## Fiscal Estimate - 2011 Session

X	Original		Updated		Correct	ed		Supple	emental
LRB	Number	11-4174/1		Introd	duction	Numbei	r S	B-525	
Descr Excep		g license requi	rements						
Fiscal	Effect								
	No State Fisco Indeterminate Increase E Appropriat Decrease Appropriat Create Ne	Existing tions Existing	Rever Decre	ase Existing		-	withir Yes	n agency	e possible 's budget □No
	No Local Gov Indeterminate 1. Increase Permiss 2. Decrease	e Costs sive 🔲 Mandato	3.  Increa	ase Revenu	ndatory e	5.Types of L Governme Towns Count School	ent Uns [	nits Affect Village Others WTCS District	e Cities
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations									
GI	PR  FED	⊠ PRO [	PRS S	SEG 🔲 SI	EGS 20.3	370 (1)(mi)		-	
Agen	cy/Prepared	Ву		Authorized	Signatur	е			Date
DNR/ Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794 Joe P				Joe Polasek	Polasek (608) 266-2794				

# Fiscal Estimate Narratives DNR 3/13/2012

LRB Number	11-4174/1	Introduction Number	SB-525	Estimate Type	Original				
Description									
Exceptions to fishing license requirements									

#### **Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate**

Current law, with certain exceptions, requires a person who fishes in the waters of this state to hold a fishing license issued by the Department of Natural Resources (DNR). Current law also requires a person, before stocking fish in the waters of this state, to obtain a permit from DNR and to have the fish certified by a qualified inspector as meeting certain fish health standards. Current law also prohibits the stocking of any fish that is a species of lake sturgeon, regardless of whether a permit has been issued.

This bill creates an exception to the general fishing license requirements under current law. Under the bill, no fishing license is required for a person to fish in a pond that is entirely confined on private property if the owner of the private property gives the person permission to fish in the pond.

Under current law, a person may not control aquatic plants in waters of this state by the use of chemicals without an aquatic plant management (APM) permit issued by DNR. This bill allows a person to use an algaecide or herbicide in a pond that is entirely confined on the person's private property without obtaining a permit from DNR.

#### **Assumptions**

- 1. To the extent that individuals choose to fish in ponds that are entirely confined on private property, the bill would result in an indeterminate reduction in fishing license revenues.
- 2. Bureau of Law Enforcement--any additional impacts in staff time to train and inform staff and the public that they do not need a fishing license would be offset with reduced effort needed to check for license compliance on these waters, although all other rules on size, bag and season limits would still apply and will still need to be enforced.
- 3. Bureau of Watershed Management--the Department estimates that the permitting exemption for applying algaecides and herbicides in private ponds would apply to an estimated 629 ponds. Assuming that an Aquatic Plant Management (APM) permit would cost \$20 each, the exemption would result in an estimated loss of APM permit revenue of \$12,600, or roughly 10% of APM revenues generated annually. Since APM fees support field LTEs managing aquatic plant issues in the state, the revenue loss would result in a reduction of approximately one LTE statewide, or a 12% reduction (from 8 to 7) in staffing capacity.

**Long-Range Fiscal Implications** 

### Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2011 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

X	Original		Updated			Corrected			Supplemental		
LRB Number 11-4174/1					Intro	duction N	SB-525				
<b>Descr</b> Except		g license requ	uirements								
I. One annua	-time Costs o	or Revenue I effect):	mpacts for	Sta	te and/or	Local Gove	rnme	nt (do	not include in		
II. Ann	nualized Cos	ts:			Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:						
						Increased Co	osts		Decreased Costs		
	te Costs by										
	<del></del>	- Salaries and	d Fringes				\$	\$			
<del> </del>	E Position Ch										
		- Other Costs	\$								
<del></del>	al Assistance										
<del></del>		s or Organiza									
		Costs by Cat					\$		\$		
	B. State Costs by Source of Funds										
GPF											
FED											
	D/PRS										
<del>                                     </del>	S/SEG-S										
III. Sta reveni	te Revenues ues (e.g., tax	s - Complete increase, de	this only wl ecrease in li	hen icen	proposa se fee, e	ts.)		decrea	se state		
L						Increased I			Decreased Rev		
<del></del>	R Taxes						\$		\$		
	REarned										
FED	····										
<b>├</b> ─	D/PRS								-12,600		
	S/SEG-S			_				***********			
	TOTAL State Revenues						\$	\$-12,600			
			NET ANNUA	LIZ	ED FISC	<del></del>					
<u></u>						<u>S</u> 1	tate				
	NET CHANGE IN COSTS						\$	\$			
NEIC	HANGE IN R	EVENUE				\$-12,0	600		\$		
<b>A</b>	/D			_							
Agency/Prepared By			Au	uthorized Signature				Date			
DNR/ Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794 Joe			Jo€	e Polasek (608) 266-2794				3/13/2012			