"/> PRS <input type="checkbox"/> SEG <input type="checkbox"/> SEGS s. 20.505(1)(iu), Stats.	
<b>Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations</b>	
<b>Agency/Prepared By</b>	<b>Authorized Signature</b>
DOA/ Cathleen Connolly (608) 261-2292	Jana Steinmetz (608) 266-1359
<b>Date</b>	
6/21/2011	

## Fiscal Estimate Narratives

DOA 6/21/2011

LRB Number	11-2135/1	Introduction Number	SB-129	Estimate Type	Original
<b>Description</b> Authorizing towns to challenge certain city or village annexation procedures					

### Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

Senate Bill (SB) 129 repeals the provisions which prohibit towns from challenging court annexations done by unanimous approval of all the electors residing in the territory and all the property owners in the territory. SB 129 also will enable towns to trigger Department of Administration (DOA) review of annexations in rural counties (less than 50,000 persons).

The repeal of the provision prohibiting towns from challenging unanimous approval annexations will not directly impact DOA. However the provision requiring DOA review of annexations in rural communities could generate additional workload, and program revenue fees collected from the parties seeking review.

An increase in reviewing annexations in rural counties could increase annual program revenue because currently the Department does not review in rural counties. If the town petitions the annexing city or village for mediation, and the city or village refuses, then the Department would review the annexation, and if it finds the annexation to be against the public interest, the town may contest the annexation. Because towns typically are opposed to losing land, many rural towns may conceivably utilize this new procedure, resulting in increased reviews and program revenue for DOA.

This bill enables towns in rural counties to utilize the mediation procedure in s. 66.0307(4m) Wis. Stats. Increased mediations will have a limited fiscal impact on DOA due to the department's administrative responsibilities related to the mediation process.

#### Local

In the short run, local government costs may increase due to legal costs defending annexation activities under this bill. However, local governments may benefit over the long term from improved annexations that reduce or eliminate haphazard and problematic annexation configurations.

### Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Indeterminate - State

Indeterminate - Local