Fiscal Estimate - 2011 Session

Original Dpdated	Corrected Supplemental								
LRB Number 11-2968/1	Introduction Number SB-212								
Description regulation of nursing homes, requiring the exercise of rule-making authority, and making an apropriation									
Fiscal Effect									
State: No State Fiscal Effect Indeterminate Appropriations Appropriations Decrease Existing Appropriations Appropriations Permissive Mandatory Appropriations Revenues Decrease Existing Revenues Decrease Existing Revenues Decrease Existing Revenues Decrease Existing Revenues Decrease Costs Increase Costs Increase Costs Decrease Costs S. Types of Local Government Units Affected Towns Village Cities Counties Others School Districts Districts									
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS									
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature Date								
DHS/ Elaine Velez (608) 266-9363	Andy Forsaith (608) 266-7684 10/12/2011								

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DHS 10/12/2011

LRB Number	11-2968/1	Introduction Number	SB-212	Estimate Type	Original			
Description								
regulation of nursing homes, requiring the exercise of rule-making authority, and making an apropriation								

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

Under current law, a nursing home that receives Medicare or Medicaid funding for the care of a resident is subject to both federal and state requirements. This bill prohibits the Department of Health Services (DHS) from issuing a violation of a state requirement to a nursing home that receives Medicare or Medicaid funding if DHS has cited the nursing home for a violation of a federal requirement based on the same facts.

This bill would allow DHS to seek an injunction in court to enjoin repeated violations of certain federal requirements related to operating a nursing home. Presently, DHS may only seek an injunction in court to enjoin repeated violation of certain state requirements.

The bill extends the deadline for a nursing home to request a hearing on a finding that the nursing home violated a state requirement from 10 to 60 days. The bill also makes certain changes to standards for issuing a conditional license and for suspending new admissions to a facility.

Under current law, DHS has no time limit to assess a forfeiture against a nursing home for a state requirement. Nursing homes have 10 days after receipt of the notice to pay the forfeiture, and, if they meet this deadline, receive a 35 percent reduction in the fine. This legislation would require DHS to impose a forfeiture within 120 days of notifying a nursing home of a violation or DHS loses the authority to impose a forfeiture. In addition, the legislation extends the time a nursing home has to pay the forfeiture to 60 days. The 35 percent reduction still applies. All collected forfeitures are deposited into the School Fund.

This bill will have no effect on General Fund revenues or expenditures. School Fund revenues would decrease as DHS would assess fewer forfeitures due to the ban on issuing a federal and state citation for the same facts. In calendar year 2010, DHS issued 556 forfeitures (30 class A, 520 class B, and 6 class C) with a total of \$1,546,371 forfeiture revenue deposited in the School Fund. Under the bill DHS estimates it will issue no class A violations, five class B violations, and five class C violations with an estimated \$15,000 deposited into the School Fund. This is a reduction of approximately \$1.5 Million in revenue to this fund each year.

Lastly, DHS must develop rules to establish and maintain a quality assurance and improvement committee. It is estimated that rulemaking will require 200 staff hours at a cost of \$9,500 GPR; the Department is able to absorb these one-time costs.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

None.

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2011 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

X	Original		Updated		Corrected		Supplemental	
LRB	Number	11-2968	/1	Intro	duction Nur	nber	SB-212	
apropr	tion of nursing iation				-making authori			
I. One- annua	-time Costs o	or Revenue I	mpacts for	State and/or	Local Govern	ment (do	o not include in	
II. Ann	ualized Cost	ts:			Annualized Fi	scal Imp	act on funds from:	
					Increased Cost	s	Decreased Costs	
A. Stat	te Costs by (Category						
State	e Operations	- Salaries an	d Fringes		\$;	\$	
(FTE	E Position Cha	anges)						
State	e Operations	- Other Costs	3					
Loca	al Assistance							
Aids	to Individuals	s or Organiza	tions					
TO	OTAL State (Costs by Cat	egory		\$;	\$	
B. Stat	te Costs by S	Source of Fu	nds					
GPR	}							
FED								
PRO)/PRS							
SEG	S/SEG-S							
III. Stat	te Revenues ues (e.g., tax	- Complete increase, de	this only whecrease in lie	nen proposa cense fee, et	l will increase ts.)	or decre	ase state	
					Increased Rev	v ,	Decreased Rev	
	Taxes				\$;	\$	
	R Earned							
FED								
PRO)/PRS							
	/SEG-S						-1,500,000	
TO	OTAL State F	Revenues			\$,	\$-1,500,000	
		١	IET ANNUA	LIZED FISC	AL IMPACT			
					<u>State</u>	2	<u>Local</u>	
NET C	NET CHANGE IN COSTS			\$		\$		
NET C	NET CHANGE IN REVENUE			\$-1,500,000		\$		
Agency/Prepared By Aut			Authorized S	Signature		Date		
DHS/ Elaine Velez (608) 266-9363 And			Andy Forsaith	h (608) 266-768	10/12/2011			