## Fiscal Estimate - 2011 Session

| Original Updated   | Corrected   | Supplemental  |
|--|---|---|
| LRB Number 11-1062/2   | Introduction Numb   | er <b>AB-0223</b>   |
| <b>Description</b> Awarding costs in administrative agency actions |   |   |
| Fiscal Effect  |   |   |
| Appropriations Reve  | ease Existing within agen                                     | Yes No  |
| Permissive Mandatory Permis  | se Revenue Affected ssive Mandatory Towns ase Revenue Countie | cal Government Units  Village  Cities  Others  Districts WTCS Districts |
| Fund Sources Affected  ☐ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO ☐ PRS                     | Affected Ch   | a), (g) and (q)   |
| Agency/Prepared By   | Authorized Signature  | Date  |
| DATCP/ Bill Walker (608) 224-4353                                  | Bill Walker (608) 224-4353                                    | 9/26/2011   |

## Fiscal Estimate Narratives DATCP 9/26/2011

| LRB Number 11-1062/2  | Introduction Number | AB-0223 | Estimate Type | Original |  |
|---|---------------------|---------|---------------|----------|--|
| Description Awarding costs in administrative agency actions |                     |         |               |          |  |

## **Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate**

This bill eliminates financial and entity size limitations for prevailing parties to be eligible to receive costs in administrative agency actions, allowing an award of costs to any trust or person, other than the state, that is a prevailing party unless the court finds the agency was substantially justified in taking its position or if such an award would be unjust under the circumstances. This bill also eliminates the requirement that hearing examiners and courts rely on federal case law as of November 20, 1985, when interpreting these provisions governing costs to prevailing parties.

Under current law and under the bill, costs to prevailing parties are paid from "the applicable appropriation under s. 20.865 (1) (a), (g) or (q)" (See s. 227.485(8), Stats.) The bill would therefore not affect expenditures payable directly by the Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection (DATCP), from DATCP appropriations.

It is impossible to accurately estimate additional state costs under the bill from contested cases concerning DATCP administrative actions. Department records identify one contested case since 1990 in which the respondent prevailed, and was awarded costs and fees of approximately \$11,000. It is possible that removal of size limitations for prevailing parties would increase the number and size of claims for costs. Such an effect is indeterminate.

**Long-Range Fiscal Implications**