Fiscal Estimate - 2011 Session

X	Original			Updated		Corrected			Supplem	nental	
LRB	Number	11-1062	2/2		Introd	duction Numb	oer /	AB-0	223		
Descri Awardi	•	ıdministrative	ageno	y actions							
Fiscal	Effect										
⊠lı [[Local:		e Existing tions Existing		Increase E Revenues Decrease Revenues	Existing	Increase C within ager	icy's bud Yes	lay be	possible	to absorb □No	
2	Permiss Decreas	e Costs sive Mand se Costs sive Mand	atory 4	Permissive Decrease R	Mandatory Revenue	5.Types of Logard Affected Towns Countie	s	□Vil	llage hers	☐ Cities	
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS											
Agency	y/Prepared	crease Costs 3.			Authorized Si	gnature		Date			
DOT/ R	obert Kranz	(608) 264-99	968		Stephanie LaS	Sage (608) 267-37	703			9/30/2011	

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOT 9/30/2011

LRB Number 11-1062/2	Introduction Number	AB-0223	Estimate Type	Original						
Description										
Awarding costs in administrative agency actions										

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

The bill eliminates all current law financial and entity size limitations for prevailing parties to be eligible to receive costs in administrative agency actions, unless the court finds the agency was substantially justified in taking its position or if such an award would be unjust under the circumstances.

The bill also eliminates the current law requirement that hearing examiners and courts rely on federal case law interpreting substantially similar provisions under the federal equal access to justice act when interpreting the provisions governing costs to prevailing parties.

Various entities and private individuals contest decisions made by DOT, for example: permitting decisions with regard to driveways, highway vegetation removal, and outdoor advertising signs; decisions relating to the denial, suspension, and revocation of motor vehicle dealer licenses, and motor vehicle bond claims. Also, decisions relating to title and registration issues, driver school and instructor licenses, oversize/overweight permits are sometimes contested.

Eliminating all eligibility criteria for reimbursement of costs increases the number of parties eligible for cost recovery and creates new opportunities for entities with significant resources to contest DOT decisions and seek costs. Because costs cannot be awarded against unsuccessful appellants, there is no corresponding "downside risk" to requesting a hearing. As such, there is a potential for increased costs to the agency due to a potential increase in volume of contested cases, and other variables that cannot be fully ascertained.

Alternatively, the prospect of awarding costs to all businesses and individuals who are able to successfully contest decisions could have a chilling effect on DOT decision-making and the number of permit denials, sign removal orders and other agency administrative actions.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications