## DRAFTER'S NOTE FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

May 13, 2011

**Representative Weininger:** 

This version of the bill contains 2 of the 3 items we discussed on Monday. I'm still not sure what you want drafted to address the third issue you raised, namely your request that participating municipalities should be able to decide how to apportion the tax increments that are distributed by DOR. Would you like created s. 66.1105 (6) (ag) changed?

I think DOR suggested this provision, as it currently appears in the draft, so that tax increments are distributed only to cities whose part of the MJTID have a positive value increment, in addition to requiring that the overall MJTID must have a positive value increment. Am I correct that you would like to modify or delete this provision and simply allow the participating cities to decide how to distribute the tax increments generated by the MJTID, while still requiring that the overall MJTID must have a positive value increment? If the cities are unable to determine how to distribute the tax increments, would you like DOR to distribute the tax increments to each part of the MJTID based on the positive value increment generated by that part of the district, sort of like the current provision? I believe that this is the last unresolved issue in the draft.

Marc E. Shovers Managing Attorney Phone: (608) 266–0129 E-mail: marc.shovers@legis.wisconsin.gov