# Fiscal Estimate - 2011 Session

X	Original		Updated		Corrected		Supple	mental
LRB	Number	11-3146/4	•	Introd	luction Numb	er A	B-059	7
			s and ordinances tl	hat regu	ate the repair and	expans	sion of	
Fiscal	Effect							
	No State Fiscandeterminate Increase E Appropriat Decrease Appropriat Create Ne	Existing ions Existing	☐ Increase E Revenues ☐ Decrease Revenues	Existing	to abso	rb withir	n agency	e possible s budget No
	Indeterminate  1. Increase Permiss  2. Decreas	e Costs ive  Mandato se Costs	3. Increase R	e∏Man Revenue	datory \bigsize Cou	ment Un ns [ nties [ ool [	its Affecto Village Others WTCS District	<b>⊠</b> Cities
Fund S	Sources Affe		PRS SEG	☐ SE	Affected Ch. GS	20 App	ropriatio	ns
Agenc	y/Prepared E	Зу	Auth	orized S	ignature			Date
DNR/	Joe Polasek (	608) 266-2794	Joe F	olasek (	608) 266-2794			2/21/2012

# Fiscal Estimate Narratives DNR 2/21/2012

LRB Number	11-3146/4	Introduction Number	AB-0597	Estimate Type	Original
Description Certain shorely nonconforming		rds and ordinances that re	egulate the r	epair and expansion	on of

#### **Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate**

This bill provides that ordinances enacted by a county, city, village or town may not prohibit, or limit based on cost, the repair, maintenance, reconstruction, renovation or remodeling of a nonconforming structures in existence on the effective date of an ordinance. Further, the bill prohibits counties, cities and villages from establishing or enforcing a shoreland zoning ordinance that is more restrictive than the statewide shoreland zoning standards or enacting or enforcing an ordinance that prohibits the construction of a structure or building that does not meet the minimum area and width requirements under the ordinance if the lot met the requirements when the lot was created or if there was no shoreland zoning standard when the lot was created.

## **Assumptions**

Revenues--It is difficult to determine the exact fiscal effect that the bill would have on counties, cities, villages and towns. A local unit of government would have to modify their ordinances to comply with the bill and would likely experience a decrease in revenue from fewer variance applications for these structures. On the other hand, local governments may experience increased revenues from an increase in permits if the local governments decide to require permits for these structures.

Costs--The impact that the bill would have on local government costs is even more difficult to assess because the bill applies not only to nonconforming structures in the shoreland zone but buildings or structures that are nonconforming for any purpose in their community. Local units of government would incur costs from having to modify their ordinances to comply with the bill and must follow certain procedures to enact ordinances. Counties have stated that the average cost to modify only the shoreland zoning provisions of their ordinances would be about \$17,800; however, this is only an example and actual costs would be expected to vary among local units of government.

### **Long-Range Fiscal Implications**