Fiscal Estimate - 2011 Session

Original Dpdated	Correct	ted	Supplemental				
LRB Number 11-1810/1	Introduction	n Number A	B-0122				
Description Permitting an educational agency to refuse to employ or to terminate from employment an unpardoned felon							
Fiscal Effect							
Appropriations Reve	ease Existing enues ease Existing enues		- May be possible n agency's budget □ No s				
Permissive Mandatory Perm 2. Decrease Costs 4. Decre	ease Revenue nissive Mandatory ease Revenue nissive Mandatory	5.Types of Local Government Uni Affected Towns Counties School Districts	Village Cities other ed agencies (as defined) WTCS Districts				
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS							
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature Date						
DPI/ Donald Smith (608) 267-2003	Michael Bormett (608) 266-2804 8/10/2011						

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DPI 8/10/2011

LRB Number	11-1810/1	Introduction Number	AB-0122	Estimate Type	Original	
Description Permitting an educational agency to refuse to employ or to terminate from employment an unpardoned felon						

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

Current law prohibits discrimination in employment based on conviction record. Current law specifies that it is not employment discrimination based on conviction record to refuse to employ or to terminate from employment an individual who has been convicted of a felony, misdemeanor, or other office, if the circumstances surrounding the conviction substantially relate to the circumstances of the particular job.

This bill specifies that is is not employment discrimination because of conviction record for an educational agency to refuse to employ or to terminate from employment an individual who has been convicted of a felony and who has not been pardoned for that felony, whether or not the circumstances of the felony substantially relate to the circumstances of the particular job.

State: There would be no fiscal effect to the Department of Public Instruction.

Local: It is unknown if there would be any fiscal effect on local education agencies. When discrimination is alleged and/or found, costs for investigations, litigation, etc. would drive up costs for the local education agency. If there were no claims of discrimination based on conviction record, there would be no associated costs.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications