Fiscal Estimate - 2011 Session

X	Original		Updated		Corrected		Supple	mental
LRB	Number	11-1707/6		Intro	duction Nu	mber \$	SB-472	
Certai	iption n shoreland ze nforming struc		ls and ordinances	that regu	ulate the repair	and expan	sion of	
Fiscal	Effect							
	No State Fisca Indeterminate Increase E Appropriat Decrease Appropriat	Existing tions Existing	Increase Revenues Decrease Revenues	s Existing	to .	crease Cost absorb with Yes ecrease Cos	nin agency	
	No Local Gov Indeterminate 1. Increase Permiss 2. Decrease	e Costs sive 🔲 Mandat	3. Increase I ory Permissiv 4. Decrease	e Ma Revenu	Gov ndatory	pes of Local vernment U Towns Counties School Districts		Cities
	Sources Affe		PRS SEG	- S	Affected EGS	l Ch. 20 Ap	propriatio	ns
Agen	cy/Prepared l	Ву	Auti	norized	Signature			Date
DNR/ Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794 Joe F				Polasek (608) 266-2794			2/21/2012	

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DNR 2/21/2012

LRB Number 1	1-1707/6	Introduction Number	SB-472	Estimate Type	Original						
Description Certain shoreland zoning standards and ordinances that regulate the repair and expansion of nonconforming structures											

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

This bill provides that ordinances enacted by a county, city, village or town may not prohibit, or limit based on cost, the repair, maintenance, reconstruction, renovation or remodeling of a nonconforming structures in existence on the effective date of an ordinance. Further, the bill prohibits counties, cities and villages from establishing or enforcing a shoreland zoning ordinance that is more restrictive than the statewide shoreland zoning standards or enacting or enforcing an ordinance that prohibits the construction of a structure or building that does not meet the minimum area and width requirements under the ordinance if the lot met the requirements when the lot was created or if there was no shoreland zoning standard when the lot was created.

Assumptions

Revenues--It is difficult to determine the exact fiscal effect that the bill would have on counties, cities, villages and towns. A local unit of government would have to modify their ordinances to comply with the bill and would likely experience a decrease in revenue from fewer variance applications for these structures. On the other hand, local governments may experience increased revenues from an increase in permits if the local governments decide to require permits for these structures.

Costs--The impact that the bill would have on local government costs is even more difficult to assess because the bill applies not only to nonconforming structures in the shoreland zone but buildings or structures that are nonconforming for any purpose in their community. Local units of government would incur costs from having to modify their ordinances to comply with the bill and must follow certain procedures to enact ordinances. Counties have stated that the average cost to modify only the shoreland zoning provisions of their ordinances would be about \$17,800; however, this is only an example and actual costs would be expected to vary among local units of government.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications