Fiscal Estimate - 2011 Session

X	Original		Updated		orrected		Supple	mental					
LRB	Number	11-2440/1		Introduc	ction Numbe	r A	B-070	2					
Descr i Secon	Description Second and subsequent charges of possession of marijuana under a local ordinance												
Fiscal	Effect												
	No State Fisca Indeterminate Increase E Appropriati Decrease I Appropriati Create Ne	xisting ions Existing	Increase Revenues Decrease Revenues	s Existing		b withir Yes	n agency	e possible 's budget No					
	No Local Gov Indeterminate 1. Increase Permiss 2. Decrease	e Costs ive Manda se Costs	3. Increase fory Permissiv 4. Decrease fory Permissiv	∕e ∏Manda e Revenue	ttory Tow	nent Unns [nties [pol [nits Affect Village Others WTCS Distric	Cities					
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations													
G	PR FED	☐ PRO	PRS SEG	G ☐ SEG	S								
Agen	cy/Prepared I	Ву	Aut	horized Sig	ınature			Date					
DA/ Phil Werner (608) 267-2700 Marth				tha Kerner (4/3/2012								

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DA 4/3/2012

LRB Number 11-2440/1	Introduction Number	AB-0702	Estimate Type	Original						
Description										
Second and subsequent charges of possession of marijuana under a local ordinance										

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

Under current law, any city, village, town, or county may enact an ordinance to prohibit the possession of 25 grams or less of marijuana. The ordinance cannot be used to prosecute someone who has previously been convicted of possessing marijuana. This bill allows the ordinance to be used to prosecute a person previously convicted if the person is not prosecuted under the State prohibition against possession.

Should this bill be enacted, prosecutors do not anticipate that it will have a fiscal impact on their offices.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

If enacted, prosecutors do not expect this bill to have a fiscal impact on their offices.