

## State of Misconsin

# RESEARCH APPENDIX PLEASE DO NOT REMOVE FROM DRAFTING FILE

Date Transfer Requested: 02/02/2012

(Per: TKK)

# Compile Draft – Appendix D ... Part 01 of 01

A ➡ The 2011 drafting file for LRB-3795

C **☞** The 2011 drafting file for LRB-3797

**B** ★ The 2011 drafting file for LRB-3796

**D ☞** The 2011 drafting file for LRB-3799

2011 LRB-3799 has been copied/added to the drafting file for 2011 LRB-4010/1

#### 2011 DRAFTING REQUEST

**	٠		1
ж		H	ı
13	1	H	ı

Received: 12/30/2011			Received By: tkuczens					
Wanted: As time permits			Companion to LRB:					
For: Legislative Council - LRC  May Contact: Laura Rose 266-9791				By/Representing: Laura Rose				
				Drafter: tkuczens				
Subject:	Courts	- civil procedu	re		Addl. Drafters:			
					Extra Copies:			
Submit	via email: <b>YES</b>						•	
Requester's email: Laura.Rose@legis.wisconsin.gov			sconsin.gov					
Carbon copy (CC:) to: tracy.kuczenski@legis.wisconsin.g Rep.Ottj@legis.wisconsin.gov				OV				
Pre Top	oic:						50 v	
No spec	ific pre topic gi	ven						
Topic:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Grant m	unicipal judges	authority to or	der default j	udgment at tr	ial stage			
Instruc	tions:							
See atta	ched							
Draftin	g History:							
<u>Vers.</u>	Drafted	Reviewed	Typed	Proofed	Submitted	<u>Jacketed</u>	Required	
/? /P1	tkuczens 01/19/2012	jdyer 01/23/2012	rschluet 01/23/20	12	sbasford 01/23/2012 Iparisi 01/23/2012			
FE Sent	For:							

<END>

#### 2011 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Received: 12/30/2011				Received By: tkuczens				
Wanted: As time permits				Companion to LRB:				
For: Legislative Council - LRC				By/Representing: Laura Rose				
May Contact: Laura Rose 266-9791				Drafter: tkuczer	ns			
Subject:	Courts	- civil procedu	re		Addl. Drafters:			
					Extra Copies:			
Submit v	ia email: YES							
Requeste	er's email:	Laura.Ros	e@legis.wi	sconsin.gov				
Carbon c	copy (CC:) to:	tracy.kucze Rep.Ott@l	legis.wiscor	s.wisconsin.g		, 7	in CH+	
Pre Top	ic:		Change	ea To	Rep. UHTC	<u> </u>		
No speci	fic pre topic gi	ven						
Topic:								
Grant mu	unicipal judges	authority to or	der default j	judgment at tr	rial stage			
Instruct	ions:			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
See attac	hed							
Drafting	g History:							
<u>Vers.</u>	Drafted	Reviewed	<u>Typed</u>	Proofed	<u>Submitted</u>	Jacketed	Required	
/? /P1	tkuczens 01/19/2012	jdyer 01/23/2012	rschluet 01/23/20	12	sbasford 01/23/2012			
FE Sent	For:							

<END>

#### 2011 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Received: 12/30/2011				Received By: tkuczens			
Wanted: As time permits				Companion to LRB:			
For: Legislative Council - LRC				By/Representing: Laura Rose			
May Contact: Laura Ros	se 266-9791			Drafter: tkuczens			
Subject: Courts - c	ivil procedure	<b>;</b>		Addl. Drafters:			
				Extra Copies:			
Submit via email: YES							
Requester's email:	Requester's email: Laura.Rose@legis.wisconsin.gov						
Carbon copy (CC:) to:	tracy.kuczenski@legis.wisconsin.gov Rep.Ott@legis.wisconsin.gov						
Pre Topic:	<u></u>	West - #- #					
No specific pre topic give	n						
Topic:			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Grant municipal judges authority to order default judgment at trial stage							
Instructions:							
See attached							
Drafting History:							
<u>Vers.</u> <u>Drafted</u> F	Reviewed	Kpod	Proofed	Submitted	Jacketed	Required	
Vers. Drafted F /? tkuczens (	·23 jld						
FE Sent For:	FE Sent For: <end></end>						

#### Kuczenski, Tracy

From: Sent:

Rose, Laura

Sent: To: Friday, December 30, 2011 10:57 AM Kuczenski, Tracy; Hurley, Peggy

Subject:

Law revision bill request

Attachments:

1394\_001.pdf

Hi Tracy and Peggy



1394\_001.pdf (140 KB)

Representative Jim Ott, who is the Assembly Co-chair of the Law Revision Committee, asked me to get these items drafted as remedial legislation. This request will be by the Law Revision Committee, as opposed to a state agency. The statute seems to allow for that (see s. 13.83(1)(c)4., Stats.).

I hope it's okay that I sent it to both of you since you are both listed under "Courts".

I'll have another Ott request soon from the same group, but it won't be remedial. I'll send that in a separate email.

Thank you, and happy new year!

#### Laura

Laura D. Rose, Deputy Director Wisconsin Legislative Council One East Main Street, Suite 401 PO Box 2536 Madison, WI 53701-2536 tel: 608.266.9791 fax: 608.266.3830 laura.rose@legis.wisconsin.gov

## MEMORANDUM TO MEMBERS OF THE SENATE AND ASSEMBLY FROM THE WISCONSIN MUNICIPAL JUDGES ASSOCIATION December, 2011

The Wisconsin Municipal Judges Association has reviewed the implementation of 2009 Wis. Act 402 since its effective date of January 1, 2011. As might be expected with a bill which ran 49 pages, there are several areas that need to be addressed in a trailer bill. It is our understanding that a number of issues might be addressed by a bill put forward by the Law Revision Committee. They are as follows:



4) Specific authority is given to the judge in secs. 800.035 and 800.045 to order default judgments at the initial appearance and pretrial stages. Similar authority should be given at the trial stage. This would be accomplished by the creation of a new sec. 800.08(5) which would authorize the judge to order judgment under sec. 800.09 if a defendant fails to appear at trial.

800.035/9)



For information, please contact Judge Dan Koval, City of Madison Municipal Court, (608) 264-9282, <a href="mailto:dkoval@cityofmadison.com">dkoval@cityofmadison.com</a> or James Gramling, (414) 412-7962, <a href="mailto:velagram2@aol.com">velagram2@aol.com</a>.



#### State of Wisconsin 2011 - 2012 LEGISLATURE



#### PRELIMINARY DRAFT - NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

1/19/12

AN ACT ...; relating to: authority of a municipal court judge to order a default

judgment against a defendant who fails to appear at trial. 2

#### Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

Current law requires a defendant in municipal court to make an initial court appearance in person or in a written response to the citation or complaint. Current law permits a municipal court judge to order a default judgment against a defendant who fails to make an initial appearance or to make a deposit in the amount set for the violation. This bill permits a municipal court judge to order a default judgment against a defendant who fails to appear at trial.

#### The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

- **SECTION 1.** 800.08 (5) of the statutes is created to read:
- 800.08 (5) If a defendant does not appear at trial, the court may enter a default 4 judgment under s. 800.09. 5

\*\*\*\*NOTE: I assumed that, by the trial state, the jurisdiction of the municipal court under s. 800.01 (2) would have been established. For that reason, I did not include a cross—reference to s. 800.01 (2) in this proposed s. 800.0 (5). Please let me know if I have assumed incorrectly.



3



## State of Misconsin 2011 - 2012 LEGISLATURE



#### PRELIMINARY DRAFT - NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

1	AN ACT to create 800.08 (5) of the statutes; relating to: authority of a municipal
2	court judge to order a default judgment against a defendant who fails to appear
3	at trial.

#### Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

Current law requires a defendant in municipal court to make an initial court appearance in person or in a written response to the citation or complaint. Current law permits a municipal court judge to order a default judgment against a defendant who fails to make an initial appearance or to make a deposit in the amount set for the violation. This bill permits a municipal court judge to order a default judgment against a defendant who fails to appear at trial.

### The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

- **Section 1.** 800.08 (5) of the statutes is created to read:
- 5 800.08 (5) If a defendant does not appear at trial, the court may enter a default
- 6 judgment under s. 800.09.

4

\*\*\*Note: I assumed that, by the trial state, the jurisdiction of the municipal court under s. 800.01 (2) would have been established. For that reason, I did not include a

e

cross-reference to s.  $800.01\,(2)$  in this proposed s.  $800.08\,(5)$ . Please let me know if I have assumed incorrectly.

(END)

1