## Fiscal Estimate - 2011 Session

Original Dpdated	Corrected	Supplemental				
LRB Number 11-3612/1	Introduction Number AE	3-0507				
<b>Description</b> Authorizing cities, villages, and towns to retain certain unclaimed funds in a public treasury						
Fiscal Effect						
Appropriations Rev	ease Existing enues rease Existing enues  Decrease Costs  Decrease Costs					
Local:  No Local Government Costs  Indeterminate  1. Increase Costs Permissive Mandatory  2. Decrease Costs Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Districts  5. Types of Local Government Units Affected Towns Village Cities Counties Others Districts						
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations  GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS						
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date				
DOR/ Daniel Huegel (608) 266-5705	Paul Ziegler (608) 266-5773	2/7/2012				

## Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOR 2/7/2012

LRB Number 11-3612/1	Introduction Number AB-0507	Estimate Type	Original				
Description Authorizing siting villages and towns to retain contain unclaimed funds in a public traceum.							
Authorizing cities, villages, and towns to retain certain unclaimed funds in a public treasury							

## **Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate**

Under current law, in every odd-numbered year, every municipal officer, county officer, and clerk of a court of record must provide a report to the county treasurer which lists all persons for whom the officer or clerk holds money or security that has not been claimed for at least one year. The county treasurer must publish a legal notice for 3 consecutive weeks that contains the names and last-known address of the owners of at least \$10 of unclaimed money or security. If the money or security is not claimed within 6 months, the county treasurer takes possession of the money or security (including amounts held by municipalities) and deposits these amounts in the county general fund. If the money is not claimed within 10 years, the money or security becomes the property of the county.

Under the bill, money or security that is in the possession of a municipal officer is retained by the municipality. Thus, if the property is not claimed within 6 months, the money or security is deposited in the municipal general fund. If the money or security is not claimed within 10 years, the money or security becomes the property of the municipality.

The financial report forms filed by counties and municipalities with the Department of Revenue (DOR) do not identify the amount of unclaimed funds held by counties under current law. As a result, the DOR is unable to provide a precise estimate of the affect the bill could have on county holdings of unclaimed funds.

The information provided by most counties on their web sites or in their published legal notices on unclaimed funds contains only the required information -- the name of the person for whom funds are being held and the last known address of that person. There are 16 counties' where the web sites or published legal notices provide information on the amount of funds held. Based on these 16 counties' data, it is estimated that the statewide total of unclaimed funds for all 72 counties is in the range of \$4 million to \$6 million. Based on data for Milwaukee County, it is estimated that from 2% to 3% of these funds are municipalities' unclaimed funds. As a result, it is estimated that the amount of unclaimed funds that municipalities will be allowed to take possession of under the bill ranges from \$80,000 (2% of \$4 million) to \$180,000 (3% of \$6 million). The amount of unclaimed funds that counties take possession of will decrease by an equal amount.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

## Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2011 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

Original Updated		Corrected		Supplemental
LRB Number 11-3612/1		Introduction Num	ber	AB-0507
<b>Description</b> Authorizing cities, villages, and towns to re	ain ce	ertain unclaimed funds in a	public !	treasury
I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts fo annualized fiscal effect):	r Sta	te and/or Local Governm	ent (do	not include in
II. Annualized Costs:		Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:		
		Increased Costs		Decreased Costs
A. State Costs by Category				
State Operations - Salaries and Fringes		\$		\$
(FTE Position Changes)				
State Operations - Other Costs				
Local Assistance				
Aids to Individuals or Organizations				
TOTAL State Costs by Category		\$		\$
B. State Costs by Source of Funds				
GPR				
FED				
PRO/PRS				
SEG/SEG-S				
III. State Revenues - Complete this only revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in			r decre	ase state
		Increased Rev		Decreased Rev
GPR Taxes		\$		\$
GPR Earned				
FED				
PRO/PRS				
SEG/SEG-S				
TOTAL State Revenues		\$		\$
NET ANNI	JALIZ	ED FISCAL IMPACT		
		<u>State</u>		Local
NET CHANGE IN COSTS		\$		\$
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE		\$		\$see text
Agency/Prepared By	ΙΔ.	nthorized Signature		Date
DOR/ Daniel Huegel (608) 266-5705		ul Ziegler (608) 266-5773		2/7/2012
DOI / Daniel Flueger (000) 200-3703	Ira	ui Liegiei (000) 200-3//3		12/1/2012