Fiscal Estimate - 2013 Session

☑ Orig	inal	Updated	_ c	orrected		Supplemental		
LRB Num	ber 13-3545 /	1	Introdu	ction Numbe	r A	B-0844		
Description Combined reporting of income from businesses incorporated in foreign jurisdictions considered tax havens and granting rule-making authority								
Fiscal Effect								
Indete	nte Fiscal Effect rminate rease Existing propriations crease Existing propriations eate New Appropriati	Revenue	es e Existing	to absor	b withir Yes	- May be possible n agency's budget No		
Local: No Local Government Costs Indeterminate 1. Increase Costs Permissive Mandatory 2. Decrease Costs Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Districts 5. Types of Local Government Units Affected Towns Village Counties Others School WTCS Districts								
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS								
Agency/Pre	pared By	Au	thorized Si	gnature		Date		
DOR/ Micha	el Oakleaf (608) 261	chael Wagne	hael Wagner (608) 266-6785					

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOR 3/3/2014

LRB Number 13-3545/1	Introduction Number	AB-0844	Estimate Type	Original			
Description Combined reporting of income from businesses incorporated in foreign jurisdictions considered tax havens and granting rule-making authority							

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

Under current law, the income or loss of a foreign corporation that is engaged in the unitary business of a combined group is not included in the combined group's income if 80% or more of its income is active foreign income.

Under the bill, for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2013, the US-sourced income or loss of a member of the unitary business that is incorporated in certain foreign jurisdictions must be included in the combined group's income. The foreign jurisdictions are Andorra, Anguilla, Antigua and Barbuda, Aruba, the Bahamas, Bahrain, Barbados, Belize, Bermuda, the British Virgin Islands, the Cayman Islands, the Cook Islands, Costa Rica, Cyprus, Dominica, Gibraltar, Grenada, Guernsey–Sark–Alderney, Hong Kong, Ireland, the Isle of Man, Jersey, Jordan, Lebanon, Liberia, Liechtenstein, Luxembourg, Macau, Malta, the Marshall Islands, Mauritius, Monaco, Montserrat, Nauru, the Netherlands Antilles, Niue, Panama, Samoa, San Marino, Seychelles, Singapore, St. Kitts and Nevis, St. Lucia, St. Vincent and the Grenadines, Switzerland, Tonga, the Turks and Caicos Islands, the U.S. Virgin Islands, and Vanuatu.

Fiscal Estimate

The Department does not have data that would allow it to provide a definitive estimate of the provision under the bill. Because the provisions being estimated by the states used in this estimate were not identical, and because tax laws and economic conditions differ from state to state, this estimates should be considered speculative. The actual fiscal effect could be higher or lower.

Several other states have recently estimated the fiscal effect of similar, but not identical, tax haven provisions. The estimates have ranged from 5.0% of total corporate revenue in the case of California to 1.13% of total corporate tax revenue in Minnesota. The average revenue impact of states that have recently considered enacting similar provisions is 3.78%.

The net tax amount of a given combined group could increase or decrease under the bill. For example, the net tax of the combined group could be reduced to the extent that the foreign subsidiary contributes losses instead of income to the combined group's total income. In addition, because the foreign subsidiary's sales would be added to the denominator of the sales factor, and assuming that they would have little or no Wisconsin sales to add to the numerator, the apportionment factor for the group would be lower under the bill, which may result in lower net tax for the group even if the foreign subsidiary contributes income rather than losses to the group's total income. Finally, for combined group members the Manufacturing and Agriculture Credit is limited to the member's taxable income multiplied by the credit rate effective for the taxable year. Therefore, for combined groups that have both foreign subsidiaries in one of the jurisdictions listed in the bill as a tax haven and manufacturing property in Wisconsin, an increase in the Manufacturing and Agriculture Credit could largely offset any increase in net taxes that would otherwise result from an increase in income resulting from inclusion of the foreign subsidiary.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2013 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

☑ Original	☐ Updated		Corrected		Supplemental			
LRB Number 13-3545/1 Introduction Number AB-0844								
Description Combined reporting havens and granting	of income from busines g rule-making authority	ses i	ncorporated in foreign juris	sdictions	s considered tax			
I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in annualized fiscal effect):								
II. Annualized Cost	s:		Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:					
			Increased Costs		Decreased Costs			
A. State Costs by (Category							
State Operations	- Salaries and Fringes		\$					
(FTE Position Cha	anges)							
State Operations	- Other Costs							
Local Assistance								
	s or Organizations							
TOTAL State (Costs by Category		\$		\$			
B. State Costs by S	Source of Funds	-						
GPR								
FED								
PRO/PRS								
SEG/SEG-S								
III. State Revenues - Complete this only when proposal will increase or decrease state revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, ets.)								
			Increased Rev		Decreased Rev			
GPR Taxes			\$		\$			
GPR Earned								
FED								
PRO/PRS								
SEG/SEG-S								
TOTAL State I	Revenues		\$		\$			
	NET ANNU	ALIZ	ED FISCAL IMPACT					
			<u>State</u>		Local			
NET CHANGE IN COSTS			\$	\$				
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE			\$See Text		\$			
Agency/Prepared By Au			thorized Signature	Date				
DOR/ Michael Oakle	eaf (608) 261-5173	Mie	Michael Wagner (608) 266-6785 3/3/2014					