### 2011 DRAFTING REQUEST

Received By: agary

1	n	٠	¥	٦
	ĸ		1	3
- 1				1

Received: 12/16/2010

Wanted: A	As time permi	its			Companion to L	RB:	
For: Mary Lazich (608) 266-5400				By/Representing: Tricia Sieg			
May Contact:				Drafter: agary			
Subject.	Subject: Transportation - other Transportation - traffic				Addl. Drafters:		
					Extra Copies:		
Submit vi	a email: YES						
Requester	's email:	Sen.Lazich	@legis.wise	consin.gov			
Carbon co	opy (CC:) to:	aaron.gary	@legis.wisc	consin.gov	•		
Pre Topic	c:						
No specif	ic pre topic gi	ven					
Topic:							
Repeal of	Traffic Stop I	Data Collection	Requiremen	nts from Act	28		
Instructi	ons:						
Repeal all	l traffic stop d	ata collection pr	ovisions fro	om Act 28			
Drafting	History:	`			73.99		
<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	Reviewed	Typed	Proofed	Submitted	Jacketed	Required
/?	agary 12/17/2010	kfollett 12/17/2010					S&L
/1			rschluet 12/21/201	0	cduerst 12/21/2010	lparisi 01/28/2011	
FE Sent F	/	t Intro		<end></end>			

## 2011 DRAFTING REQUEST

1	n	•	1	П
1	ь	ı	1	П

Received: 12/16/2010

Received: 12/16/2010  Wanted: As time permits  For: Mary Lazich (608) 266-5400				Received By: agary Companion to LRB:				
				May Co			Drafter: agary	
Subject: <b>Transportation - Transportation -</b>					Addl. Drafters:			
					Extra Copies:			
Submit	via email: YES							
Reques	ter's email:	Sen.Lazicl	ı@legis.wis	sconsin.gov				
Carbon	copy (CC:) to:	aaron.gary	w@legis.wis	sconsin.gov				
Pre To	pic:							
No spec	cific pre topic gi	ven						
Topic:								
Repeal	of Traffic Stop l	Data Collection	Requireme	ents from Act	28			
Instruc	ctions:				*			
Repeal	all traffic stop d	ata collection p	provisions fr	rom Act 28				
Draftin	ng History:							
Vers.	<u>Drafted</u>	Reviewed	Typed	Proofed	Submitted	Jacketed	Required	
/?	agary 12/17/2010	kfollett 12/17/2010					S&L	
/1			rschluet 12/21/20	10	cduerst 12/21/2010			
FE Sent	t For:							

<**END>** 

#### 2011 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Received: 12/16/2010

Received By: agary

Wanted: As time permits

Companion to LRB:

For: Mary Lazich (608) 266-5400

By/Representing: Tricia Sieg

May Contact:

Drafter: agary

Subject:

Transportation - other

Transportation - traffic laws

Addl. Drafters:

Extra Copies:

Submit via email: YES

Requester's email:

Sen.Lazich@legis.wisconsin.gov

Carbon copy (CC:) to:

aaron.gary@legis.wisconsin.gov

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

Repeal of Traffic Stop Data Collection Requirements from Act 28

**Instructions:** 

FE Sent For:

Repeal all traffic stop data collection provisions from Act 28

Reviewed

**Drafting History:** 

Vers.

Drafted

Typed

Proofed

Submitted

Jacketed

Required

/?

agary

<END>



# State of Misconsin 2011 - 2012 LEGISLATURE 12/



(RMNR)

### **2011 BILL**





AN ACT *to repeal* 16.964 (16), 20.505 (6) (kq) and (kr), 165.85 (4) (b) 1d. f. and 349.027; and *to amend* 20.505 (6) (kp) of the statutes; **relating to:** collection and analysis of motor vehicle traffic stop information and law enforcement

training standards.

#### Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

Under current law, as created in 2009 Wisconsin Act 28 (the biennial budget act), law enforcement officers must collect, at each motor vehicle traffic stop made after December 31, 2010, all information specified by rule promulgated by the Office of Justice Assistance (OJA) in the Department of Administration (DOA). This information must then be submitted by the law enforcement agency to OJA. OJA must analyze this information to determine whether the number of motor vehicle stops and searches involving racial minorities is disproportionate compared to nonminorities. OJA was required to submit its proposed rules to the Legislative Council staff by February 1, 2010. The biennial budget act also required DOA to submit a report to the Joint Committee on Finance (JCF) that includes certain information related to the development of a traffic stop information collection system. System development cannot begin until JCF approves the report. Current law, as created in the biennial budget act, also requires law enforcement training programs to provide training designed to prevent racial profiling or race-based discrimination as a basis for detaining, searching, or arresting a person.

More down new or

1

2

3

4

The bill also repeals this training requirement.

#P

LRB-0386/1

**BILL** 

the statutory

relating to the collectron and analysis of traffic stop information

hore —

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

This bill repeals all of these provisions of the biennial budget act and requires that, if the development of a traffic stop information system has already commenced, this system development must terminate on the effective date of the bill.

For further information see the *state and local* fiscal estimate, which will be

printed as an appendix to this bill.

# The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

**Section 1.** 16.964 (16) of the statutes is repealed.

SECTION 2. 20.505 (6) (kp) of the statutes is amended to read:

- 20.505 **(6)** (kp) *Data gathering and analysis*. The amounts in the schedule for gathering and analyzing statistics on the justice system, including racial disparity, uniform crime reporting, and incident–based reporting. Of each \$21.50 received under s. 814.86 (1), \$1.50 shall be credited to this appropriation account and the amounts in the schedule under pars. (kq) and (kr) shall be transferred to those appropriation accounts.
- 9 **Section 3.** 20.505 (6) (kg) and (kr) of the statutes are repealed.
- Section 4. 165.85 (4) (b) 1d. f. of the statutes is repealed.
- 11 **Section 5.** 349.027 of the statutes is repealed.
- 12 Section 6. Nonstatutory provisions.
  - (1) Any system development commenced under authority of 2009 Wisconsin Act 28, section 9101 (12x), prior to the effective date of this subsection shall terminate on the effective date of this subsection.

16

13

14

15

(END)

D. Note

DRAFTER'S NOTE FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU LRB-0007/10n ARG:kjf.md

ARG: KX

December 13, 2010 Out

ATTN: Tricia Sieg

Section 165.85 (4) (b) 1d. f. of the statutes, requiring law enforcement training related to racial profiling, was part of the provisions enacted in 2009 Wisconsin Act 28 requiring traffic stop data collection. Because this training requirement was a piece of the relevant budget draft, I have assumed that you would also like this training requirement repealed. Please let me know if I am mistaken in this assumption.

Act 28 required OJA to submit proposed rules to the Legislative Council staff by February 1, 2010, and required DOA to submit a report to JCF related to the development of a traffic stop information collection system. It is my understanding that JCF has already approved this report. Because these events have already transpired, I believe it would not be appropriate to repeal these nonstatutory provisions of Act 28. Because this draft repeals the OJA program and rule–making authority, no additional language is needed to override rules previously promulgated by OJA. However, this draft does include a nonstatutory provision requiring that all work on the data collection system be halted.

Aaron R. Gary Legislative Attorney Phone: (608) 261–6926

E-mail: aaron.gary@legis.wisconsin.gov

# DRAFTER'S NOTE FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRB-0745/1dn ARG:kjf:rs

December 21, 2010

ATTN: Tricia Sieg

Section 165.85 (4) (b) 1d. f. of the statutes, requiring law enforcement training related to racial profiling, was part of the provisions enacted in 2009 Wisconsin Act 28 requiring traffic stop data collection. Because this training requirement was a piece of the relevant budget draft, I have assumed that you would also like this training requirement repealed. Please let me know if I am mistaken in this assumption.

Act 28 required OJA to submit proposed rules to the Legislative Council staff by February 1, 2010, and required DOA to submit a report to JCF related to the development of a traffic stop information collection system. It is my understanding that JCF has already approved this report. Because these events have already transpired, I believe it would not be appropriate to repeal these nonstatutory provisions of Act 28. Because this draft repeals the OJA program and rule-making authority, no additional language is needed to override rules previously promulgated by OJA. However, this draft does include a nonstatutory provision requiring that all work on the data collection system be halted.

Aaron R. Gary Legislative Attorney Phone: (608) 261-6926

E-mail: aaron.gary@legis.wisconsin.gov

#### Barman, Mike

From: Sen.Lazich

Sent: Friday, January 28, 2011 9:21 AM

To: LRB.Lega

Subject: Draft Review: LRB 11-0745/1 Topic: Repeal of Traffic Stop Data Collection Requirements from Act

28

Please Jacket LRB 11-0745/1 for the SENATE.