

State of Misconsin LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

Appendix D

LRB BILL HISTORY RESEARCH APPENDIX

The drafting file for 2011 LRB-0820 (For: DOA)

has been copied/added to the drafting file for

2011 <u>LRB-0991</u>

(For: Senator Fitzgerald)

Are These "Companion Bills" ?? ... No

RESEARCH APPENDIX - PLEASE KEEP WITH THE DRAFTING FILE

Date Transfer Requested: 01/21/2011 (Per: MGG & CMH)

The attached 2009 draft was incorporated into the new 2009 draft listed above. For research purposes, this cover sheet and the attached drafting file were copied, and added, as a appendix, to the new 2009 drafting file. If introduced this section will be scanned and added, as a separate appendix, to the electronic drafting file folder.



State of Misconsin

RESEARCH APPENDIX PLEASE DO NOT REMOVE FROM DRAFTING FILE

Date Transfer Requested: 12/29/2010

(Per: CMH)

A ** The 2011 drafting file for LRB-0613

B * The 2011 drafting file for LRB-0614

C ► The 2011 drafting file for LRB-0615

D ★ The 2011 drafting file for LRB-0616

[™]Compile Draft – Appendix C

has been copied/added to the drafting file for

2011 LRB-0820 (Jr1 Special Session Draft)

2011 DRAFTING REQUEST

	_	_	
13	•	1	3
ж		ı	

Received: 12/01/2010			Received By: gmalaise				
Wanted: Today			Companion to LRB:				
For: Administration			By/Representing: Kevin Moore				
May Contact:			Drafter: gmalaise				
Subject: Administrative Law					Addl. Drafters:	rs: phurley	
					Extra Copies:	David Sc Jennifer	hmiedeke Kraus
Submit v	ia email: YES	i.					
Requeste	r's email:	jennifer.kr	aus@wisco	nsin.gov			
Carbon c	opy (CC:) to:	david.schn	niedicke@w	isconsin.gov			
Pre Topi	c:						
No specif	fic pre topic gi	ven					
Topic:							
Venue in	declaratory jud	dgment actions	and actions	in which state	e is defendant		
Instructi	ions:			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
See attacl	ned				•		
Drafting	History:						
Vers.	Drafted	Reviewed	Typed	Proofed	Submitted	<u>Jacketed</u>	Required
/?	gmalaise 12/02/2010 phurley 12/03/2010	csicilia 12/03/2010					S&L
/1			jfrantze 12/03/201	0	sbasford 12/03/2010		

LRB-0615 12/03/2010 12:29:16 PM Page 2

FE Sent For:

 $\langle END \rangle$

2011 DRAFTING REQUEST

B	ı	l	ļ

Received: 12/01/2010

Wanted: Today

For: Governor-elect 261-9184

May Contact:

Subject:

Administrative Law

Received By: gmalaise

Companion to LRB:

By/Representing: Kevin Moore

Drafter: gmalaise

Addl. Drafters:

phurley

Extra Copies:

Submit via email: YES

Requester's email:

kevin.moore@wisconsin.gov

Carbon copy (CC:) to:

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

Venue in declaratory judgment actions and actions in which state is defendant

Instructions:

See attached

FE Sent For:

Drafting History:

Vers.

Drafted

Reviewed

Typed

Proofec

Submitted

Jacketed

Required

/?

omalaise

maiaise

(0)

<END>

Malaise, Gordon

From:

Moore, Kevin E - GOT [Kevin.Moore@wisconsin.gov]

Sent:

Monday, November 29, 2010 1:45 PM

To:

Malaise, Gordon

Cc:

Champagne, Rick

Subject:

Draft Request 3 of 4

Attachments: Dane County Circuit Court Reform.pdf

Good Afternoon Gordon-

Please find attached sample drafting language related to Dane County Court Jurisdiction:

Thank you.

Kevin Moore
Policy Director
Office of Governor-Elect Scott Walker
608-261-9184
kevin.moore@wisconsin.gov
www.walkertransition.wi.gov





Statutory Language

227.40 Declaratory judgment proceedings.

(1) Except as provided in <u>sub. (2)</u> the exclusive means of judicial review of the validity of a rule shall be an action for declaratory judgment as to the validity of such rule brought in the circuit court for Dane County the county where the plaintiff resides. The officer, board, commission or other agency whose rule is involved shall be the party defendant. The summons in such action shall be served as provided in <u>s. 801.11 (3)</u> and by delivering a copy to such officer or to the secretary or clerk of the agency where composed of more than one person or to any member of such agency. The court shall render a declaratory judgment in such action only when it appears from the complaint and the supporting evidence that the rule or its threatened application interferes with or impairs, or threatens to interfere with or impair, the legal rights and privileges of the plaintiff. A declaratory judgment may be rendered whether or not the plaintiff has first requested the agency to pass upon the validity of the rule in question.

801.50 Venue in civil actions or special proceedings.

(3) All actions in which the sole defendant is the state, any state board or commission or any state officer, employee or agent in an official capacity shall be venued in Dane County in the county where the plaintiff resides unless another venue is specifically authorized by law. If the plaintiff is a nonresident, venue shall be the circuit court for the county where the dispute arose.



State of Misconsin **2011 - 2012 LEGISLATURE**









AN ACT /:, relating to: venue in a declaratory judgment action seeking judicial 1

2 review of the validity of an administrative rule and in an action in which the

3 sole defendant is the state.

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

Under current law, subject to certain exceptions, the exclusive means of judicial review of the validity of an administrative rule is by an action for declaratory judgment as to the validity of the rule brought in the circuit court for Dane County. This bill permits a declaratory judgment action seeking judicial review of the validity of an administrative rule to be brought in the county where the party asserting the invalidity of the rule resides or has its principal place of business.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. 227.40 (1) of the statutes is amended to read:

227.40 (1) Except as provided in sub. (2), the exclusive means of judicial review of the validity of a rule shall be an action for declaratory judgment as to the validity of such the rule brought in the circuit court of the county where the party asserting

the invalidity of the rule resides or has its principal place of business or, if that party

8

4

5

6

is a nonresident or does not have its principal place of business in this state, in the circuit court for Dane County. The officer, board, commission or other agency whose rule is involved shall be the party defendant. The summons in such the action shall be served as provided in s. 801.11 (3) and by delivering a copy to such that officer or, if the agency is composed of more than one person, to the secretary or clerk of the agency where composed of more than one person or to any member of such the agency. The court shall render a declaratory judgment in such the action only when it appears from the complaint and the supporting evidence that the rule or its threatened application interferes with or impairs, or threatens to interfere with or impair, the legal rights and privileges of the plaintiff. A declaratory judgment may be rendered whether or not the plaintiff has first requested the agency to pass upon the validity of the rule in question.

2011-2012 DRAFTING INSERT FROM THE

LRB-0615/?ins

LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

or is not a natural

INSERT ANALYSISPJH:

Under current law, any civil action or special proceeding in which the state, a state board or commission, or a state officer, employee, or agent acting in his or her official capacity is the sole defendant, is venued in Dane County. Under the bill, those actions are venued in the county where the plaintiff resides unless a different venue is specifically authorized by law. Under the bill, if a plaintiff is not a resident of the state, the action is venued in the county where the dispute arose.

INSERT BILLPJH:

SECTION 1. 801.50 (3) of the statutes is amended to read:

or commission or any state officer, employee or agent in an official capacity shall be venued in Dane County the county where the plaintiff resides unless another venue is specifically authorized by law. If the plaintiff is a nonresident, the action shall be venued in the county where the dispute arose.

History: 1983 a. 204, 228, 389, 538; 1985 a. 234, 291; 1987 a. 208; 1993 a. 318, 319; 1997 a. 283; 1999 a. 150 s. 672; 2001 a. 30 s. 108; 2001 a. 109; 2007 a. 1; 2009 a

INSERT INITIALAPPH:

(2) VENUE IN CERTAIN ACTIONS AGAINST THE STATE. The treatment of section 801.50 (3) of the statutes first applies to an action commenced on the effective date of this subsection.

use autonomber, not hard number

of the second

DRAFTER'S NOTE FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRB-0615/1dn GMMa::::::...

_ date -

Kevin:

A person bringing a declaratory judgment action under s. 227.40 is not always going to be a resident of this state. That person might be a nonresident or might not have his or her principal place of business in this state. Accordingly, this draft retains venue in Dane County for nonresidents.

Gordon M. Malaise Senior Legislative Attorney Phone: (608) 266-9738

E-mail: gordon.malaise@legis.wisconsin.gov

DRAFTER'S NOTE FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRB-0615/1dn GMM:cjs:jf

December 3, 2010

Kevin:

A person bringing a declaratory judgment action under s. 227.40 is not always going to be a resident of this state. That person might be a nonresident or might not have his or her principal place of business in this state. Accordingly, this draft retains venue in Dane County for nonresidents.

Gordon M. Malaise Senior Legislative Attorney Phone: (608) 266-9738

 $E-mail: \ gordon.malaise@legis.wisconsin.gov$



1

2

3

State of Misconsin 2011 - 2012 LEGISLATURE



2011 BILL

AN ACT to amend 227.40 (1) and 801.50 (3) of the statutes; relating to: venue in a declaratory judgment action seeking judicial review of the validity of an administrative rule and in an action in which the sole defendant is the state.

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

Under current law, subject to certain exceptions, the exclusive means of judicial review of the validity of an administrative rule is by an action for declaratory judgment as to the validity of the rule brought in the circuit court for Dane County. This bill permits a declaratory judgment action seeking judicial review of the validity of an administrative rule to be brought in the county where the party asserting the invalidity of the rule resides or has its principal place of business.

Under current law, any civil action or special proceeding in which the state, a state board or commission, or a state officer, employee, or agent acting in his or her official capacity is the sole defendant, is venued in Dane County. Under the bill, those actions are venued in the county where the plaintiff resides unless a different venue is specifically authorized by law. Under the bill, if a plaintiff is not a resident of the state or is not a natural person, the action is venued in the county where the dispute arose.

For further information see the **state and local** fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

BILL

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

SECTION 1. 227.40 (1) of the statutes is amended to read:

227.40 (1) Except as provided in sub. (2), the exclusive means of judicial review of the validity of a rule shall be an action for declaratory judgment as to the validity of such the rule brought in the circuit court for the county where the party asserting the invalidity of the rule resides or has its principal place of business or, if that party is a nonresident or does not have its principal place of business in this state, in the circuit court for Dane County. The officer, board, commission or other agency whose rule is involved shall be the party defendant. The summons in such the action shall be served as provided in s. 801.11 (3) and by delivering a copy to such that officer or, if the agency is composed of more than one person, to the secretary or clerk of the agency where composed of more than one person or to any member of such the agency. The court shall render a declaratory judgment in such the action only when it appears from the complaint and the supporting evidence that the rule or its threatened application interferes with or impairs, or threatens to interfere with or impair, the legal rights and privileges of the plaintiff. A declaratory judgment may be rendered whether or not the plaintiff has first requested the agency to pass upon the validity of the rule in question.

SECTION 2. 801.50 (3) of the statutes is amended to read:

801.50 (3) All actions in which the sole defendant is the state, any state board or commission or any state officer, employee or agent in an official capacity shall be venued in Dane County the county where the plaintiff resides unless another venue is specifically authorized by law. If the plaintiff is a nonresident or is not a natural person, the action shall be venued in the county where the dispute arose.

SECTION 9309. Initial applicability; Circuit Courts.

BILL	
------	--

7

1	(1) VENUE IN DECLARATORY JUDGMENT ACTIONS. The treatment of section 227.40
2	(1) of the statutes first applies to an action for declaratory judgment commenced on
3	the effective date of this subsection.
4	(2) VENUE IN CERTAIN ACTIONS AGAINST THE STATE. The treatment of section
5	801.50 (3) of the statutes first applies to an action commenced on the effective date
6	of this subsection.
7	(END)