



## Fiscal Estimate Narratives

DNR 4/25/2011

LRB Number	11-1753/1	Introduction Number	SB-072	Estimate Type	Original
<b>Description</b> Privileges under a Class A or Class B bear hunting license, and bear carcass tag and back tag requirements					

### Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

This bill will make a number of significant changes to the laws regulating bear hunting:

- A. Expands the privileges of a Class B bear license to allow the license holder to pursue, shoot and kill a bear that was shot, but not killed, by the holder of a Class A bear license, if in the same hunting party, and if the killing of the bear is necessary to protect the safety of other members of the hunting party or the public.
- B. Allows bear dog training on free-roaming wild bears at the same time the season for hunting bears with dogs is open.
- C. Allows any person of any age under the age of 16 to engage in all the activities authorized under a Class B bear license, including shooting a bear, without the need for a license.
- D. Allows any person, regardless of age, to engage in activities authorized by a Class B bear license without holding the license during a specific 2 day weekend in August each year.
- E. Eliminates the requirement that the DNR issue a back tag with a Class B bear license, and eliminates the need for a Class A or B bear license holder display a back tag.

State Fiscal Estimate:

The Department would likely see about a \$4,858 (rounded to \$4,900) reduction in revenues from the loss of sales of Class B bear licenses to youth ages 12 -15. In 2009, 364 Class B bear licenses were issued to resident youth ages 12-15 at a cost of \$14 each. In the same year, 4 Class B bear licenses were issued to non-resident youth at a cost of \$110. For all licenses, \$1 is paid as an issuance fee and \$0.84 is paid to the ALIS Central Bank. (364 resident youth X 12.16 = \$4,426 and 4 non-resident youth X \$108.16 = \$432).

Offering a free weekend each year in August where the requirement for a Class B bear license would be waived would likely not have any direct or immediate fiscal impact, as most individuals who would take advantage of this opportunity would likely not have purchased a license otherwise. The Department does not expect any cost to update/reprogram the ALIS system to reflect the changes proposed in this bill relative to the issuance of Class B bear licenses or not having to issue back tags. There would likely be some additional costs resulting from the need to enforce increased complaints expected for trespassing and unauthorized shooting of bears by Class B bear license holders. The increased costs to Law Enforcement are difficult to estimate.

The Department would not see any savings from not having to issue back tags to Class B bear license applicants as the current ALTS contract requires the contractor to cover the cost of all paper products needed to print all licenses, permits and tags, including back tags.

### Long-Range Fiscal Implications

## Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2011 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

Original     
  Updated     
  Corrected     
  Supplemental

<b>LRB Number</b> 11-1753/1		<b>Introduction Number</b> SB-072	
<b>Description</b> Privileges under a Class A or Class B bear hunting license, and bear carcass tag and back tag requirements			
<b>I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in annualized fiscal effect):</b>			
<b>II. Annualized Costs:</b>		<b>Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:</b>	
		Increased Costs	Decreased Costs
<b>A. State Costs by Category</b>			
	State Operations - Salaries and Fringes	\$	\$
	(FTE Position Changes)		
	State Operations - Other Costs		
	Local Assistance		
	Aids to Individuals or Organizations		
	<b>TOTAL State Costs by Category</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>
<b>B. State Costs by Source of Funds</b>			
	GPR		
	FED		
	PRO/PRS		
	SEG/SEG-S		
<b>III. State Revenues - Complete this only when proposal will increase or decrease state revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, ets.)</b>			
		Increased Rev	Decreased Rev
	GPR Taxes	\$	\$
	GPR Earned		
	FED		
	PRO/PRS		
	SEG/SEG-S		-4,900
	<b>TOTAL State Revenues</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$-4,900</b>
<b>NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT</b>			
		<u>State</u>	<u>Local</u>
	NET CHANGE IN COSTS	\$	\$
	NET CHANGE IN REVENUE	\$-4,900	\$
<b>Agency/Prepared By</b>		<b>Authorized Signature</b>	<b>Date</b>
DNR/ Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794		Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794	4/25/2011